#### **Supplementary material**

# Maternal fish consumption, fetal growth and the risks of neonatal complications: The Generation R Study.

Denise H.M. Heppe<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Eric A.P. Steegers<sup>4</sup>, Sarah Timmermans<sup>1,4</sup>, Hanneke den Breeijen<sup>1,2</sup>, Henning Tiemeier<sup>5</sup>, Albert Hofman<sup>2</sup>, Vincent W.V. Jaddoe<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Generation R Study Group, Erasmus Medical Centre, PO Box 2040, 3000 CA Rotterdam Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Erasmus Medical Centre, PO Box 2040, 3000 CA Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Paediatrics, Erasmus Medical Centre, PO Box 2040, 3000 CA Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Erasmus Medical Centre, PO Box 2040, 3000 CA Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

<sup>5</sup>Department of Psychiatrics, Erasmus Medical Centre, PO Box 2040, 3000 CA Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

# Table S1. Associations between weekly maternal total fish consumption and fetal growth and growth characteristics at birth, the Generation R Study Cohort, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

		Trimester 2			Trimester 3		Birth Head circumference (n=2775)			
	Head	circumference (r	n=3307)	Head	circumference (n	n=3276)				
Total fish		Difference			Difference		Difference			
consumption	n	(mm)	95% CI	n	(mm)	95% CI	n	(mm)	95% CI	
0	653	Reference		641	Reference		541	Reference		
1-69 g/week	878	0.5	-0.1, 1.2	872	1.7	0.8, 2.7†	742	0.3	-0.0, 0.5	
70-139 g/week	1061	-0.1	-0.7, 0.5	1058	0.6	-0.3, 1.5	895	0.1	-0.1, 0.4	
140-209 g/week	494	0.1	-0.6, 0.8	487	1.8	0.8, 2.9†	422	0.2	-0.1 0.5	
>210 g/week	221	0.5	-0.5, -1.4	218	0.9	-0.5, 2.3	175	0.1	-0.3 0.5	
P for trend		0.88			0.06		0.80			
	Fe	mur length (n=3.	306)	Fe	mur length (n=33	310)	Birth length (n=2831)			
Total fish		Difference			Difference		Difference			
consumption	n	(mm)	95% CI	n	(mm)	95% CI	n	(cm)	95% CI	
0	650	Reference		652	Reference		557	Reference		
1-69 g/week	877	0.0	-0.2, 0.2	879	0.1	-0.1, 0.3	758	0.4	0.1 0.7†	

70-139 g/week	1064	-0.1	-0.3, 0.1	1067	0.0	-0.2, 0.2	911	0.1	-0.2, 0.4	
140-209 g/week	494	-0.2	-0.4, 0.1	492	0.1	-0.2, 0.3	428	0.3	-0.1, 0.6	
>210 g/week	221	0.0	-0.3, 0.3	220	-0.2	-0.6, 0.1	177	-0.1	-0.5, 0.4	
P for trend		0.52			0.72			0.92		
	Estimated fetal weight (n=3291)			Estimat	ted fetal weight	(n=3298)	Birth weight (n=3367)			
Total fish		Difference			Difference		Difference			
consumption	n	(g)	95% CI	n	(g)	95% CI	n	(g)	95% CI	
0	647	Reference		651	Reference		667	Reference		
1-69 g/week	871	2.7	-1.6, 7.1	874	15.2	-3.8 34.2	894	34.8	-10.0, 79.5	
70-139 g/week	1061	-0.1	-4.3, 4.1	1062	-8.1	-26.4, 10.1	1080	24.4	-18.6, 67.5	
140-209 g/week	491	-0.9	-5.9, 4.1	491	7.5	-14.5 29.5	501	45.1	-6.7, 96.9	
>210 g/week	221	4.9	-1.6, 11.5	220	-0.1	-10.2, 15.5	225	6.00	-28.6, 40.5	
P for trend		0.84			0.80			0.36		

\* Values were based on multivariate linear regression models and reflect the difference and 95% Confidence Interval for each level of weekly total fish consumption compared to the reference group (0 g/week). Models were only adjusted for gestational age at measurement and fetal sex. Tests for trend were performed by including weekly total fish consumption as a continuous term in the regression model.

† *P* value <0.05

# Table S2. Associations between weekly maternal lean fish consumption and fetal growth and growth characteristics at birth, the Generation R Study Cohort, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

		Trimester 2			Trimester 3		Birth				
	Head c	ircumference (	(n=3307)	Head c	ircumference (	(n=3276)	Head ci	Head circumference (n=2775)			
Lean fish	Difference				Difference		Difference				
consumption	n	(mm)	95% CI	n	(mm)	95% CI	n	(cm)	95% CI		
0	1239	Ref		1219	Ref		1017	Ref			
1-35 g/week	849	0.2	-0.3, 0.8	850	0.8	-0.0, 1.6	724	0.0	-0.1, 0.3		
35-69 g/week	813	0.2	-0.3, 0.8	804	1.1	0.3, 2.0†	697	0.1	-0.0, 0.4		
>70 g/week	406	0.2	-0.5, 0.9	403	0.3	-0.8, 1.3	337	0.1	-0.2, 0.4		
P for trend		0.34			0.08			0.39			
	Fen	nur length (n=3	3306)	Fen	nur length (n=3	3310)	Birth length (n=2831)				
Lean fish		Difference			Difference		Difference				
consumption	n	(mm)	95% CI	n	(mm)	95% CI	n	(cm)	95% CI		
0	1233	Ref		1234	Ref		1044	Ref			
1-35 g/week	853	0.0	-0.2, 0.2	857	0.1	-0.1, 0.3	742	0.1	-0.1, 0.4		
35-69 g/week	814	-0.1	-0.3, 0.0	812	0.1	-0.1, 0.3	711	0.2	-0.1, 0.4		

>70 g/week	406	-0.2	-0.6, 0.1	407	-0.1	-0.4, 0.1	334	0.0	-0.3, 0.4	
P for trend		0.16			0.46			0.51		
	Estimate	d fetal weight	(n=3291)	Estimate	d fetal weigh	t (n=3298)	Birth weight (n=3367)			
Lean fish		Difference			Difference			Difference		
consumption	n	(g)	95% CI	n	(g)	95% CI	n	(g)	95% CI	
0	1226	Ref		1230	Ref		1262	Ref		
1-35 g/week	849	0.4	-3.4, 4.1	852	4.8	-11.7, 21.2	869	24.6	-14.1, 63.2	
35-69 g/week	810	-1.4	-5.2, 2.4	810	8.8	-7.9, 25.5	823	45.9	6.7, 85.2†	
>70 g/week	406	0.0	-4.8, 4.8	406	-4.3	-25.4, 16.9	413	-11.4	-61.1, 38.4	
P for trend		0.74			0.88			0.58		

\* Values were based on multivariate linear regression models and reflect the difference and 95% Confidence Interval for each level of weekly lean fish consumption compared to the reference group (0 g/week). Models were only adjusted for gestational age at measurement and fetal sex. Tests for trend were performed by including weekly lean fish consumption as a continuous term in the regression model.

† *P* value < 0.05

## Table S3. Associations between weekly maternal fatty fish consumption and fetal growth and growth characteristics at birth, the GenerationR Study Cohort, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

		Trimester 2			Trimester 3		Birth Head circumference (n=2775)			
	Head c	ircumference (	(n=3307)	Head c	ircumference (	(n=3276)				
Fatty fish	Difference				Difference		Difference			
consumption	n	(mm) 95% CI n (mm)				95% CI	n	(mm)	95% CI	
0	1083	Ref		1064	Ref		912	Ref		
1-35 g/week	719	0.1	-0.5, 0.7	725	0.7	-0.1, 1.6	610	0.1	-0.2, 0.3	
35-69 g/week	911	-0.2	-0.7, 0.4	900	-0.0	-0.8, 0.8	764	0.0	-0.2, 0.2	
>70 g/week	594	-0.1	-0.7, 0.5	587	0.5	-0.4, 1.4	489	0.1	-0.2, 0.4	
P for trend	<i>0.68</i> Femur length (n=3306)				0.25			0.75		
				Fen	nur length (n=3	3310)	Bir	Birth length (n=2831)		
Fatty fish		Difference			Difference		Difference			
consumption	n	(mm)	95% CI	n	(mm)	95% CI	n	(cm)	95% CI	
0	1097	Ref		1081	Ref		934	Ref		
1-35 g/week	723	-0.1	-0.2, 0.1	729	-0.0	-0.2, 0.2	626	0.1	-0.2, 0.4	
35-69 g/week	911	-0.1	-0.3, 0.1	908	-0.1	-0.3, 0.1	774	0.1	-0.1, 0.4	

>70 g/week	593	-0.1	-0.3, 0.1	592	-0.1	-0.3, 0.2	497	0.0	-0.2, 0.3		
P for trend		0.39			0.46			0.99			
	Estimate	ed fetal weight	(n=3291)	Estimate	d fetal weigh	t (n=3298)	Bir	Birth weight (n=3367)			
Fatty fish		Difference			Difference			Difference			
consumption	n	(g)	95% CI	n	(g)	95% CI	n	(g)	95% CI		
0	1074	Ref		1077	Ref		1100	Ref			
1-35 g/week	719	-0.1	-4.1, 4.0	725	0.1	-17.6, 17.8	737	-6.8	-48.6, 35.0		
35-69 g/week	910	-0.6	-4.3, 3.2	907	-10.6	-27.3, 6.0	928	19.0	-20.2, 58.1		
>70 g/week	588	-0.9	-5.2, 3.4	589	-8.6	-27.5, 10.3	602	6.4	-38.0, 50.8		
P for trend		0.72			0.38			0.40			

\* Values were based on multivariate linear regression models and reflect the difference and 95% Confidence Interval for each level of weekly fatty fish consumption compared to the reference group (0 g/week). Models were only adjusted for gestational age at measurement and fetal sex. Tests for trend were performed by including weekly fatty fish consumption as a continuous term in the regression model.

 Table S4. Associations between weekly maternal shellfish consumption and fetal growth and growth characteristics at birth, the Generation R

 Study Cohort, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

		Trimester 2			Trimester 3		Birth			
	Head c	ircumference	(n=3307	Head c	ircumference (	n=3276)	Head circumference (n=2775)			
Shellfish		Difference			Difference		Difference			
consumption	n	(mm)	95% CI	n	(mm)	95% CI	n	(mm)	95% CI	
0	2053	Ref		2027	Ref		1693	Ref		
1-13 g/week	604	0.2	-0.3, 0.8	609	0.8	-0.0, 1.6	520	-0.0	-0.2, 0.2	
>14 g/week	650	0.1	-0.4, 0.7	640	0.2	-0.6, 1.0	561	0.0	-0.2, 0.3	
P for trend		0.40			0.50			0.83		
	Femur length (n=3306			Fen	nur length (n=3	3310)	Birth length (n=2831)			
Shellfish		Difference			Difference		Difference			
consumption	n	(mm)	95% CI	n	(mm)	95% CI	n	(cm)	95% CI	
0	2049	Ref		2046	Ref		1747	Ref		
1-13 g/week	608	-0.1	-0.2, 0.1	618	-0.0	-0.2, 0.2	528	0.0	-0.3, 0.3	
>14 g/week	649	-0.2	-0.3, -0.0†	646	-0.2	-0.4, 0.0	556	-0.0	-0.3, 0.2	
P for trend		0.13			0.21			0.60		

	Estimate	Estimated fetal weight (n=3291)			ed fetal weigh	nt (n=3298	Birth weight (n=3367) Difference			
Shellfish	Difference				Difference					
consumption	n	(g)	95% CI	n	(g)	95% CI	n	(g)	95% CI	
0	2042	Ref		2040	Ref		2090	Ref		
1-13 g/week	604	-0.8	-4.7, 3.1	615	1.9	-15.1, 18.9	620	-12.5	-52.8, 27.7	
>14 g/week	645	-0.9	-4.7, 2.9	643	-12.6	-29.3, 4.1	657	-28.8	-68.1, 10.6	
P for trend		0.64			0.19			0.20		

\* Values were based on multivariate linear regression models and reflect the difference and 95% Confidence Interval for each level of weekly shellfish consumption compared to the reference group (0 g/week). Models were only adjusted for gestational age at measurement and fetal sex. Tests for trend were performed by including weekly shellfish consumption as a continuous term in the regression model.

† *P* value <0.05

## Table S5. Associations between fish consumption and risks of neonatal complications, the Generation R Study Cohort, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

#### Fish consumption Neonatal complications

	Preterm	Preterm birth (n=334)				th weight	t (n=138)	1	Small for gestational age (n=205)			
	n	cases	OR	95% CI	n	cases	OR	95% CI	n	cases	OR	95% CI
Total fish consum	ption											
0	668	34	Ref		667	34	Ref		667	51	Ref	
1-69 g/week	897	45	0.99	0.62, 1.56	894	29	0.49	0.25, 0.95†	893	57	0.81	0.55, 1.20
70-139 g/week	1085	47	0.85	0.54, 1.33	1080	46	0.84	0.47, 1.51	1079	60	0.70	0.48, 1.03
140-209 g/week	502	20	0.78	0.44, 1.37	501	16	0.66	0.30, 1.43	501	25	0.63	0.38, 1.02
>210 g/week	226	13	1.14	0.59, 2.20	225	13	0.93	0.39, 2.21	225	12	0.67	0.35, 1.28
P for trend			0.54				0.86				0.10	
Lean fish consum	ption											
0	1267	75	Ref		1262	62	Ref		1260	91	Ref	
1-35 g/week	869	32	0.61	0.40, 0.93†	869	27	0.67	0.37, 1.21	869	46	0.71	0.49, 1.02
35-69 g/week	828	38	0.77	0.51, 1.14	823	32	0.81	0.45, 1.44	823	37	0.60	0.41, 0.89†
>70 g/week	414	14	0.56	0.31, 1.00	413	17	1.22	0.62, 2.40	413	31	1.03	0.68, 1.58

P for trend			0.49				0.85				0.54	
Fatty fish consum	ption											
0	1104	58	Ref		1100	50	Ref		1099	75	Ref	
1-35 g/week	739	26	0.66	0.41, 1.06	737	18	0.66	0.34, 1.30	737	51	1.00	0.70, 1.45
35-69 g/week	931	48	0.98	0.66, 1.46	928	47	1.23	0.72, 2.13	927	49	0.75	0.52, 1.09
>70 g/week	604	27	0.84	0.53, 1.35	602	23	1.04	0.55, 1.98	602	30	0.71	0.46, 1.09
P for trend			0.35				0.80				<i>0.04</i> †	
Shellfish consump	otion											
0	2098	103	Ref		2090	87	Ref		2089	120	Ref	
1-13 g/week	620	24	0.78	0.50, 1.23	620	18	0.83	0.43, 1.57	620	44	1.24	0.87, 1.78
>14 g/week	660	32	0.99	0.66, 1.48	657	33	1.64	0.95, 2.83	656	41	1.08	0.75, 1.56
P for trend			0.43				0.86				0.99	

\* Values were bases on multivariate logistic regression models and reflect the odds ratio and 95% Confidence interval for pregnancy complications for each level of fish consumption compared to the reference group (0 g/week). Models were only adjusted for gestational age at measurement and fetal sex. Tests for trend were performed by including weekly fish consumption as a continuous term in the regression model.

† *P* value <0.05