

Data supplement

Table DS1 Scores for studies of participants with depressive disorder using the Amsterdam–Maastricht consensus list (coded as negative (−), positive (+) or no information given (0))

	Larcombe (1984) ⁴⁸	Kelly (1993) ⁵¹	Evans (1995) ⁵²	Markowitz (1998) ⁵⁵	Mohr (2000) ⁵⁷	Mohr (2001) ⁵⁸	Lincoln (2003) ⁴⁴	Nezu (2003) ⁶³	Mohr (2005) ⁶⁶	Savard (2006) ⁶⁸	Gellis (2007) ⁶⁹	Kunik (2008) ⁷²	Safren (2008) ⁷³
Validity criteria													
1 Adequate randomisation procedure	+	+	+	+	0	−	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2 Concealed random allocation of treatments	0	0	0	+	0	−	+	−	0	+	0	+	+
3 Baseline similarity tested	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	−
4 Control for co-interventions in design	0	−	0	0	−	−	+	−	+	+	+	−	0
5 Check for adherence to interventions	0	+	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	−	+	+
6 Valid outcome measures	+	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
7 Relevant outcome measures	+	+	+	+	−	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
8 Outcome assessor masked	+	0	0	+	−	−	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
9 Therapist masked	−	−	−	−	+	−	−	−	−	−	−	−	−
10 Participants masked	−	−	−	0	+	−	−	−	−	−	−	−	−
11 Withdrawals and dropped out (proportion; inequality between groups; reasons for withdrawal/drop out reported)	−	−	+	0	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	+
12 Identical timing of outcome assessment for all intervention groups	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
13 Intent-to-treat analyses	0	−	−	+	+	+	−	+	+	+	−	+	+
Descriptive criteria													
14 Specification of eligibility criteria	+	−	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
15 Description of interventions	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
16 Follow up	−	+	+	−	−	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	−
17 Adverse events	0	+	+	+	−	+	−	0	0	−	0	−	−
Statistical criteria													
18 Sample size: to be presented at randomisation and outcome	−	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
19 Presentations of point estimates and distribution measures	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Total score (range 0–19)	9	11	12	14	10	13	13	14	15	16	13	15	13

Table DS2 Scores for studies of participants with depressive symptoms using the Amsterdam–Maastricht consensus list (coded as negative (−), positive (+) or no information given (0))

	Foley (1987) ⁴⁹	Greer (1992) ⁵⁰	Kraaimaat (1995) ⁵³	Henry (1997) ⁵⁴	Edelman (1999a) ⁴²	Edelman (1999b) ⁵⁶	Sharpe (2001) ⁵⁹	Cruess (2002) ⁶⁰	Evers (2002) ⁶¹	Kissane (2003) ⁶²	Given (2004) ⁴⁵	Blumenthal (2005) ⁶⁴	Chan (2005) ⁶⁵	Antoni (2006) ⁶⁷	Lii (2007) ⁷⁰	Koertge (2008) ⁷¹
Validity criteria																
1 Adequate randomisation procedure	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2 Concealed random allocation of treatments	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	+
3 Baseline similarity tested	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
4 Control for co-interventions in design	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	-	0	0	+	+	0	+	0	0
5 Check for adherence to interventions	+	-	-	0	-	-	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	+	0
6 Valid outcome measures	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
7 Relevant outcome measures	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
8 Outcome assessor masked	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	0	+
9 Therapist masked	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10 Participants masked	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
11 Withdrawals and dropped out (proportion; inequality between groups; reasons for withdrawal/drop out reported)	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+
12 Identical timing of outcome assessment for all intervention groups	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
13 Intent-to-treat analyses	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+
Descriptive criteria																
14 Specification of eligibility criteria	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
15 Description of interventions	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
16 Follow up	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+
17 Adverse events	0	-	+	0	0	0	+	0	-	0	+	0	-	0	0	0
Statistical criteria																
18 Sample size: to be presented at randomisation and outcome	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
19 Presentations of point estimates and distribution measures	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+
Total score (range 0–19)	9	14	12	8	11	11	15	9	13	15	15	13	10	14	11	14