**Online Appendix**

**Appendix Table One: Question Used to create both Translation Index**

With the exception of technology (such as helping with setting up a smart phone), have **You** ever translated or helped your parents’ fill out any of the following: (Please check all that apply):

* Bank Statements
* Credit Card Statements
* Flyers or Letters from Politicians
* Immigration Forms
* Insurance Forms
* Job Applications
* Legal Documents
* Major Purchases Such as A Home or Car
* Medical Forms
* Notes from School
* Rental Contracts
* Telephone, Cable, Gas, Or Water Bills
* Voting Ballot
* None
* Other (Please Specify in the Box Below)

**Appendix Table Two: Questions Used to create both Interpretation Index**

Have **You** ever interpreted for your parents’ when dealing with other people who spoke a different language in the following situations? (Please check all that apply)

* An Employer or Boss
* Banks
* Bills of Any Kind
* Government Workers / Bureaucrats
* Hospitals or Doctors’ Offices
* Landlords
* Legal Situations
* Major Purchases Such as A Home or Car
* Politics
* Schools
* The Police
* No
* Other (Please Specify in the Box Below)

**Appendix Table Three: Principal Components Factor Analysis and Eigenvalues**

 Factor Eigenvalue Difference Proportion Cumulative

 Factor 1 10.43667 9.00719 0.4744 0.4744

 Factor 2 1.42948 0.48793 0.0650 0.5394

 Factor 3 0.94155 0.06749 0.0428 0.5822

 Factor 4 0.87406 0.10267 0.0397 0.6219

 Factor 5 0.77139 0.05428 0.0351 0.6570

 Factor 6 0.71711 0.02211 0.0326 0.6896

 Factor 7 0.69500 0.08621 0.0316 0.7211

 Factor 8 0.60879 0.02935 0.0277 0.7488

 Factor 9 0.57944 0.04434 0.0263 0.7752

 Factor 10 0.53510 0.04714 0.0243 0.7995

 Factor 11 0.48796 0.00128 0.0222 0.8217

 Factor 12 0.48667 0.06079 0.0221 0.8438

 Factor 13 0.42588 0.01360 0.0194 0.8631

 Factor 14 0.41228 0.01254 0.0187 0.8819

 Factor 15 0.39974 0.02070 0.0182 0.9001

 Factor 16 0.37904 0.01768 0.0172 0.9173

 Factor 17 0.36136 0.02753 0.0164 0.9337

 Factor 18 0.33383 0.02811 0.0152 0.9489

 Factor 19 0.30573 0.01278 0.0139 0.9628

 Factor 20 0.29294 0.00604 0.0133 0.9761

 Factor 21 0.28690 0.04786 0.0130 0.9891

 Factor 22 0.23905 . 0.0109 1.0000

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

LR test: independent vs. saturated: chi2(231) = 1.8e+04 Prob>chi2 = 0.0000

**Appendix Table Four: Principal Components Factor Loading for Language Brokering Scale**

 Variable Factor 1 Factor 2 Uniqueness

 Trans Bank 0.7691 -0.1752 0.3778

 Inter Credit 0.6952 -0.1164 0.5032

 Inter Letters 0.5077 0.2660 0.6714

 Inter Immigration 0.7755 -0.1524 0.3754

 Inter Job Apps 0.5983 -0.0784 0.6359

 Inter Legal 0.7328 -0.1480 0.4410

 Inter Major 0.6731 0.2075 0.5039

 Inter Med 0.7639 -0.3074 0.3221

 Inter School 0.6872 -0.2757 0.4517

 Inter Lease 0.5859 0.3975 0.4987

 Inter Bills 0.7833 -0.2463 0.3257

 Trans Boss 0.6294 0.1064 0.5925

 Trans Bank 0.7668 -0.1175 0.3982

 Trans Bills 0.7836 -0.1279 0.3696

 Trans Govt. 0.5988 0.2893 0.5577

 Trans Hospital 0.7792 -0.2393 0.3356

 Trans Landlord 0.5828 0.4278 0.4773

 Trans Legal 0.6890 0.2706 0.4521

 Trans Major 0.6656 0.2802 0.4785

 Trans Politics 0.4974 0.4625 0.5386

 Trans School 0.7684 -0.2818 0.3301

 Trans Police 0.6948 0.1431 0.4967

**Appendix Table Five: *Coding of College Sample with Variable Mean and Standard Deviations***

Language Brokering Scale 0 – 1 .303 (.304)

Non-Identifier 0/1 .205 (.404)

Parents as Non-Identifier 0/1 .382 (.486)

Foreign Born Parents 0/1 .631 (.483)

Foreign Born 0/1 .190 (.391)

Undocumented 0/1 .036 (.187)

Political Interest 0-2 1.22 (.659)

Household Income 1-7 3.95 (2.23)

Age 24.18 (4.99)

Female 0/1 .611 (.487)

Latinos Can Get Ahead 0-3 2.18 (.869)

Trust 0-2 .968 (.537)

Vote 0/1 .689 (.463)

Rally 0/1 .308 (.462)

Political Meeting 0/1 .172 (.378)

Petition 0/1 .612 (.487)

Political Talk w/Parents 0-3 1.74 (.847)

Suggest a Candidate or Political Party to Parents 0/1 .574 (.495)

Believes Parents’ would follow Political Guidance 0-4 1.98 (1.21)

**Appendix Table Six: Latinx College Sample Logistic Regression Estimates of Political Activity\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

 Vote Rally Meetings Petition

Language Brokering .356 **.910\*\*\* .864\*\* .904\*\*\***

 (.266) (.253) (.284) (.242)

Non-Identifier **-.863\*\*\*** -.192 .319 **-.303\***

 (.158) (.180) (.190) (.148)

Parent Non-Identifier -.137 -.183 -.105 **-.269\***

 (.142) (.143) (.162) (.130)

Parents Foreign-Born -.288 **-.422\*** **-.513\*\*** **-.438\*\***

 (.172) (.175) (.199) (.154)

Foreign-Born **-2.28\*\*\*** **-**.333 .053 **-.688\*\*\***

 (.181) (.203) (.218) (.174)

Undocumented omitted **1.27\*\*\*** .576 **.922\*\***

 (.359) (.372) (.371)

Political Interest **.670\*\*\*** **1.06\*\*\* 1.09\*\*\* .788\*\*\***

 (.103) (.111) (.131) (.094)

Household Income .019 **-.067\*** -.014 .028

 (.031) (.029) (.034) (.027)

Age **.092\*\*\*** -.007 .022 .007

 (.018) (.014) (.014) (.012)

Female .224 .260 -.026 **.282\***

 (.137) (.136) (.153) (.124)

Latinos Can Get Ahead .082 **-.269\*\*\*** .019 -.087

 (.081) (.076) (.087) (.074)

Trust .051 .136 .146 .184

 (.123) (.121) (.138) (.110)

Los Angeles -.224 **-.586\*\*\***  .052 **-.433\*\***

 (.193) (.165) (.192) (.174)

San Antonio **-.867\*\*\***  - **1.72\*\*\* - .501\*\* -1.19\*\*\***

 (.169) (.172) (.192) (.153)

Atlanta .052 - **1.24\*\*\*** - .078 **-.696\***

 (.399) (.369) (.382) (.336)

Constant -1.35 -.587 -3.56 .014

 (.527) (.456) (.512) (.413)

Observations: 1,458 1,458 1,458 1,458

Pseudo R Squared: .20 .19 .10 .13

Note: \*p≤0.05; \*\*p≤0.01; \*\*\*p≤0.001; The undocumented variable is omitted as the undocumented are unable to vote.

**Appendix Table Seven: Latinx College Sample Logit and Ordered Probit Estimates of Political Activity at Home**

 Talk Politics w/Parents Suggest Candidate or Party Parents’ would follow Political Guidance

Language Brokering  **.274\***  **.770\*\*\*** **.814\*\*\***

 (.116) (.233) (.113)

Non-Identifier -.100 **-.428\*\*** **-.338\*\*\***

 (.075) (.146) (.072)

Parent Non-Identifier **-.355\*\*\*** **-.508\*\*\*** .008

 (.065) (.127) (.062)

Parents Foreign-Born **-.153\***  -.119 -**.**106

 (.076) (.150) (.073)

Foreign-Born .149 -.273 -**.**080

 (.087) (.171) (.084)

Undocumented  **.362\***  -.234 **.**096

 (.177) (.334) (.166)

Political Interest **.878\*\*\***  **.892\*\*\*** **.650\*\*\***

 (.049) (.093) (.046)

Household Income **.058\*\*\***  .036 .022

 (.013) (.026) (.013)

Age -.011 **-.023\*** **-.015\*\***

 (.006) (.012) (.006)

Female .110 .125 .033

 (.061) (.120) (.058)

Latinos Can Get Ahead -.019 **-.204\*\*** -.015

 (.036) (.072) (.034)

Trust **.121\*** -.090 **.104\***

 (.054) (.107) (.052)

Los Angeles .033 .034 -.060

 (.082) (.165) (.078)

San Antonio -.143 **-.359\*\*** **-.255\*\*\***

 (.074) (.144) (.071)

Atlanta .135 .277 -.098

 (.169) (.347) (.162)

Cut 1 /Constant -.659 .433 -.632

 (.207) (.403) (.198)

Cut 2 .554 n/a .081

 (.205) (.197)

Cut 3 2.13 n/a 1.02

 (.211) (.198)

Cut 4 n/a n/a 1.96

 (.202)

Observations: 1,458 1,458 1,458

Pseudo R Squared: .13 .11 .08

Note: \*p≤0.05; \*\*p≤0.01; \*\*\*p≤0.001

**Appendix Table Eight: Latinx College Logistic Regression Estimates of Political Activity Second Generation Only**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

 Vote Rally Meetings Petition

Language Brokering .466 **1.01\*\*\* .816\*\* .833\*\***

 (.297) (.279) (.321) (.271)

Non-Identifier **-.854\*\*\*** -.252 .111 **-.457\***

 (.206) (.229) (.253) (.193)

Parent Non-Identifier -.212 -.178 -.112 -.252

 (.169) (.165) (.190) (.155)

Parents Foreign-Born omitted omitted omitted omitted

Foreign-Born **-2.30\*\*\*** -.339 .085 **-.590\*\***

 (.192) (.213) (.232) (.187)

Undocumented omitted  **1.24\*\*\*** .573 **.829\***

 (.363) (.379) (.377)

Political Interest **.465\*\*\*** 1**.01\*\*\* .981\*\*\* .737\*\*\***

 (.129) (.134) (.162) (.120)

Household Income .050 -.047 -.005 .042

 (.040) (.038) (.044) (.036)

Age **.077\*\*\***  -.007 .035 .007

 (.020) (.018) (.018) (.016)

Female .212 .108 .000 .105

 (.170) (.165) (.190) (.157)

Latinos Can Get Ahead .038 -.170 .114 -.019

 (.100) (.092) (.110) (.091)

Trust .038 .145 .248 .244

 (.157) (.150) (.177) (.142)

Los Angeles -.173 -.301.022 **-.435\***

 (.212) (.183) (.219) (.192)

San Antonio **-.775\*\*\***  - **1.65\*\*\* - .547\* -1 .19\*\*\***

 (.207) (.235) (.256) (.190)

Atlanta -.040 - **1.09\*\*** - .029 **-1.00\*\***

 (.419) (.410) (.427) (.370)

Constant - 1.09 -1.64 -4.51 -.454

 (.615) (.566) (.652) (.526)

Observations: 921 921 921 921

Pseudo R Squared: .22 .18 .09 .13

Note: \*p≤0.05; \*\*p≤0.01; \*\*\*p≤0.001; The undocumented variable is omitted as the undocumented are unable to vote.

**Appendix Table Nine: Latinx College Logit and Ordered Probit Estimates of Political Activity at Home Second Generation Only**

 Talk Politics w/Parents Suggest Candidate or Party Parents’ would follow Political Guidance

Language Brokering  **.423\*\*\***  **.702\*\*** **.847\*\*\***

 (.131) (.267) (.127)

Non-Identifier -.070 **-.511\*\*** **-.379\*\*\***

 (.097) (.195) (.094)

Parent Non-Identifier **-.294\*\*\*** **-.582\*\*\*** -.006

 (.077) (.153) (.073)

Parents Foreign-Born omitted omitted omitted

Foreign-Born .139 -.324 -.006

 (.094) (.188) (.090)

Undocumented .331 -.215 .038

 (.180) (.345) (.169)

Political Interest **.886\*\*\***  **.972\*\*\*** **.672\*\*\***

 (.062) (.122) (.058)

Household Income **.053\*\***  .036 .023

 (.018) (.036) (.017)

Age -.005 -.017 **-.017\***

 (.008) (.016) (.008)

Female .093 .221 .051

 (.077) (.155) (.074)

Latinos Can Get Ahead -.003 -.164 -.030

 (.044) (.092) (.043)

Trust .113 -.149 .092

 (.070) (.140) (.066)

Los Angeles -.008 -.216 .011

 (.092) (.189) (.088)

San Antonio -.141 **-.680\*\*\*** -.165

 (.095) (.189) (.091)

Atlanta .085 -.153 .031

 (.190) (.384) (.182)

Cut 1 /Constant -.312 .273 -.462

 (.264) (.523) (.254)

Cut 2 .939 n/a .193

 (.262) (.252)

Cut 3 2.50 n/a 1.11

 (.270) (.254)

Cut 4 n/a n/a 2.09

 (.260)

Observations: 921 921 921

Pseudo R Squared: .13 .14 .09

Note: \*p≤0.05; \*\*p≤0.01; \*\*\*p≤0.001

**Appendix Table Ten: *Coding of GenForward Survey with Variable Mean and Standard Deviations***

Language Broker 0/1 .241 (.429)

Non-Identifier 0/1 .161 (.367)

Foreign Born Parent 0/1 .329 (.470)

College Degree 0/1 .272 (.445)

Household Income 1-18 8.05 (4.33)

Age 24.09 (3.48)

Female 0/1 .623 (.485)

Trust 1-3 1.71 (.591)

Concerned about Pres. Trump 1-5 4.07 (1.18)

Ideology (1-7) 3.45 (1.52)

Voted 0/1 .766 (.423)

Talk Politics with Parents 0/1 .572 (.495)

Suggest a Candidate or Party to Parents 0/1 .343 (.475)

Who Starts Political Conversations at Home 0-2 .828 (.809)

Latino 0/1 .278 (.448)

Asian 0/1 .149 (.356)

Black 0/1 .280 (.449)

White 0/1 .276 (.447)

**Appendix Table Eleven: GenForward Logit / Multinomial Logit Regression Estimates of Political Activity**

 Voted Talk Politics w/Parents. Suggest Candidate/Party Starts Political Conversations

Language Brokering -.123 **.470\*\* .535\*\*\* .535\*\***

 (.175) (.151) (.153) (.184)

Non-Identifier **-1.33\*\*\* -.739\*\*\* -.603\*\*\* -.764\*\*\***

 (.139) (.134) (.158) (.175)

Parents Foreign-Born -.149 -.138 .054 -.257

 (.162) (.137) (.146) (.172)

College Degree .**580\*\*\*** .192 .138 .165

 (.162) (.125) (.131) (.154)

Household Income .021 **.036\*\***  **.040\*\***  .012

 (.014) (.012) (.013) (.015)

Age -.027 **-.048\*\* -.062\*\*\* -.051\*\***

 (.018) (.015) (.017) (.019)

Female -.026 .038  **-.226\***  -.155

 (.123) (.103) (.108) (.126)

Trust -.012 -.157  **-.185\*** **-.218\***

 (.100) (.084) (.090) (.104)

Concerned about Trump -.064 .049  **.108\*** **.135\*** (.055) (.046) (.050) (.060)

Ideology **-.145\*\*\*** .001  **-.123\*\*\*** -.057 (.042) (.035) (.038) (.044)

Latino -.242 .084 -.169 .089 (.175) (.145) (.151) (.182)

Asian -.263 -.271 -.280 -.029 (.230) (.189) (.196) (.232)

Black -.057 .087  **-.646\*\*\*** .186 (.166) (.134) (.149) (.166)

Constant 2.79 1.19 1.09 .681

 (.602) (.498) (.537) (.621)

Observations: 1,823 1,823 1,823 1,823

Pseudo R Squared: .08 .03 .06 .03

Note: \*p≤0.05; \*\*p≤0.01; \*\*\*p≤0.001; White is the race baseline variable for the racial categories. Finally, only the category for “I start the political conversation at home” is displayed in the Starts Political Conversations at Home estimates.

**Appendix Table Twelve: Non-imputed GenForward Logit / Multinomial Logit Regression Estimates of Political Activity**

 Voted Talk Politics w/Parents. Suggest Candidate/Party Starts Political Conversations

Language Brokering -.100 **.519\* .377\* .465\***

 (.237) (.223) (.192) (.224)

Non-Identifier **-1.20\*\*\* -.537\*\* -.586\*\*** -.411

 (.208) (.215) (.235) (.237)

Parents Foreign-Born -.371 -.209 -.070 -.198

 (.223) (.211) (.189) (.212)

College Degree .**585\*\* .437\*** .080 .079

 (.224) (.189) (.162) (.189)

Household Income .017 **.038\*** .025 .027

 (.020) (.018) (.016) (.018)

Age .007 **-.080\*\*\* -.061\*\* -.079\*\*\***

 (.026) (.023) (.021) (.024)

Female -.140 -.097 -.082 -.260

 (.171) (.153) (.136) (.154)

Trust .205 **-.302\***  **-.251\***  -.129

 (.141) (.126) (.116) (.129)

 Concerned about Trump .053 .096  **.129\*** **.212\*\*** (.076) (.068) (.064) (.074)

Ideology -.073 -.053  **-.102\*** -.068 (.059) (.053) (.048) (.055)

Latino -.269 .114 -.093 .207 (.248) (.214) (.188) (.220)

Asian -.278 -.184 .205 .101 (.310) (.273) (.236) (.274)

Black -.404 .323  **-.673\*\*\*** .362 (.235) (.207) (.189) (.207)

Constant 1.50 2.75 1.20 .995

 (.818) (.743) (.668) (.759)

Observations: 1,181 1,016 1,100 1,178

Pseudo R Squared: .06 .04 .06 .03

Note: \*p≤0.05; \*\*p≤0.01; \*\*\*p≤0.001; White is the race baseline variable for the racial categories. Only the category for “I start the political conversation at home” is displayed in the Starts Political Conversations at Home estimates.

**Appendix Table Thirteen: GenForward Logit / Multinomial Logit Regression Estimates of Political Activity**

 Voted Talk Politics w/Parents. Suggest Candidate/Party Starts Political Conversations

Language Brokering -.123 **.490\*\*\* .546\*\*\* .560\*\***

 (.175) (.152) (.153) (.186)

Non-Identifier **-1.33\*\*\* -.583\*\*\* -.502\*\* -.548\*\***

 (.139) (.138) (.162) (.180)

Parents Foreign-Born -.149 -.122 .061 -.242

 (.162) (.139) (.146) (.174)

Voted omitted **.594\*\*\***  **.370\*\*\***  **.830\*\*\***

 (.119) (.133) (.159)

College Degree .**580\*\*\*** .142 .107 .099

 (.162) (.126) (.132) (.156)

Household Income .021 **.035\*\***  **.039\*\***  .010

 (.014) (.012) (.013) (.015)

Age -.027 **-.045\*\* -.061\*\*\* -.048\*\***

 (.018) (.015) (.017) (.019)

Female -.026 .041  **-.226\***  -.158

 (.123) (.103) (.108) (.127)

Trust -.012 -.161  **-.184\*** **-.223\***

 (.100) (.085) (.091) (.105)

Concerned about Trump -.064 .056  **.110\*** **.145\*** (.055) (.046) (.051) (.060)

Ideology **-.145\*\*\*** .015  **-.115\*\*** -.037 (.042) (.036) (.038) (.045)

Latino -.242 .109 -.156 .123 (.175) (.147) (.152) (.183)

Asian -.263 -.253 -.267 -.003 (.230) (.190) (.196) (.233)

Black -.057 .092  **-.646\*\*\*** .193 (.166) (.135) (.149) (.168)

Constant 2.79 .588 .721 -.166

 (.602) (.516) (.554) (.647)

Observations: 1,823 1,823 1,823 1,823

Pseudo R Squared: .08 .04 .07 .04

Note: \*p≤0.05; \*\*p≤0.01; \*\*\*p≤0.001; White is the race baseline variable for the racial categories. Only the category for “I start the political conversation at home” is displayed in the Starts Political Conversations at Home estimates. As mentioned, the voting variable was included to attempt to get some sense of political interest. However, this remains problematic for the reasons listed above concerning the ability to vote among those in immigrant communities. Other problems include being unable to untangle whether people lied about voting to do the socially desirable thing, or if they voted out of civic duty, or habit, instead of political interest.

**Appendix Table Fourteen: GenForward Second Generation Only Logit / Multinomial Logit Regression Estimates of Political Activity**

 Voted Talk Politics w/Parents. Suggest Candidate/Party Starts Political Conversations

Language Brokering .084 **.556\*\* .675\*\*\* .835\*\*\***

 (.234) (.202) (.206) (.255)

Non-Identifier **-1.26\*\*\* -.466\***  -.413-.391

 (.245) (.238) (.257) (.306)

Parents Foreign-Born omitted omitted omitted omitted

College Degree **.526\* .612\*\*** .277 **.845\*\***

 (.263) (.229) (.231) (.278)

Household Income .020 .033 .025 .010

 (.024) (.021) (.021) (.025)

Age **-.101\*\*** **-.078\*\* -.144\*\*\* -.102\*\***

 (.032) (.029) (.031) (.037)

Female -.141 .133 -.020 -.060

 (.206) (.178) (.182) (.220)

Trust .175 -.050 -.171 -.202

 (.169) (.148) (.154) (.188)

Concerned about Trump .112 **.190\*** .145 **.313\*\*** (.093) (.083) (.088) (.113)

Ideology -.089 -.030  **-**.002 -.062 (.072) (.063) (.065) (.080)

Latino -.346 -.127 -.386 -.416

 (.410) (.354) (.362) (.440)

Asian -.369 -.577 -.230 -.658

 (.414) (.353) (.357) (.432)

 Black .005 .027 -.663 -.340

 (.439) (.376) (.397) (.479)

Constant 3.22 1.05 2.40 .805

 (1.10) (.950) (.993) (1.21)

Observations: 600 600 600 600

Pseudo R Squared: .08 .05 .06 .05

Note: \*p≤0.05; \*\*p≤0.01; \*\*\*p≤0.001; White is the race baseline variable for the racial categories. Only the category for “I start the political conversation at home” is displayed in the Starts Political Conversations at Home estimates.

**Appendix Table Fifteen: *Coding of Education Longitudinal Study of 2002 with Variable Mean and Standard Deviations***

Frequency of Student Chores or Work Assigned in 2002 (0-3) 2.24 (.902)

Female Student (0/1) .502 (.500)

Parental Income in 2002 (0-12) 8.11 (2.42)

At least one Parent as a College Degree in 2002 (0/1 ) .302 (.459)

Family Received Newspaper in Household in 2002 (0/1 ) .647 (.478)

Student was attending College in 2006 (0/1). .487 (.500)

Nonwhite 0/1 .442 (.497)

College Degree as of 2012 (0/1) .385 (.487)

Income in 2011 (0-62) 26.2 (18.4)

Composite Test Score in 2002 (1-4) 2.57 (1.11)

Noncognitive Score Scale in 2002 (0-3) 1.79 (.701)

Has Kids in 2012 (0/1) .311 (.463)

Volunteered in 2006 (0/1) .440 (.496)

Voted in 2004 (0/1) .506 (.500)

Voted in 2008 (0/1) .623 (.485)

Voted in either 2009, 2010, 2011 (0/1) .387 (.487)

**Appendix Table Sixteen: ELS Logit Regression Estimates of Political Activity**

 Voted in 2004 Voted in 2008 Voted in either 2009,10,11

Household Chores **.076\*\* .082\* .108\*\***

 (.026) (.040) (.040)

Female Student **.232\*\*\* .295\*\*\*** -.023

 (.045) (.077) (.074)

Parents Income 2002 **.079\*\*\* .083\*\*\*** .034

 (.012) (.019) (.019)

Parent College Degree **.152\*\***  **.275\*\***  **.295\*\*\***

 (.054) (.089) (.081)

Family Newspaper Access **.259\*\*\*** .145 .121

 (.048) (.076) (.075)

Attending College 2006 **.402\*\*\*** omitted omitted

 (.052)

Nonwhite **-.418\*\*\*** .032 -.093

 (.049) (.083) (.081)

Composite Test Score **.137\*\*\*** **.104\*\***  . 029

 (.025) (.039) (.039)

College Degree unavailable **.566\*\*\***  **.319\*\*\***

 (.087) (.081)

 Income as Adult unavailable **.007\*\*\*** **.005\*\*** (.002) (.002)

Has Kids unavailable .007 -.004 (.078) (.077)

Constant -1.46 -1.35 -1 .44

 (.125) (.209) (.208)

Observations: 8,646 3,689 3,691

Pseudo R Squared: .06 .06 .02

Note: \*p≤0.05; \*\*p≤0.01; \*\*\*p≤0.001

**Appendix Table Seventeen: ELS Logit Regression Estimates of Political Activity with Noncognitive Measure**

 Voted in 2004 Voted in 2008 Voted in either 2009,10,11

Household Chores **.057\* .094\* .092\***

 (.028) (.043) (.043)

Female Student **.225\*\*\* .371\*\*\*** .023

 (.049) (.082) (.079)

Parents Income 2002 **.079\*\*\* .094\*\*\* .039\***

 (.013) (.020) (.020)

Parent College Degree **.135\*\***  **.251\*\***  **.336\*\*\***

 (.058) (.095) (.087)

Family Newspaper Access **.238\*\*\*** .131 .112

 (.052) (.082) (.080)

Attending College 2006 **.365\*\*\*** omitted omitted

 (.057)

Nonwhite **-.447\*\*\*** .040 -.104

 (.053) (.090) (.087)

Composite Test Score **.131\*\*\*** .076 .003

 (.038) (.043) (.042)

College Degree unavailable **.527\*\*\***  **.290\*\*\***

 (.093) (.087)

 Income as Adult unavailable **.006\*\*** .003 (.002) (.002)

Has Kids unavailable -.007 -.021 (.084) (.081)

Noncognitive Skills **.131\*\*\***  **.160**\*\* .107 (.038) (.059) (.057)

Constant -1.58 -1 .66 -1.52

 (.142) (.237) (.232)

Observations: 7,525 3,250 3,253

Pseudo R Squared: .06 .06 .02

Note: \*p≤0.05; \*\*p≤0.01; \*\*\*p≤0.001

**Appendix Table Eighteen: ELS Logit Regression Estimates of Political Activity Comparing White and Nonwhite Students**

 Voted in 2004 Voted in 2008 Voted in either 2009,10,11

 (white / nonwhite) (white / nonwhite) (white / nonwhite)

Household Chores .049 /**.106\*\*** .073 /.119 **.099\* / 145\***

 (.033) /(.041) (.050) /(.071) (.048) /(.074)

Female Student **.170\*\*** /**.328\*\*\* .361\*\*\*** /.209.030 /-.133 (.058) /(.074) (.094) /(.133) (.089) /(.136)

Parents Income 2002 **.087\*\*\*** /**.084\*\*\* .100\*\*\* /.065\*** .030 /.047

 (.017) /(.017) (.025) /(.029) (.025) /(.029)

Parent College Degree **.295\*\*\*** /-.118 **.439\*\*\*** /-.172 **.409\*\*\*** /-.051

 (.068) /(.091) (.107) /(.168) (.094) /(.164)

Family Newspaper Access **.221\*\*\*** /**.293\*\*\*** .079 /.245 .055 /.248

 (.064) /(.075) (.095) /(.130) (.091) /(.133)

Attending College 2006 **.399\*\*\*** /**.378\*\*\*** omitted  omitted (.067). /(.083)

Composite Test Score **.174\*\*\*** /**.102\*\*\*** **.107\*** /.104.056 /-.039

 (.033) /(.040) (.048) /(.071) (.046) /(.072)

College Degree unavailable **.589\*\*\*** /**.453\*\***  **.325\*\*\*** /.305

 (.103) /(.162) (.096) /(.158)

Income as Adult unavailable .004 /**.015\*\*\*** .003 /**.009\*** (.002) /(.004) (.002) /(.004)

Has Kids unavailable .008 /-.040 .047 /-.173 (.095) /(.140) (.091) /(.142)

Constant -1.55 /-1.88 -1.44 /-1.29 -1.46 /-1.48

 (.169) /(.170) (.269) /(.305) (.263) /(.316)

Observations: 5,435 / 3,211 2,583 / 1,106 2,583 / 1,108

Pseudo R Squared: .05 / .03 .06 / .05 .02. / .02

Note: \*p≤0.05; \*\*p≤0.01; \*\*\*p≤0.001

**Appendix Table Nineteen: ELS Logit Regression Estimates of Political Activity Comparing Students with Parents with and without College Degrees in 2002**

 Voted in 2004 Voted in 2008 Voted in either 2009,10,11

 (Degree /non-Degree) (Degree /non-Degree) (Degree /non-Degree)

Household Chores .062 /**.082\*\*** .034 /**.100\*** .068.  **/ 121\*\***

 (.047) /(.031) (.084) /(.047) (.073) /(.048)

Female Student **.195\*** /**.249\*\*\*** .085 /**.386\*\*\* -**.100 /.025 (.081) /(.055) (.147) /(.090) (.127) /(.092)

Parents Income 2002 **.107\*\*\*** /**.077\*\*\*** .070.  **/.089\*\*\* -**.002 /**.045\***

 (.025) /(.013) (.044) /(.020) (.040) /(.021)

Family Newspaper Access **.268\*\*** /**.246\*\*\*** **.489\*\*** /.029 **.324\*** /.043

 (.093) /(.057) (.158) /(.087) (.144) /(.088)

Attending College 2006 **.243\*** /**.446\*\*\*** omitted  omitted (.103) /(.061)

Nonwhite -**.721\*\*\*** /**-.280\*\*\***  -**.369\***  /**.189\* -.351\*** /.048

 (.087) /(.060) (.161) /(.096) (.148) /(.097)

Composite Test Score **.174\*\*\*** /**.132\*\*\*** **.202\*** /.079.094 /.007

 (.048) /(.145) (.081) /(.045) (.074) /(.046)

College Degree unavailable **.591\*\*\*** /**.542\*\*\***  **.315\***  /**.317\*\***

 (.156) /(.105) (.140) /(.101)

Income as Adult unavailable .002 /**.010\*\*\*** -.000 /**.008\*\*\*** (.004) /(.003) (.003) /(.003)

Has Kids unavailable .227 /-.070 .251 /-.104 (.171) /(.090) (.148) /(.090)

Constant -1.41 /-1.51 -1.11 /-1.41 -.865 /-1.56

 (.289) /(.145) (.510) /(.237) (.460) /(.244)

Observations: 2,887 / 5,759 1,145 / 2,544 1,148 / 2,543

Pseudo R Squared: .05 / .04 .06 / .05 .02 / .02

Note: \*p≤0.05; \*\*p≤0.01; \*\*\*p≤0.001