

Online Appendix to
Instrumental Variables Estimation of a Simple Dynamic Model
of Bidding Behavior in Private Value Auctions

John C. Ham
NYU Abu Dhabi and Wagner School NYU
IFAU, IRP, and IZA

Steven F. Lehrer
Queens University and NBER

May 2020

**Online Table A1: Alternative Estimates of the Bidding Equation
When the Lagged Signal is the Only IV**

Estimator	OLS (1)	FE (2)	IV (3)	OLS (4)	FE (5)	IV (6)	RE IV (7)
	Assumes <i>iid</i> errors			Assumes unrestricted errors			
Lagged Discount Rate	0.499*** (0.0158)	0.238*** (0.018)	-0.610* (0.349)	0.499*** (0.0445)	0.238*** (0.040)	-0.610* (0.314)	-0.610* (0.349)
Six-Bidder Session Indicator	-0.348*** (0.0725)	Omitted since subject invariant	-1.055*** (0.254)	-0.348** (0.140)	Omitted since subject invariant	-1.055** (0.495)	-1.055*** (0.254)
Periods 1–5 Indicator	-0.194 (0.132)	0.054 (0.121)	0.662* (0.350)	-0.194 (0.138)	0.054 (0.172)	0.662 (0.488)	0.662* (0.351)
Periods 6–10 Indicator	-0.0772 (0.122)	-0.090 (0.111)	-0.212 (0.212)	-0.0772 (0.0972)	-0.090 (0.127)	-0.212 (0.253)	-0.212 (0.212)
Periods 11–15 Indicator	-0.224* (0.123)	-0.245** (0.112)	-0.456** (0.223)	-0.224** (0.0903)	-0.245** (0.126)	-0.456* (0.261)	-0.456** (0.223)
Periods 16–20 Indicator	-0.0295 (0.121)	-0.044 (0.111)	-0.155 (0.211)	-0.0295 (0.0852)	-0.044 (0.121)	-0.155 (0.226)	-0.155 (0.211)
Periods 21–25 Indicator	0.00096 (0.121)	0.014 (0.110)	0.0254 (0.207)	0.000967 (0.0697)	0.014 (0.088)	0.0254 (0.171)	0.0254 (0.207)
Constant	1.644*** (0.107)	2.189*** (0.093)	5.149*** (1.113)	1.644*** (0.151)	2.189*** (0.129)	5.149*** (1.073)	5.149*** (1.115)
<i>F</i> -test on joint significance of period indicators ^a	1.22 [0.296]	1.71 [0.130]	1.68 [0.136]	1.99* [0.087]	1.63 [0.159]	1.66 [0.152]	4.195 [0.136]
Observations	2,570	2,570	2,570	2,570	2,570	2,570	2,570

Note: See notes to Table 2.

^a *F*-statistics in parentheses and *p*-values in parentheses. These *F*-statistics should be compared to the critical value of $F(5,2565) = 2.22$ for the *iid* case at the 5% level, and to the critical value of $F(5,91) = 2.31$ for the clustered case; the latter is a conservative critical value since it ignores the fact that the observations for the same individual are not perfectly correlated.

Online Table A2: Table 3: First-Stage Regressions and Tests of Instrument Strength When the Lagged Signal is the Only Instrument

	OLS	OLS	RE
<i>Error Structure</i>	Assumes <i>iid</i> errors	Assumes unrestricted errors	
Lagged Signal Linear	0.000672*** (0.000171)	0.000672*** (0.000191)	0.000910*** (0.000141)
Six-Bidder Session Indicator	-0.636*** (0.0894)	-0.636** (0.278)	-0.610** (0.268)
Periods 1–5 Indicator	0.777*** (0.164)	0.777*** (0.293)	0.840*** (0.134)
Periods 6–10 Indicator	-0.129 (0.151)	-0.129 (0.159)	-0.103 (0.124)
Periods 11–15 Indicator	-0.229 (0.153)	-0.229 (0.167)	-0.186 (0.126)
Periods 16–20 Indicator	-0.0615 (0.152)	-0.0615 (0.142)	-0.0198 (0.124)
Periods 21–25 Indicator	0.0522 (0.151)	0.0522 (0.117)	0.0691 (0.123)
Constant	2.804*** (0.148)	2.804*** (0.224)	2.651*** (0.219)
Appropriate <i>F</i> -Statistic to compare to the rule-of-thumb critical value of 10 ^a	15.46	15.46	41.80
Observations	2,570	2,570	2,570

Notes: See notes to Table 2.

^a We use the fact that $F(1,n) = \text{Chi-Square}(1)$ for a large n .