#### **Online Supplement**

The Epidemiology of Errors in Data Capture, Management, and Analysis: A Scoping Review of Retracted Articles and Retraction Notices in Clinical and Translational Research

Abigail S. Baldridge, Grace C. Bellinger, Oriana M. Fleming, Luke V. Rasmussen, Eric W. Whitley, and Leah J. Welty

**Table 1.** List of Unique Subjects and Database Frequencies.

Subject	Frequency	Subject to Include	Subject to Exclude
(B/T) Business - Accounting	250		
(B/T) Business - Economics	1,975		
(B/T) Business - General	877		
(B/T) Business - Management	1,696		
(B/T) Business - Manufacturing	76		
(B/T) Business - Marketing	335		
(B/T) Business - Public Relations	82		
(B/T) Computer Science	1,875		
(B/T) Foreign Aid	5		Yes
(B/T) Government	616		Yes
(B/T) International Relations	188		Yes
(B/T) Technology	2,681		
(B/T) Transportation	389		
(B/T) Urban Planning	261		
(BLS) Agriculture	335		Yes
(BLS) Anatomy/Physiology	532	Yes	
(BLS) Anthropology	27	Yes	
(BLS) Archeology	24		Yes
(BLS) Biochemistry	2,280	Yes	
(BLS) Biology - Cancer	1,315	Yes	
(BLS) Biology - Cellular	3,487	Yes	
(BLS) Biology - General	636	Yes	
(BLS) Biology - Molecular	2,290	Yes	
(BLS) Forensic Sciences	6		Yes
(BLS) Genetics	1,893	Yes	
(BLS) Microbiology	698	Yes	
(BLS) Neuroscience	551	Yes	
(BLS) Nutrition	140	Yes	
(BLS) Parasitology	63	Yes	
(BLS) Plant Biology/Botany	550		
(BLS) Toxicology	492	Yes	
(BLS) Zoology	381		
(ENV) Climate Change	48		
(ENV) Climatology	69		
(ENV) Ecology	415		
(ENV) Environmental Sciences	792		
(ENV) Food Science	107		
(ENV) Ground/Surface Water	299		
(HSC) Biostatistics/Epidemiology	254	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Alternative	115	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Anesthesia/Anaesthesia	365	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Cardiology	412	Yes	

(HSC) Medicine - Cardiovascular	605	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Dentistry	170	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Dermatology	114	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Diabetes	215	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Drug Design	358	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Endocrinology	229	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Gastroenterology	434	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - General	229	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Geriatric	103	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Immunology	593	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Infectious Disease	329	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Internal	62	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Neurology	550	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Nursing	50	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Obstetrics/Gynecology	475	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Oncology	1,063	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Ophthalmology	125	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Orthopedics	368	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Otorhinolaryngology	146	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Pathology	411	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Pediatrics	268	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Pharm	1	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Pharmacology	788	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Psychiatry	140	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Pulmonology	254	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Rehabilitation/Therapy	476	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Sports	73	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Surgery	790	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Transplantation	33	Yes	
(HSC) Medicine - Urology/Nephrology	260	Yes	
(HSC) Nutrition	58	Yes	
(HSC) Occupational Health and Safety	93	Yes	
(HSC) Public Health and Safety	423	Yes	
(HSC) Radiology/Imaging	257	Yes	
(HSC) Sports Science	17	Yes	
(HSC) Veterinary Science	118		Yes
(HUM) Architecture	92		Yes
(HUM) Arts - Biography	1		Yes
(HUM) Arts - Film Studies	11		Yes
(HUM) Arts - General	72		Yes
(HUM) Arts - Music	8		
(HUM) Arts -Literature/Poetry	70		
(HUM) Cartography	14		Yes
(HUM) History - Africa	2		Yes

(HUM) History - Asia	25		Yes
(HUM) History - Europe	26		Yes
(HUM) History - General	21		Yes
(HUM) History - North America	5		Yes
(HUM) History - South America	4		Yes
(HUM) History - United States	2		Yes
(HUM) Journalism	6		Yes
(HUM) Philosophy	41		Yes
(HUM) Religion	69		Yes
(PHY) Astronomy	13		Yes
(PHY) Astrophysics	52		Yes
(PHY) Chemistry	1,176		
(PHY) Cosmology	15		Yes
(PHY) Crystallography/Spectroscopy	318		Yes
(PHY) Energy	279		Yes
(PHY) Engineering - Chemical	424		Yes
(PHY) Engineering - Electrical	713		Yes
(PHY) Engineering - General	501		Yes
(PHY) Engineering - Mechanical	619		Yes
(PHY) Engineering - Structural	313		Yes
(PHY) Forensic Sciences	3		Yes
(PHY) Geology	306		Yes
(PHY) Hydrology	110		Yes
(PHY) Materials Science	1,042		Yes
(PHY) Mathematics	3,074		Yes
(PHY) Nanotechnology	229		
(PHY) Physics	663		
(PHY) Statistics	128		
(PUB) Institutional Journals	100		
(PUB) Society/Association Journals	4,094		
(SOC) Communications	205		
(SOC) Criminology	36		
(SOC) Education	1,612		
(SOC) Ethics/Bioethics	71		Yes
(SOC) Forensics	9		Yes
(SOC) Law/Legal Issues	144		Yes
(SOC) Linguistics	65		
(SOC) Military/Naval Studies	56		Yes
(SOC) Philosophy	20		Yes
(SOC) Political Science	49		Yes
(SOC) Psychology	574	Yes	
(SOC) Sexual And Marital Therapy	21		
(SOC) Sociology	441	Yes	
(SOC) Sports and Recreation	48		

**Table 2**. List of Reasons for Retraction of 12,555 Publications in BLS, HSC, or SOC.

Reason	Frequency	Reason to Include	Reason to Exclude
Author Unresponsive;	124		
Breach of Policy by Author;	275		
Breach of Policy by Third Party;	3		
Cites Prior Retracted Work;	60		
Civil Proceedings;	19		
Complaints about Author;	30		
Complaints about Company/Institution;	2		
Complaints about Third Party;	6		
Concerns/Issues About Authorship;	262		
Concerns/Issues About Data;	778	Yes	
Concerns/Issues About Image;	178		
Concerns/Issues about Referencing/Attributions;	164		
Concerns/Issues About Results;	263	Yes	
Concerns/Issues about Third Party Involvement;	38		
Conflict of Interest;	76		
Contamination of Cell Lines/Tissues;	48		
Contamination of Materials (General);	26		
Contamination of Reagents;	9		
Copyright Claims;	162		
Criminal Proceedings;	48		Yes
Date of Retraction/Other Unknown;	299		
Doing the Right Thing;	51	Yes	
Duplication of Article;	1,041		
Duplication of Data;	250		
Duplication of Image;	804		
Duplication of Text;	206		
Error by Journal/Publisher;	423		
Error by Third Party;	26		
Error in Analyses;	416	Yes	
Error in Cell Lines/Tissues;	45		
Error in Data;	787	Yes	
Error in Image;	347		
Error in Image; Notice - No/Limited Information;	1		
Error in Materials (General);	83		
Error in Methods;	448		
Error in Results and/or Conclusions;	454	Yes	
Error in Text;	93	Yes	
Ethical Violations by Author;	305		Yes
Ethical Violations by Third Party;	23		Yes
Euphemisms for Duplication;	242		Yes
Euphemisms for Misconduct;	19		Yes

Euphemisms for Misconduct; Notice - No/Limited Info	1	Yes
Euphemisms for Plagiarism;	438	Yes
Fake Peer Review;	419	Yes
Falsification/Fabrication of Data;	892	Yes
Falsification/Fabrication of Image;	324	
Falsification/Fabrication of Results;	80	
Forged Authorship;	204	Yes
Hoax Paper;	6	Yes
Informed/Patient Consent - None/Withdrawn;	90	
Investigation by Company/Institution;	1,548	
Investigation by Journal/Publisher;	1,162	
Investigation by ORI;	211	
Investigation by Third Party;	345	
Lack of Approval from Author;	181	
Lack of Approval from Company/Institution;	88	
Lack of Approval from Third Party;	98	
Lack Of Balance/Bias Issues;	30	
Lack of IRB/IACUC Approval;	247	
Legal Reasons/Legal Threats;	45	Yes
Manipulation of Images;	525	
Manipulation of Results;	27	
Miscommunication by Author;	55	
Miscommunication by Author; Notice - No/Limited Inf	1	
Miscommunication by Company/Institution;	5	
Miscommunication by Journal/Publisher;	10	
Miscommunication by Third Party;	8	
Misconduct - Official Investigation/Finding;	861	Yes
Misconduct by Author;	1,034	Yes
Misconduct by Company/Institution;	5	Yes
Misconduct by Third Party;	20	Yes
No Further Action;	2	
Nonpayment of Fees/Refusal to Pay;	7	
Not Presented at Conference;	6	
Notice - Lack of;	156	
Notice - Limited or No Information;	1,245	
Notice - No/Limited Information;	29	
Notice - Unable to Access via current resources;	129	
Objections by Author(s);	210	
Objections by Company/Institution;	20	
Objections by Third Party;	190	
Plagiarism of Article;	617	Yes
Plagiarism of Data;	132	Yes
Plagiarism of Image;	111	Yes
Plagiarism of Text;	437	Yes

Publishing Ban;	31		
Results Not Reproducible;	365	Yes	
Retract and Replace;	137		
Sabotage of Materials;	1		Yes
Salami Slicing;	10		
Taken from Dissertation/Thesis;	5		
Taken via Peer Review;	3		
Temporary Removal;	58		
Unreliable Data;	384		
Unreliable Image;	120		
Unreliable Results;	762	Yes	
Updated to Retraction;	2		
Upgrade/Update of Prior Notice;	165		
Withdrawal;	681		
Withdrawal; Notice - No/Limited Information;	2		
Withdrawn to Publish in Different Journal;	126		

**Table 3.** Cohen's Kappa for Inter-Reviewer Agreement of Full Text Review Questions in a Random Sample (n=44).

Data Element	Cohen's Kappa
Consortia	NaN*
Author Number	0.97
Full Text Available	0.81
Author Attribution	0.90
Data Type	0.84
Data Storage	0.87
Data Availability	0.78
Systematic Review	0.86
Human Subjects Design	0.89
Study Design	0.86
Observational	0.73
Temporality	0.78
Animal Study	0.93
Statistical Analysis Plan	0.83
Software State	0.81
Reproducible Methods	0.80

<sup>\*</sup>Kappa calculation is NaN since all responses matched and were the same ("No") for every question in the sample.

# Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) Checklist

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED
TITLE			ON PAGE #
Title	1	Identify the report as a scoping review.	1
ABSTRACT		identity the report as a scoping review.	'
Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary that includes (as applicable): background, objectives, eligibility criteria, sources of evidence, charting methods, results, and conclusions that relate to the review questions and objectives.	3
INTRODUCTION			
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known. Explain why the review questions/objectives lend themselves to a scoping review approach.	4-6
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the questions and objectives being addressed with reference to their key elements (e.g., population or participants, concepts, and context) or other relevant key elements used to conceptualize the review questions and/or objectives.	5-6
METHODS			
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate whether a review protocol exists; state if and where it can be accessed (e.g., a Web address); and if available, provide registration information, including the registration number.	6
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify characteristics of the sources of evidence used as eligibility criteria (e.g., years considered, language, and publication status), and provide a rationale.	7-9
Information sources*	7	Describe all information sources in the search (e.g., databases with dates of coverage and contact with authors to identify additional sources), as well as the date the most recent search was executed.	6,7,9-11
Search	8	Present the full electronic search strategy for at least 1 database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	6,7,9-11
Selection of sources of evidence†	9	State the process for selecting sources of evidence (i.e., screening and eligibility) included in the scoping review.	6,7,9-11
Data charting process‡	10	Describe the methods of charting data from the included sources of evidence (e.g., calibrated forms or forms that have been tested by the team before their use, and whether data charting was done independently or in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	9-11
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought and any assumptions and simplifications made.	9-11
Critical appraisal of individual sources of evidence§	12	If done, provide a rationale for conducting a critical appraisal of included sources of evidence; describe the methods used and how this information was used in any data synthesis (if appropriate).	NA



SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED ON PAGE #	
Synthesis of results	13	Describe the methods of handling and summarizing the data that were charted.	12	
RESULTS				
Selection of sources of evidence	14	Give numbers of sources of evidence screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally using a flow diagram.	12, Figure 1	
Characteristics of sources of evidence	15	For each source of evidence, present characteristics for which data were charted and provide the citations.	12-17	
Critical appraisal within sources of evidence	16	If done, present data on critical appraisal of included sources of evidence (see item 12).	NA	
Results of individual sources of evidence	17	For each included source of evidence, present the relevant data that were charted that relate to the review questions and objectives.	12-17	
Synthesis of results		Summarize and/or present the charting results as they relate to the review questions and objectives.	12-17	
DISCUSSION				
Summary of evidence	19	Summarize the main results (including an overview of concepts, themes, and types of evidence available), link to the review questions and objectives, and consider the relevance to key groups.	17-22	
Limitations	20	Discuss the limitations of the scoping review process.	22-23	
Conclusions	21	Provide a general interpretation of the results with respect to the review questions and objectives, as well as potential implications and/or next steps.	23	
FUNDING				
Funding	22	Describe sources of funding for the included sources of evidence, as well as sources of funding for the scoping review. Describe the role of the funders of the scoping review.	23	

JBI = Joanna Briggs Institute; PRISMA-ScR = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews.

From: Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMAScR): Checklist and Explanation. Ann Intern Med. 2018;169:467–473. doi: 10.7326/M18-0850.



<sup>\*</sup> Where sources of evidence (see second footnote) are compiled from, such as bibliographic databases, social media platforms, and Web sites.

<sup>†</sup> A more inclusive/heterogeneous term used to account for the different types of evidence or data sources (e.g., quantitative and/or qualitative research, expert opinion, and policy documents) that may be eligible in a scoping review as opposed to only studies. This is not to be confused with *information sources* (see first footnote).

<sup>‡</sup> The frameworks by Arksey and O'Malley (6) and Levac and colleagues (7) and the JBI guidance (4, 5) refer to the process of data extraction in a scoping review as data charting.

<sup>§</sup> The process of systematically examining research evidence to assess its validity, results, and relevance before using it to inform a decision. This term is used for items 12 and 19 instead of "risk of bias" (which is more applicable to systematic reviews of interventions) to include and acknowledge the various sources of evidence that may be used in a scoping review (e.g., quantitative and/or qualitative research, expert opinion, and policy document).

#### **■** Data Dictionary Codebook

06/22/2023 10:48am

#	Variable / Field Name	Field Label Field Note	Field Attributes (Field Type, Validation, Choices, Calculations, etc.)					
Instrume	nstrument: Article Information (article_information)							
1	[record_id]	Record ID	text					
2	[title]	Section Header: Article Information Title	notes, Required, Identifier					
3	[institution]	Institution	text					
4	[journal]	Journal	text					
5	[publisher]	Publisher	text					
6	[country]	Country	text					
		•						
7	[author]	Authors	notes					
8	[article_type]	Article Type	text					
9	[published]	Section Header: Publication Information  Date Published	text (date_mdy), Identifier					
10	[publication_doi]	Publication DOI	text					
11	[publication_id]	Publication PubMed ID	text					
12	[retracted]	Section Header: Retraction Information  Date Retracted	text (date_mdy), Identifier					
13	[url]	Retraction URL	notes					
14	[retraction_doi]	Retraction DOI	text					
15	[retraction_id]	Retraction PubMed ID	text					
16	[article_information_comple	Section Header: Form Status	dropdown					
	te]	Complete?	0 Incomplete 1 Unverified 2 Complete					
Instrume	l ent: Article Subjects (artic	e subjects)						
17	[subject_list]	Section Header: Study Information: Title: [title] Journal: [journal] Country: [country] Authors: [author] Publication Date: [published] Retraction Date: [retracted] Retraction URL: [url] Publication DOI: [publication_doi] Retraction DOI: [retraction_doi] List of Subjects	notes					
18	[num_subjects]	Number of Subjects	text (integer, Min: 0)					
19	[bt_business_accounting]	(B/T) Business - Accounting	yesno 1 Yes 0 No					
20	[bt_business_economics]	(B/T) Business - Economics	yesno 1 Yes 0 No					
21	[bt_business_general]	(B/T) Business - General	yesno 1 Yes 0 No					
22	[bt_business_management]	(B/T) Business - Management	yesno 1 Yes 0 No					

			·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	23	[bt_business_marketing]	(B/T) Business - Marketing	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	24	[bt_computer_science]	(B/T) Computer Science	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	25	[bt_technology]	(B/T) Technology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	26	[bt_transportation]	(B/T) Transportation	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	27	[bt_urban_planning]	(B/T) Urban Planning	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	28	[bls_anatomyphysiology]	(BLS) Anatomy/Physiology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	29	[bls_anthropology]	(BLS) Anthropology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	30	[bls_biochemistry]	(BLS) Biochemistry	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	31	[bls_biology_cancer]	(BLS) Biology - Cancer	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	32	[bls_biology_cellular]	(BLS) Biology - Cellular	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	33	[bls_biology_general]	(BLS) Biology - General	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	34	[bls_biology_molecular]	(BLS) Biology - Molecular	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	35	[bls_genetics]	(BLS) Genetics	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	36	[bls_microbiology]	(BLS) Microbiology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	37	[bls_neuroscience]	(BLS) Neuroscience	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	38	[bls_nutrition]	(BLS) Nutrition	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
•			•	

39	[bls_parasitology]	(BLS) Parasitology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
			U NO
40	[bls_plant_biologybotany]	(BLS) Plant Biology/Botany	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
41	[bls_toxicology]	(BLS) Toxicology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
42	[bls_zoology]	(BLS) Zoology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
43	<pre>[env_climatology]</pre>	(ENV) Climatology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
44	<pre>[env_environmental_science s]</pre>	(ENV) Environmental Sciences	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
45	[env_food_science]	(ENV) Food Science	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
46	<pre>[hsc_biostatisticsepidemiol ogy]</pre>	(HSC) Biostatistics/Epidemiology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
47	[hsc_medicine_alternative]	(HSC) Medicine - Alternative	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
48	[hsc_med_anesthesia]	(HSC) Medicine - Anesthesia/Anaesthesia	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
49	<pre>[hsc_medicine_cardiology]</pre>	(HSC) Medicine - Cardiology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
50	<pre>[hsc_medicine_cardiovascula r]</pre>	(HSC) Medicine - Cardiovascular	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
51	<pre>[hsc_medicine_dentistry]</pre>	(HSC) Medicine - Dentistry	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
52	[hsc_medicine_dermatology]	(HSC) Medicine - Dermatology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
53	[hsc_medicine_diabetes]	(HSC) Medicine - Diabetes	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
54	[hsc_medicine_drug_design]	(HSC) Medicine - Drug Design	yesno 1 Yes 0 No

,				
	55	<pre>[hsc_medicine_endocrinolog y]</pre>	(HSC) Medicine - Endocrinology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	56	<pre>[hsc_medicine_gastroenterol ogy]</pre>	(HSC) Medicine - Gastroenterology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	57	[hsc_medicine_general]	(HSC) Medicine - General	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	58	[hsc_medicine_geriatric]	(HSC) Medicine - Geriatric	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	59	[hsc_medicine_immunology]	(HSC) Medicine - Immunology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	60	<pre>[hsc_medicine_infectious_di sease]</pre>	(HSC) Medicine - Infectious Disease	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	61	[hsc_medicine_internal]	(HSC) Medicine - Internal	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	62	[hsc_medicine_neurology]	(HSC) Medicine - Neurology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	63	[hsc_medicine_nursing]	(HSC) Medicine - Nursing	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	64	[hsc_medicine_obgyn]	(HSC) Medicine - Obstetrics/Gynecology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	65	[hsc_medicine_oncology]	(HSC) Medicine - Oncology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	66	<pre>[hsc_medicine_ophthalmolog y]</pre>	(HSC) Medicine - Ophthalmology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	67	[hsc_medicine_orthopedics]	(HSC) Medicine - Orthopedics	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	68	[hsc_medicine_otorhinolaryn gology]	(HSC) Medicine - Otorhinolaryngology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	69	[hsc_medicine_pathology]	(HSC) Medicine - Pathology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	70	[hsc_medicine_pediatrics]	(HSC) Medicine - Pediatrics	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
_				

•				
	71	[hsc_medicine_pharmacology]	(HSC) Medicine - Pharmacology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	72	[hsc_medicine_psychiatry]	(HSC) Medicine - Psychiatry	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	73	[hsc_medicine_pulmonology]	(HSC) Medicine - Pulmonology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	74	[hsc_medicine_rehabtherapy]	(HSC) Medicine - Rehabilitation/Therapy	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	75	[hsc_medicine_sports]	(HSC) Medicine - Sports	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	76	[hsc_medicine_surgery]	(HSC) Medicine - Surgery	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	77	[hsc_nutrition]	(HSC) Nutrition	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	78	[hsc_ohs]	(HSC) Occupational Health and Safety	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	79	<pre>[hsc_public_health_and_safe ty]</pre>	(HSC) Public Health and Safety	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	80	<pre>[pub_societyassociation_jou rnals]</pre>	(PUB) Society/Association Journals	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	81	[soc_communications]	(SOC) Communications	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	82	[soc_education]	(SOC) Education	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	83	[soc_psychology]	(SOC) Psychology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	84	[soc_sexual_and_marital_the rapy]	(SOC) Sexual And Marital Therapy	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	85	[soc_sociology]	(SOC) Sociology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	86	[env_ecology]	(ENV) Ecology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No

	87	[env_groundsurface_water]	(ENV) Ground/Surface Water	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	88	<pre>[hsc_medicine_urologynephro logy]</pre>	(HSC) Medicine - Urology/Nephrology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	89	[hsc_radiologyimaging]	(HSC) Radiology/Imaging	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	90	[phy_chemistry]	(PHY) Chemistry	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	91	[phy_physics]	(PHY) Physics	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	92	<pre>[pub_institutional_journal s]</pre>	(PUB) Institutional Journals	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	93	[soc_criminology]	(SOC) Criminology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	94	[soc_sports_and_recreation]	(SOC) Sports and Recreation	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	95	[env_climate_change]	(ENV) Climate Change	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	96	<pre>[hsc_medicine_transplantati on]</pre>	(HSC) Medicine - Transplantation	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	97	[hsc_sports_science]	(HSC) Sports Science	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	98	[phy_nanotechnology]	(PHY) Nanotechnology	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	99	[phy_statistics]	(PHY) Statistics	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	100	[article_subjects_complete]	Section Header: Form Status Complete?	dropdown  0 Incomplete  1 Unverified  2 Complete
Inst	rume	ent: Retraction Reasons (	retraction_reasons)	
	101	[reason_list]	Section Header: Study Information: Title: [title] Journal: [journal] Country: [country] Authors: [author] Publication Date: [published] Retraction Date: [retracted] Retraction URL: [url] Publication DOI: [publication_doi] Retraction DOI: [retraction_doi] List of Reasons	notes

102	[num_reasons]	Number of Reasons	text
103	[author_unresponsive]	Author Unresponsive	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
104	[breach_of_policy_by_author]	Breach of Policy by Author	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
105	<pre>[cites_prior_retracted_wor k]</pre>	Cites Prior Retracted Work	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
106	[civil_proceedings]	Civil Proceedings	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
107	[complaints_about_author]	Complaints about Author	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
108	<pre>[complaints_about_co_instit ution]</pre>	Complaints about Company/Institution	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
109	<pre>[complaints_about_third_par ty]</pre>	Complaints about Third Party	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
110	<pre>[concerns_issues_about_auth orship]</pre>	Concerns/Issues About Authorship	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
111	<pre>[concerns_issues_about_dat a]</pre>	Concerns/Issues About Data	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
112	<pre>[concerns_issues_about_imag e]</pre>	Concerns/Issues About Image	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
113	<pre>[concerns_issues_about_resu lts]</pre>	Concerns/Issues About Results	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
114	[referencing_attributions]	Concerns/Issues about Referencing/Attributions	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
115	[conflict_of_interest]	Conflict of Interest	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
116	<pre>[contamination_of_cell_tiss ues]</pre>	Contamination of Cell Lines/Tissues	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
117	<pre>[contamination_of_materials _gen]</pre>	Contamination of Materials (General)	yesno 1 Yes 0 No

,			,	1 - 1
	118	[copyright_claims]	Copyright Claims	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	119	[date_of_retraction_other_u nknown]	Date of Retraction/Other Unknown	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	120	[doing_the_right_thing]	Doing the Right Thing	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	121	[duplication_of_article]	Duplication of Article	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	122	[duplication_of_data]	Duplication of Data	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	123	[duplication_of_image]	Duplication of Image	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	124	[duplication_of_text]	Duplication of Text	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	125	<pre>[error_by_journal_publishe r]</pre>	Error by Journal/Publisher	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	126	[error_by_third_party]	Error by Third Party	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	127	[error_in_analyses]	Error in Analyses	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	128	<pre>[error_in_cell_lines_tissue s]</pre>	Error in Cell Lines/Tissues	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	129	[error_in_data]	Error in Data	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	130	[error_in_image]	Error in Image	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	131	<pre>[error_in_materials_genera 1]</pre>	Error in Materials (General)	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	132	[error_in_methods]	Error in Methods	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	133	[error_in_results_or_conclusions]	Error in Results and/or Conclusions	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
				•

			•	
13	34 [err	or_in_text]	Error in Text	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
13	35 [fal imag	sification_fabrication_ e]	Falsification/Fabrication of Image	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
13	36 [inv	estigation_by_co_inst]	Investigation by Company/Institution	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
13	37 [inv	estigation_by_journal]	Investigation by Journal/Publisher	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
13	38 [lac s]	k_of_balance_bias_issue	Lack Of Balance/Bias Issues	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
13	39 [lac or]	k_of_approval_from_auth	Lack of Approval from Author	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
14	10 [lac ty]	k_of_approval_third_par	Lack of Approval from Third Party	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
14	11 [lac 1]	k_of_irb_iacuc_approva	Lack of IRB/IACUC Approval	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
14	12 [man	ipulation_of_images]	Manipulation of Images	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
14	43 [not	ice_limited_or_no_infor on]	Notice - Limited or No Information	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
14	14 [obj	ections_by_authors]	Objections by Author(s)	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
14	45 [obj	ections_by_third_party]	Objections by Third Party	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
14	46 [res	ults_not_reproducible]	Results Not Reproducible	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
14	17 [ret	ract_and_replace]	Retract and Replace	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
14	48 [unr	eliable_data]	Unreliable Data	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
14	49 [unr	eliable_results]	Unreliable Results	yesno 1 Yes 0 No

				, , ,
	150	[third_party_involvement]	Concerns/Issues about Third Party Involvement	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	151	[contamination_of_reagents]	Contamination of Reagents	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	152	[informed_patient_consent]	Informed/Patient Consent - None/Withdrawn	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	153	<pre>[investigation_by_third_par ty]</pre>	Investigation by Third Party	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	154	[lack_of_approval]	Lack of Approval from Company/Institution	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	155	[manipulation_of_results]	Manipulation of Results	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	156	<pre>[miscommunication_by_autho r]</pre>	Miscommunication by Author	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	157	[objections]	Objections by Company/Institution	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	158	[unreliable_image]	Unreliable Image	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	159	<pre>[upgrade_update_of_prior_no tice]</pre>	Upgrade/Update of Prior Notice	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	160	[withdrawal]	Withdrawal	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	161	<pre>[miscommunication_by_third_ party]</pre>	Miscommunication by Third Party	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	162	<pre>[notice_lack_of]</pre>	Notice - Lack of	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	163	[investigation_by_ori]	Investigation by ORI	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	164	[publishing_ban]	Publishing Ban	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	165	[temporary_removal]	Temporary Removal	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
_	_			

	166	<pre>[miscommunication_by_journa 1]</pre>	Miscommunication by Journal/Publisher	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	167	[salami_slicing]	Salami Slicing	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	168	<pre>[retraction_reasons_complet e]</pre>	Section Header: Form Status Complete?	dropdown  0 Incomplete  1 Unverified  2 Complete
Ins	trume	ent: Abstract Review 1 (ak	ostract_review_1)	
	169	[abstract_review_date_v1]	Section Header: Study Information: Title: [title] Journal: [journal] Country: [country] Authors: [author] Publication Date: [published] Retraction Date: [retracted] Retraction URL: [url] Publication DOI: [publication_doi] Retraction DOI: [retraction_doi] Date of Abstract Review	text (date_mdy)
	170	[abstract_reviewer_v1]	Reviewer	radio  0 Abigail Baldridge  1 Eric Whitely  2 Leah Welty  3 Luke Rasmussen
	171	[english_v1]	Is the article written in the English language?	yesno 1 Yes 0 No  Custom alignment: LH
	172	[human_research_v1]	Is the article about human subjects research?	yesno 1 Yes 0 No  Custom alignment: LH
	173	[translational_v1]	If the article is not about human subjects research, is it	yesno
		Show the field ONLY if: [human_research_v1] = '0'	applicable to the human translational research pipeline?	1 Yes 0 No  Custom alignment: LH
	174	[elligible_v1]	This Article is Eligible.	descriptive
		Show the field ONLY if: [english_v1] = '1' and ([human _research_v1] = '1' or [translat ional_v1] = '1')		·
	175	[inelligible_v1] Show the field ONLY if: [english_v1] = '0' or ([human_research_v1] = '0' and [translational_v1] = '0')	This Article is Not Eligible.	descriptive
	176	[eiligibility_v1]	Is the article eligible for full text review?	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	177	[abstract_review_1_complet e]	Section Header: Form Status Complete?	dropdown 0 Incomplete 1 Unverified 2 Complete

Ins	trume	nt: Abstract Review 2 (ab	stract_review_2) 🔄 Enabled as survey	
	178	[abstract_review_date_v2]	Section Header: Study Information: Title: [title] Journal: [journal] Country: [country] Authors: [author] Publication Date: [published] Retraction Date: [retracted] Retraction URL: [url] Publication DOI: [publication_doi]	text (date_mdy)
			Date of Abstract Review	
	179	[abstract_reviewer_v2]	Reviewer	radio  0 Abigail Baldridge  1 Eric Whitely  2 Leah Welty  3 Luke Rasmussen
	180	[english_v2]	Is the article written in the English language?	yesno 1 Yes 0 No  Custom alignment: LH
	181	[human_research_v2]	Is the article about human subjects research?	yesno 1 Yes 0 No  Custom alignment: LH
	182	[translational_v2] Show the field ONLY if: [human_research_v2] = '0'	If the article is not about human subjects research, is it applicable to the human translational research pipeline?	yesno 1 Yes 0 No  Custom alignment: LH
	183	[elligible_v2] Show the field ONLY if: [english_v2] = '1' and ([human _research_v2] = '1' or [translat ional_v2] = '1')	This Article is Eligible.	descriptive
	184	[inelligible_v2] Show the field ONLY if: [english_v2] = '0' or ([human_research_v2] = '0' and [translational_v2] = '0')	This Article is Not Eligible.	descriptive
	185	[eiligibility_v2]	Is the article eligible for full text review?	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	186	<pre>[abstract_review_2_complet e]</pre>	Section Header: Form Status Complete?	dropdown  0 Incomplete 1 Unverified 2 Complete
Ins	trume	nt: Abstract Review 3 (ab	ostract_review_3)	
	187	[abstract_review_date_v3]	Section Header: Study Information: Title: [title] Journal: [journal] Country: [country] Authors: [author] Publication Date: [published] Retraction Date: [retracted] Retraction URL: [url] Publication DOI: [publication_doi] Retraction DOI: [retraction_doi]  Date of Abstract Review	text (date_mdy)
	188	[abstract_reviewer_v3]	Reviewer	radio  0 Abigail Baldridge  1 Eric Whitely  2 Leah Welty  3 Luke Rasmussen  4 Group

_				
	189	[english_v3]	Is the article written in the English language?	yesno 1 Yes 0 No  Custom alignment: LH
	190	[human_research_v3]	Is the article about human subjects research?	yesno 1 Yes 0 No  Custom alignment: LH
	191	[	If the article is not about human subjects research is it	
	191	[translational_v3]  Show the field ONLY if: [human_research_v3] = '0'	If the article is not about human subjects research, is it applicable to the human translational research pipeline?	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
				Custom alignment: LH
	192	[elligible_v3]	This Article is Eligible.	descriptive
		Show the field ONLY if: [english_v3] = '1' and ([human _research_v3] = '1' or [translat ional_v3] = '1')		
	193	[inelligible_v3]	This Article is Not Eligible.	descriptive
		Show the field ONLY if: [english_v3] = '0' or ([human_ research_v3] = '0' and [transla tional_v3] = '0')		
	194	[eiligibility_v3]	Is the article eligible for full text review?	yesno
				1 Yes 0 No
	195	[abstract_review_3_complet	Section Header: Form Status	dropdown
		e]	Complete?	0 Incomplete 1 Unverified 2 Complete
Inc	trume	nt: Full Text Review (full_	text_review) 🛂 Enabled as survey	
1113	196		Section Header: Study Information: Title: [title] Journal: [journal]	text (date_mdy), Required
	130	[1022_cexe_, evicu_uute]	Country: [country] Authors: [author] Publication Date: [published] Retraction Date: [retracted] Retraction URL: [url] Publication DOI: [publication_doi] Retraction DOI: [retraction_doi]	Field Annotation: @TODAY @HIDDEN-SURVEY
			Date of Full Text Review	
	197	[full_text_reviewer]	Reviewer	radio, Required  0 Abigail Baldridge  1 Eric Whitely  2 Leah Welty  3 Luke Rasmussen  4 Grace Bellinger  5 Oriana Fleming
	198	[consortia]	Section Header: Author Information  Is one or more of the authors a consortia?	yesno, Required  1 Yes  0 No
	199	[author_num]	Count the total Number of Individual Authors	text (integer, Min: 0), Required
	200	[retraction_notice]	Section Header: Retracted Article  Copy and Paste the Verbatim Retraction Notice Here:	notes, Required
	201	[notes]	From the journal website  Notes about the article:  Any extenuating circumstances about the article information or availability	notes

		Trottadion Water Analysis   1	·
202	[full_text_available]	Is the full text of the retracted article available?	yesno, Required  1 Yes  0 No  Stop actions on 0
	[stop] Show the field ONLY if: [full_text_available] = '0'	The full text review does not need to be completed. You may exit the survey.	descriptive
204	[file_upload] Show the field ONLY if: [full_text_available] = '1'	Please upload a pdf of the retracted article.  Save/Print to PDF if the article is only available in other forms (HTML)	file, Required
	[ header ] Show the field ONLY if: [full_text_available] = '1'	For all remaining questions, use ONLY the article itself, or its supplemental materials. If information are not included in the article or supplemental materials, these fields can be left blank or as "N/A".	descriptive
206	[attribauthor] Show the field ONLY if: [full_text_available] = '1'	Section Header: Author Roles and Qualifications Is there an attribution statement? This is a statement of which authors are responsible for which parts of the study, analysis, and manuscript. This may also be called "Author Contributions" or "Author Responsibilities"	yesno, Required  1 Yes  0 No
207	[attrib_statement] Show the field ONLY if: [attribauthor] = '1'	Copy and Paste the Attribution Statement Here	notes, Required
	[data_type] Show the field ONLY if: [full_text_available] = '1'	Section Header: Data Collection  What is the primary type of data used?  This information is typically found in either the abstract or the methods section.	radio, Required  0 De Novo Collected  1 Previously Collected for Research Purposes  2 Previously Collected, not Necessarily for Research  3 Undefined  4 Other
209	[other_data] Show the field ONLY if: [data_type] = '4'	List the Other Type of Data	text, Required
210	[data_storage] Show the field ONLY if: [data_type] = '0'	Where was the primary data collected for the publication?	checkbox, Required  0 data_storage0 Entered into Editable File (e.g. Excel, CSV, Tab Delimited)  1 data_storage1 Research Study Software (e.g. REDCap, CTMS)  2 data_storage2 Database Program (e.g. ACCESS, SQL)  3 data_storage3 Undefined  4 data_storage4 Other
211	[other_data_storage] Show the field ONLY if: [data_storage(4)] = '1'	List the Other Data Collection Method/Location	text, Required
	[data_source] Show the field ONLY if: [data_type] = '1' or [data_type] = '2'	For previously collected data, how was the data obtained for this publication? Copy and paste all relevant statements, using a carriage return between separate statements.	notes, Required
213	[data_availability] Show the field ONLY if: [full_text_available] = '1'	Section Header: Data Availability Is the data used within this publication available? Note: if it came from a publically available dataset, then yes.	dropdown, Required  0 Publicly available  1 Available upon request  2 Unknown

214	[sys_review]	Section Header: Methods	yesno, Required
	Show the field ONLY if: [full_text_available] = '1'	Is this a Systematic Review or Meta Analysis?	1 Yes 0 No
215	[human_subj] Show the field ONLY if: [sys_review] = '0'	Is this Human Subjects research?	yesno, Required  1 Yes  0 No
216	[study_design] Show the field ONLY if: [human_subj] = '1'	What was the human subjects design?	checkbox, Required  0 study_design0 Human: Clinical Subjects Trial  1 study_design1 Human: Observational Study  2 study_design2 Benchtop (e.g. Cell lines, tissue)  99 study_design99 Undefined
217	[observational] Show the field ONLY if: [study_design(1)] = '1'	What type of observational study was performed?	radio, Required  0 Case-Control  1 Cross Sectional  2 Longitudinal / Repeated Measures  4 Case Report  3 Undefined
218	<pre>[temporality] Show the field ONLY if: [study_design(1)] = '1'</pre>	What was the temporality of the observational study?	radio, Required  0 Retrospective  1 Prospective  2 Both  3 Undefined  4 NA (For Case Reports or Cross Sectional Studies)
219	[animal] Show the field ONLY if: [sys_review] = '0'	Were live animals used in this research study?  Animals were studied, sacrificed, or otherwise involved in the study	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
220	[sap] Show the field ONLY if: [full_text_available] = '1'	Does the article state that a statistical analysis plan was pre-specified?	radio, Required  1 Yes, publicly available  2 Yes, stated as pre-specified but not publicly available  3 Not explicitly stated

	221	[software]	What software was used for analysis?	check	kbox, Required	
		Show the field ONLY if: [full_text_available] = '1'		8	software8	Excel / Spreadsheet / Google Sheets
				5	software5	GraphPad PRISM
				9	software9	JMP
				10	software10	KaleidaGraph
				11	software11	Minitab
				13	software13	MPlus
				7	software7	Power BI
				3	software3	Python
				1	software1	R / R Studio
				0	software0	SAS
				4	software4	SPSS / PASW / PASW18
				2	software2	Stata
				12	software12	Statistica
				6	software6	Tableau
				999	software999	Undefined
					software777	Other
	222	[other software]	List the Other Software		Required	
	222	Show the field ONLY if:	List the other software	ccxc,	ricquired	
		[software(777)] = '1'				
	223	[reproducible_methods]	Is there any additional information about the use of	yesno	o, Required	
		Show the field ONLY if:	reproducible research methods?	1 Y	es	
		[full_text_available] = '1'		0 N	lo	
	224	[reproducible_statement]	Copy and paste the verbatim language about reproducible	notes	s, Required	
		Show the field ONLY if: [reproducible_methods] = '1'	methods here:			
	225	[full_text_review_complete]	Section Header: Form Status Complete?	0 li	down ncomplete Unverified Complete	
					<u> </u>	
Inst			ication (full_text_review_verification)	s surv	еу	
	226	[verification]	Section Header: Study Information: Title: [title] Journal: [journal] Country: [country] Authors: [author] Publication Date: [published] Retraction Date: [retracted] Retraction URL: [url] Publication DOI: [publication_doi] Retraction DOI: [retraction_doi] Randomly selected for full text review verification?	1	es lo	
	227	[full_text_review_date_2]	Date of Full Text Review		date_mdy), Requii Annotation: @TO	red DAY @HIDDEN-SURVEY
	228	[full_text_reviewer_2]	Reviewer	0 A 1 E 2 L 3 L 4 G	, Required , bigail Baldridge ric Whitely eah Welty uke Rasmussen Grace Bellinger Oriana Fleming	
	229	[consortia_2]	Section Header: Author Information  Is one or more of the authors a consortia?	1 Y	o, Required les	
	230	[author_num_2]	Count the total Number of Individual Authors	text (	integer, Min: 0), R	equired
ш_			<u> </u>	· `		<u> </u>

231	[retraction_notice_2]	Section Header: Retracted Article  Copy and Paste the Verbatim Retraction Notice Here: From the journal website	notes, Required
232	[notes_2]	Notes about the article: Any extenuating circumstances about the article information or availability	notes
233	[full_text_available_2]	Is the full text of the retracted article available?	yesno, Required  1 Yes 0 No
234	[stop_2] Show the field ONLY if: [full_text_available_2] = '0'	The full text review does not need to be completed. You may exit the survey.	Stop actions on 0  descriptive
235	[file_upload_2] Show the field ONLY if: [full_text_available_2] = '1'	Please upload a pdf of the retracted article.  Save/Print to PDF if the article is only available in other forms (HTML)	file, Required
236	[header_2] Show the field ONLY if: [full_text_available_2] = '1'	For all remaining questions, use ONLY the article itself, or its supplemental materials. If information are not included in the article or supplemental materials, these fields can be left blank or as "N/A".	descriptive
237	[attribauthor_2] Show the field ONLY if: [full_text_available_2] = '1'	Section Header: Author Roles and Qualifications Is there an attribution statement? This is a statement of which authors are responsible for which parts of the study, analysis, and manuscript. This may also be called "Author Contributions" or "Author Responsibilities"	yesno, Required  1 Yes  0 No
238	[attrib_statement_2] Show the field ONLY if: [attribauthor_2] = '1'	Copy and Paste the Attribution Statement Here	notes, Required
239	[data_type_2]  Show the field ONLY if: [full_text_available_2] = '1'	Section Header: Data Collection  What is the primary type of data used?  This information is typically found in either the abstract or the methods section.	radio, Required  0 De Novo Collected  1 Previously Collected for Research Purposes  2 Previously Collected, not Necessarily for Research  3 Undefined  4 Other
240	[other_data_2] Show the field ONLY if: [data_type_2] = '4'	List the Other Type of Data	text, Required
241	[data_storage_2] Show the field ONLY if: [data_type_2] = '0'	Where was the primary data collected for the publication?	checkbox, Required  0 data_storage_20 Entered into Editable File (e.g. Excel, CSV, Tab Delimited)  1 data_storage_21 Research Study Software (e.g. REDCap, CTMS)
			2 data_storage_22 Database Program (e.g. ACCESS, SQL) 3 data_storage_23 Undefined 4 data_storage_24 Other
242	[other_data_storage_2] Show the field ONLY if: [data_storage_2(4)] = '1'	List the Other Data Collection Method/Location	text, Required
243	[data_source_2] Show the field ONLY if: [data_type_2] = '1' or [data_ty pe_2] = '2'	For previously collected data, how was the data obtained for this publication? Copy and paste all relevant statements, using a carriage return between separate statements.	notes, Required

	<del></del>		<del></del>
244	Show the field ONLY if:	Section Header: <i>Data Availability</i> Is the data used within this publication available? Note: if it came from a publically available dataset, then yes.	dropdown, Required  0 Publicly available
	[full_text_available_2] = '1'	tame nom a publically available dataset, then yes.	1 Available upon request 2 Unknown
245		Section Header: <i>Methods</i> Is this a Systematic Review or Meta Analysis?	yesno, Required
	Show the field ONLY if: [full_text_available_2] = '1'	is and a systematic new or meta/maysis.	0 No
246		Is this Human Subjects research?	yesno, Required 1 Yes
	Show the field ONLY if: [sys_review_2] = '0'		0 No
247		What was the human subjects design?	checkbox, Required  0 study_design_20 Human: Clinical Subjects
	Show the field ONLY if: [human_subj_2] = '1'		Trial
			1 study_design_21 Human: Observational Study
			2 study_design_22 Benchtop (e.g. Cell lines, tissue)
			99 study_design_299 Undefined
248	[observational_2]	What type of observational study was performed?	radio, Required
	Show the field ONLY if:		0 Case-Control
	[study_design_2(1)] = '1'		1 Cross Sectional
			2 Longitudinal / Repeated Measures
			4 Case Report
			3 Undefined
249	[temporality_2]	What was the temporality of the observational study?	radio, Required
	Show the field ONLY if:		0 Retrospective
	[study_design_2(1)] = '1'		1 Prospective
			2 Both
			3 Undefined
			4 NA (For Case Reports or Cross Sectional Studies)
250	[animal_2]	Were live animals used in this research study?	yesno, Required
	Show the field ONLY if:	Animals were studied, sacrificed, or otherwise involved in the study	1 Yes
	[sys_review_2] = '0'		0 No
251	[sap_2]	Does the article state that a statistical analysis plan was	radio, Required
	Show the field ONLY if:	pre-specified?	1 Yes, publicly available
	[full_text_available_2] = '1'		2 Yes, stated as pre-specified but not publicly available
			3 Not explicitly stated

	252	[software_2]	What software was used for analysis?	checkbox, Required
		Show the field ONLY if: [full_text_available_2] = '1'		8 software_28 Excel / Spreadsheet / Google Sheets
		[ruii_text_available_2] = 1		5 software_25 GraphPad PRISM
				9 software_29 JMP
				10 software_210 KaleidaGraph
				11 software_211 Minitab
				13 software_213 MPlus
				7 software_27 Power BI
				3 software_23 Python
				1 software_21 R / R Studio
				0 software_20 SAS
				4 software_24 SPSS / PASW / PASW18
				2 software_22 Stata
				12 software_212 Statistica
				6 software_26 Tableau
				999 software_2999 Undefined
				777 software_2777 Other
	253	[ other_software_2 ] Show the field ONLY if: [software_2(777)] = '1'	List the Other Software	text, Required
	254	[reproducible_methods_2]	ls there any additional information about the use of	yesno, Required
	234		reproducible research methods?	1 Yes
		Show the field ONLY if: [full_text_available_2] = '1'		0 No
	255	[reproducible_statement_2]	Copy and paste the verbatim language about reproducible	notes, Required
	233	Show the field ONLY if:	methods here:	notes, required
		[reproducible_methods_2] =		
		'1'		
	256	<pre>[full_text_review_verificat ion_complete]</pre>	Section Header: Form Status	dropdown
		Ton_complete]	Complete?	0 Incomplete
				1 Unverified
				2 Complete
Inst	rume	nt: Qualitative Review (d	ualitative_review)	
	257	[reasons]	Section Header: Study Information: Title: [title] Journal: [journal] Country: [country] Authors: [author] Publication Date: [published] Retraction Date: [retracted] Retraction URL: [url] Publication DOI: [publication_doi] Retraction DOI: [retraction_doi]	descriptive
			Reason List from Retraction Watch: [reason_list]	
	258	[retractionnotice]	[retraction_notice]	descriptive
	259	[qual_reviewer]	Reviewer	radio, Required
				4 Grace Bellinger
				5 Oriana Fleming
				0 Abigail Baldridge
				1 Eric Whitely
				2 Leah Welty
	L			3 Luke Rasmussen
	260	[adequate]	Does the retraction contain adequate information to	yesno
			perform a qualitative review?	1 Yes
				0 No
		•	•	

261	[retractor]	Who is specifically named in the retraction statement as retracting the article?	checkbox, Required
	Show the field ONLY if:		1 retractor1 Author(s)
	[adequate] = '1'		2 retractor2 Ambiguous Editor(s)/Journal/Publisher
			3 retractor3 Editor(s)
			4 retractor4 Journal
			5 retractor5 Publisher
			6 retractor6 Other
			7 retractor7 Not Stated
262	c [other_retractor]	If Other:	text, Required
	Show the field ONLY if: [retractor(6)] = '1'		
263	[initiator]	Who initiated the investigation or discovered that the	checkbox, Required
	Show the field ONLY if:	retraction should occur?	1 initiator1 Author
	[adequate] = '1'		2 initiator2 Editor(s)/Journal/Publisher
	,		
			3 initiator3 Letter to Editor
			4 initiator4 External Investigation
			5 initiator5 Readers
			6 initiator6 Unnamed Entity
			7 initiator 7 Other
			8 initiator8 Not Stated
264	[other_initiator]	If Other:	text, Required
	Show the field ONLY if:		
	[initiator(7)] = '1'		
265	[author_responded]	Were any of the authors involved in the retraction	radio
	Show the field ONLY if:	process?	1 Yes
	[adequate] = '1'		2 No, the authors were unresponsive
			3 No / Not stated
266	[agree]	Do any of the authors disagree with the retraction?	radio, Required
		bodily of the dutions disagree with the reduction.	1 Yes
	Show the field ONLY if: [adequate] = '1' and [author_r		
	esponded] = '1'		2 No
			3 Not stated
267	[errors]	What types of errors are described in the retraction	checkbox, Required
	Show the field ONLY if:	notice?	1 errors1 Data Collection, Capture,
	[adequate] = '1'		Unreliable, or Unverifiable Data  2 errors_2 Data Cleaning, Preparation, or
			Variable Coding
			3 errors3 Data No Longer Available or Lost 4 errors 4 Error in Study Conduct. Protocol
			4 errors4 Error in Study Conduct, Protocol Violation, Ethics
			5 errors5 Error in Application of Statistical Methods or Data Analysis
			6 errors6 Error in Selection or Reporting of Analysis for Publication
			7 errors7 Concerns about Image(s) or Figure(s)
			8 errors8 Results Could Not Be Reproduced or Replicated
			9 errors9 Other
			99 errors 99 Cannot tell

10.40 AW Retraction Water Analysis   Nebboap				
2	268	[datacollection]	Extract (related to data collection or capture)	notes
		Show the field ONLY if: [errors(1)] = '1'		
2	269	[dataclean]	Extract (related to data cleaning or preparation)	notes
		Show the field ONLY if: [errors(2)] = '1'		
2	270	[datalost]	Extract (data no longer available or lost)	notes
		Show the field ONLY if: [errors(3)] = '1'		
2	271	[conduct]	Extract (study conduct)	notes
		Show the field ONLY if: [errors(4)] = '1'		
2	272	[method]	Extract (related to data analysis)	notes
		Show the field ONLY if: [errors(5)] = '1'		
2	273	[selection]	Extract (related to selection of results)	notes
		Show the field ONLY if: [errors(6)] = '1'		
2	274	[image]	Extract (related to image)	notes
		Show the field ONLY if: [errors(7)] = '1'		
2	275	[replication]	Extract (related to replication or reproduction)	notes
		Show the field ONLY if: [errors(8)] = '1'		
2	276	[otherreason]	Extract (other)	notes
		Show the field ONLY if: [errors(9)] = '1'		
2	277	[qualitative_review_complet	Section Header: Form Status	dropdown
		e]	Complete?	0 Incomplete
				1 Unverified
				2 Complete
Instru	ıme	nt: Qualitative Review 2	(qualitative_review_2)	
2	278	[qual_reviewer_v2]	Section Header: Study Information: Title: [title] Journal: [journal]	radio, Required
			Country: [country] Authors: [author] Publication Date: [published] Retraction Date: [retracted] Retraction URL: [url] Publication DOI:	4 Grace Bellinger
			[publication_doi] Retraction DOI: [retraction_doi] Reason List from Retraction Watch: [reason_list] Retraction Notice: [retraction_notice]	5 Oriana Fleming
			Reviewer	0 Abigail Baldridge
				1 Eric Whitely
				2 Leah Welty
				3 Luke Rasmussen
2	279	[adequate_v2]	Does the retraction contain adequate information to	yesno
			perform a qualitative review?	1 Yes
				0 No
——		<u> </u>	<u>I</u>	<u></u>

280	[errors_v2]	What types of errors are described in the retraction	chec	kbox, Required	
200		notice?	1	errors_v21	Data Collection, Capture,
	Show the field ONLY if: [adequate_v2] = '1'				Unreliable, or Unverifiable Data
			2	errors_v22	Data Cleaning, Preparation, or Variable Coding
			3	errors_v23	Data No Longer Available or Lost
			4	errors_v24	Error in Study Conduct, Protocol Violation, Ethics
			5	errors_v25	Error in Application of Statistical Methods or Data Analysis
			6	errors_v26	Error in Selection or Reporting of Analysis for Publication
			7	errors_v27	Concerns about Image(s) or Figure(s)
			8	errors_v28	Results Could Not Be Reproduced or Replicated
			9	errors_v29	Other
			99	errors_v299	Cannot tell
281	[datacollection_v2]	Extract (related to data collection or capture)	note	es	
	Show the field ONLY if: [errors_v2(1)] = '1'				
282	[dataclean_v2]	Extract (related to data cleaning or preparation)	note	·S	
	Show the field ONLY if: [errors_v2(2)] = '1'				
283	[datalost_v2]	Extract (data no longer available or lost)	note	es .	
	Show the field ONLY if: [errors_v2(3)] = '1'				
284	[conduct_v2]	Extract (study conduct)	note	S	
	Show the field ONLY if: [errors_v2(4)] = '1'				
285	[method_v2]	Extract (related to data analysis)	note	S	
	Show the field ONLY if: [errors_v2(5)] = '1'				
286	[selection_v2]	Extract (related to selection of results)	note	es .	
	Show the field ONLY if: [errors_v2(6)] = '1'				
287	[image_v2]	Extract (related to image)	note	es .	
	Show the field ONLY if: [errors_v2(7)] = '1'				
288	[replication_v2]	Extract (related to replication or reproduction)	note	es —	
	Show the field ONLY if: [errors_v2(8)] = '1'				
289	[otherreason_v2]	Extract (other)	note	es .	
	Show the field ONLY if: [errors_v2(9)] = '1'				
290	<pre>[qualitative_review_2_compl ete]</pre>	Section Header: Form Status Complete?	0	odown Incomplete Unverified Complete	
Instrume	ent: Qualitative Review 3	(qualitative_review_3)			

	291	[qual_reviewer_v3]	Section Header: Study Information: Title: [title] Journal: [journal] Country: [country] Authors: [author] Publication Date: [published] Retraction Date: [retracted] Retraction URL: [url] Publication DOI: [publication_doi] Retraction DOI: [retraction_doi] Reason List from Retraction Watch: [reason_list] Retraction Notice: [retraction_notice]  Reviewer	radio, Required 4 Grace Bellinger 5 Oriana Fleming 0 Abigail Baldridge 1 Eric Whitely 2 Leah Welty 3 Luke Rasmussen
	292	<pre>[problem_get_acquire_data_v 3]</pre>	Does the retraction notice indicate there was a problem with GETTING/ACQUIRING DATA? Examples (even if discovered by inspecting a figure): laboratory error, sample contamination, incorrect papers in meta-analysis, wrong cell types, incorrect data pulls from an EHR or other system, unreliable/concerns about data, error in data, or loss of data. What also counts: if they regenerate data and get a different answer, or if there is a problem with data storage (getting, saving, retaining). This also includes errors related to generating the raw data for example incorrect patient identification for a case, or an error pulling from the EMR or misinterpretation of diagnoses or tests in the data pull. Intentional manipulation of data (scientific misconduct) does NOT count for this category.	yesno, Required  1 Yes  0 No
	293	<pre>[problem_get_acquire_data_q uote_v3] Show the field ONLY if: [problem_get_acquire_data_v 3] = '1'</pre>	Extract (Getting/Acquiring Data)	notes
	294	<pre>[problem_prepare_analyze_da ta_v3]</pre>	Does the retraction notice indicate there was a problem with PREPARING OR ANALYZING DATA? Examples (even if discovered by inspecting a figure): data manipulation or preparation, data cleaning, data normalization, unit conversion, incorrect data merge, variable coding, statistical analysis, wrong standard errors, possibly unreliable/concerns about results as long as it's suggested that they're from data analysis and not benchtop or data generation problems. Intentional manipulation of data (scientific misconduct) does NOT count for this category.	yesno, Required  1 Yes  0 No
	295	<pre>[problem_prepare_analyze_da ta_quote_v3] Show the field ONLY if: [problem_prepare_analyze_d ata_v3] = '1'</pre>	Extract (Preparing/Analyzing Data)	notes
	296	[problem_any_v3] Show the field ONLY if: [problem_prepare_analyze_d ata_v3] = '0' and [problem_get _acquire_data_v3] = '0'	Does the retraction notice provide any other information about why the article was retracted? You should say "No" if the retraction notice text is empty, or states no reason whatsoever (e.g., it only states "The article has been retracted at the request of the authors")	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
	297	[problem_other_v3] Show the field ONLY if: [problem_any_v3] = '1'	Extract (Other Problem) Examples:General statements about "could not be replicated" that do not refer specifically to data or resultsQuestions about the integrity of the data (not about the process generating the data)Duplicate figures/article published in another context	notes
	298	[qualitative_review_3_compl ete]	Section Header: Form Status Complete?	dropdown  0 Incomplete  1 Unverified  2 Complete
Inst	rume	nt: Qualitative Review 4	(qualitative_review_4)	

299	[qual_reviewer_v4]	Section Header: Study Information: Title: [title] Journal: [journal] Country: [country] Authors: [author] Publication Date: [published] Retraction Date: [retracted] Retraction URL: [url] Publication DOI: [publication_doi] Retraction DOI: [retraction_doi] Reason List from Retraction Watch: [reason_list] Retraction Notice: [retraction_notice]  Reviewer	radio, Required 4 Grace Bellinger 5 Oriana Fleming 0 Abigail Baldridge 1 Eric Whitely 2 Leah Welty 3 Luke Rasmussen
300	<pre>[problem_get_acquire_data_v 4]</pre>	Does the retraction notice indicate there was a problem with GETTING/ACQUIRING DATA? Examples (even if discovered by inspecting a figure): laboratory error, sample contamination, incorrect papers in meta-analysis, wrong cell types, incorrect data pulls from an EHR or other system, unreliable/concerns about data, error in data, or loss of data. What also counts: if they regenerate data and get a different answer, or if there is a problem with data storage (getting, saving, retaining). This also includes errors related to generating the raw data for example incorrect patient identification for a case, or an error pulling from the EMR or misinterpretation of diagnoses or tests in the data pull. Intentional manipulation of data (scientific misconduct) does NOT count for this category.	yesno, Required  1 Yes  0 No
301	<pre>[problem_get_acquire_data_q uote_v4] Show the field ONLY if: [problem_get_acquire_data_v 4] = '1'</pre>	Extract (Getting/Acquiring Data)	notes
302	<pre>[problem_prepare_analyze_da ta_v4]</pre>	Does the retraction notice indicate there was a problem with PREPARING OR ANALYZING DATA? Examples (even if discovered by inspecting a figure): data manipulation or preparation, data cleaning, data normalization, unit conversion, incorrect data merge, variable coding, statistical analysis, wrong standard errors, possibly unreliable/concerns about results as long as it's suggested that they're from data analysis and not benchtop or data generation problems. Intentional manipulation of data (scientific misconduct) does NOT count for this category.	yesno, Required  1 Yes  0 No
303	[problem_prepare_analyze_da ta_quote_v4] Show the field ONLY if: [problem_prepare_analyze_d ata_v4] = '1'	Extract (Preparing/Analyzing Data)	notes
304	[problem_any_v4] Show the field ONLY if: [problem_prepare_analyze_d ata_v4] = '0' and [problem_get _acquire_data_v4] = '0'	Does the retraction notice provide any other information about why the article was retracted? You should say "No" if the retraction notice text is empty, or states no reason whatsoever (e.g., it only states "The article has been retracted at the request of the authors")	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
305	[problem_other_v4] Show the field ONLY if: [problem_any_v4] = '1'	Extract (Other Problem) Examples:General statements about "could not be replicated" that do not refer specifically to data or resultsQuestions about the integrity of the data (not about the process generating the data)Duplicate figures/article published in another context	notes
306	[qualitative_review_4_compl ete]	Section Header: Form Status Complete?	dropdown  0 Incomplete  1 Unverified  2 Complete

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
307	[qual_reviewer_c]	Section Header: Study Information: Title: [title] Journal: [journal] Country: [country] Authors: [author] Publication Date: [published] Retraction Date: [retracted] Retraction URI: [url] Publication DOI: [publication_doi] Retraction DOI: [retraction_doi] Reason List from Retraction Watch: [reason_list] Retraction Notice: [retraction_notice] Reviewer	radio, Required 6 Team
308	<pre>[problem_get_acquire_data_ c]</pre>	Does the retraction notice indicate there was a problem with GETTING/ACQUIRING DATA? Examples (even if discovered by inspecting a figure): laboratory error, sample contamination, incorrect papers in meta-analysis, wrong cell types, incorrect data pulls from an EHR or other system, unreliable/concerns about data, error in data, or loss of data. What also counts: if they regenerate data and get a different answer, or if there is a problem with data storage (getting, saving, retaining). This also includes errors related to generating the raw data — for example incorrect patient identification for a case, or an error pulling from the EMR or misinterpretation of diagnoses or tests in the data pull. Intentional manipulation of data (scientific misconduct) does NOT count for this category.	yesno, Required  1 Yes  0 No
309	<pre>[problem_get_acquire_data_q uote_c] Show the field ONLY if: [problem_get_acquire_data_c] = '1'</pre>	Extract (Getting/Acquiring Data)	notes
310	<pre>[problem_prepare_analyze_da ta_c]</pre>	Does the retraction notice indicate there was a problem with PREPARING OR ANALYZING DATA? Examples (even if discovered by inspecting a figure): data manipulation or preparation, data cleaning, data normalization, unit conversion, incorrect data merge, variable coding, statistical analysis, wrong standard errors, possibly unreliable/concerns about results as long as it's suggested that they're from data analysis and not benchtop or data generation problems. Intentional manipulation of data (scientific misconduct) does NOT count for this category.	yesno, Required  1 Yes  0 No
311	[problem_prepare_analyze_da ta_quote_c] Show the field ONLY if: [problem_prepare_analyze_d ata_c] = '1'	Extract (Preparing/Analyzing Data)	notes
312	[problem_any_c]  Show the field ONLY if: [problem_prepare_analyze_d ata_c] = '0' and [problem_get_ acquire_data_c] = '0'	Does the retraction notice provide any other information about why the article was retracted? You should say "No" if the retraction notice text is empty, or states no reason whatsoever (e.g., it only states "The article has been retracted at the request of the authors")	yesno 1 Yes 0 No
313	<pre>[problem_other_c] Show the field ONLY if: [problem_any_c] = '1'</pre>	Extract (Other Problem) Examples:General statements about "could not be replicated" that do not refer specifically to data or resultsQuestions about the integrity of the data (not about the process generating the data)Duplicate figures/article published in another context	notes
314	[consensus_review_complete]	Section Header: Form Status  Complete?	dropdown  0 Incomplete  1 Unverified  2 Complete

### **Abstract Review**

Version 1 7 August, 2020

Record ID	_ _ _	record_id			
Date of Abstract Review	_ _ / _ _ / 2 0  _	abstract_review_date			
Reviewer	☐ Abigail Baldridge (0) ☐ Eric Whitley (1) ☐ Leah Welty (2) ☐ Luke Rasmussen (3)	abstract_reviewer			
Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria:					
Is the article written in the English language?	<ul><li>Yes (1)</li><li>No (0) →</li></ul>	english			
ightarrow If no, then the article is not eligible					
Is the article about human subjects research?	☐ Yes (1) ☐ No (0)	human_research			
If the article is not about human subjects research, is it applicable to the human translational research pipeline?	<ul><li>Yes (1)</li><li>No (0) →</li></ul>	translational			
ightarrow If no, the article is not eligible					
Is the article eligible for full text review?	Yes (1) No (0)	eligibility			
End of Form					

Abstract Review Page 1 of 1

# **Qualitative Review**

Version 1 16 December, 2021

Record ID	_ _ _	record_id
Reviewer	Grace Bellinger (4) Oriana Fleming (5) Abigail Baldridge (0) Eric Whitley (1) Leah Welty (2) Luke Rasmussen (3)	qual_reviewer
Does the retraction contain adequate information to perform a qualitative review?  → If no, then end the review	☐ Yes (1) ☐ No (0) →	adequate
7 if no, then end the review		
Who is specifically named in the retraction statement as retracting the article?  Select all that apply	Author(s) (1)  Ambiguous  Editor(s)/Journal/Publisher (2)  Editor(s) (3)  Journal (4)  Publisher (5)  Other (6) →  Not Stated (7)	retractor
ightarrow If other, please list		other_retractor
Who initiated the investigation or discovered that the retraction should occur?  Select all that apply	<ul> <li>Author(s) (1)</li> <li>Editor(s)/Journal/Publisher</li> <li>(2)</li> <li>Letter to the Editor (3)</li> <li>External Investigation (4)</li> <li>Readers (5)</li> <li>Unnamed Entity (6)</li> <li>Other (7) →</li> <li>Not Stated (8)</li> </ul>	initiator
ightarrow If other, please list		other_initiator
Were any of the authors involved in the retraction process?	<ul> <li>Yes (1) →</li> <li>No, the authors were unresponsive (2)</li> <li>No / Not stated (3)</li> </ul>	authors_responded

Qualitative Review Page 1 of 4

# **Qualitative Review**

Version 1 16 December, 2021

→ Do any of the authors disagree with the retraction?	Yes (1) No (2) Not stated (3)	agree
What types of errors are described in the retraction notice? Select all that apply	Data Collection, Capture, Unreliable, or Unverifiable Data (1) Data Cleaning, Preparation, or Variable Coding (2) Data No Longer Available or Lost (3) Error in Study Conduct, Protocol Violation, Ethics (4) Error in Application of Statistical Methods or Data Analysis (5) Error in Selection or Reporting of Analysis for Publication (6) Concerns about Image(s) or Figure(s) (7) Results Could Not Be Reproduced or Replicated (8) Other (9) Cannot tell (99)	errors
For each error noted above, copy and paste the exce	erpt(s) relating to that error	
Extract (related to data collection or capture)		datacollection
Extract (related to data cleaning or preparation)		dataclean
Extract (data no longer available or lost)		datalost
Extract (study conduct)		conduct
Extract (related to data analysis)		method
Extract (related to selection of results)		selection

Qualitative Review Page 2 of 4

# **Qualitative Review**

Version 1 16 December, 2021

Extract (related to image)		Image
Extract (related to replication or reproduction)		Replication
Extract (other)		otherreason
Does the retraction notice indicate there was a problem with GETTING/ACQUIRING DATA?	☐ Yes (1) → ☐ No (0)	problem_get_acquire _data
Examples (even if discovered by inspecting a figure): laboratory error, sample contamination, incorrect papers in meta-analysis, wrong cell types, incorrect data pulls from an EHR or other system, unreliable/concerns about data, error in data, or loss of data.		
What also counts: if they regenerate data and get a different answer, or if there is a problem with data storage (getting, saving, retaining). This also includes errors related to generating the raw data for example incorrect patient identification for a case, or an error pulling from the EMR or misinterpretation of diagnoses or tests in the data pull.		
Intentional manipulation of data (scientific misconduct) does NOT count for this category.		
→ If yes, Extract		problem_get_acquire _data_quote
Does the retraction notice indicate there was a problem with PREPARING OR ANALYZING DATA?	☐ Yes (1) → ☐ No (0)	problem_prepare_ana lyze_data
Examples (even if discovered by inspecting a figure): data manipulation or preparation, data cleaning, data normalization, unit conversion, incorrect data merge, variable coding, statistical analysis, wrong standard errors, possibly unreliable/concerns about results as long as it's		

Qualitative Review Page 3 of 4

### **Qualitative Review**

Version 1 16 December, 2021

suggested that they're from data analysis and not benchtop or data generation problems.

Intentional manipulation of data (scientific misconduct) does NOT count for this category.

misconduct) does NOT count for this category.		
→ If yes, Extract		problem_prepare_ana lyze_data_quote
Does the retraction notice provide any other information about why the article was retracted?	☐ Yes (1) → ☐ No (0)	problem_any
You should say "No" if the retraction notice text is empty, or states no reason whatsoever (e.g., it only states "The article has been retracted at the request of the authors")		
$\rightarrow$ If yes, Extract		problem_other
End of Form		

Qualitative Review Page 4 of 4

### **Full Text Review**

Version 2 30 September, 2021

Record ID	_ _ _	record_id
Date of Full Text Review	_ /  / 2 0  _	full_text_review_date
Reviewer	Abigail Baldridge (0) Eric Whitley (1) Leah Welty (2) Luke Rasmussen (3) Grace Bellinger (4) Oriana Fleming (5)	full_text_reviewer
Author Information:		
Is one or more of the authors a consortia?	Yes (1) No (0)	consortia
Count the total Number of Individual Authors		author_num
Retracted Article:		
Copy and Paste the Verbatim Retraction Notice Here:		retraction_notice
Notes about the article:		notes
Is the full text of the retracted article available?	☐ Yes (1) ☐ No (0) →	human_research
ightarrow If no, the full text review does not need to be	e completed. You may exit the surv	vey.
Please upload a pdf of the retracted article		file_upload
For all remaining questions, use ONLY the article itself, or its supplemental materials. If information are not included in the article or supplemental materials, these fields can be left blank or as "N/A".		
Author Roles and Qualifications		
Is there an attribution statement?	☐ Yes (1) → ☐ No (0)	attribauthor
→ Copy and paste the attribution statement here		attrib_statement

Full Text Review Page 1 of 4

#### **Full Text Review**

Version 2 30 September, 2021

Data Collection		
What is the primary type of data used?	<ul> <li>De Novo Collected (0)</li> <li>Previously Collected for</li> <li>Research Purposes (1) →</li> <li>Previously Collected not</li> <li>Necessarily for Research (2) →</li> <li>Undefined (3)</li> <li>Other (4) →</li> </ul>	data_type
→ For previously collected data, how was the data obtained for this publication?		data_source
Copy and paste all relevant statements, using a carriage return between separate statements.		
ightarrow List the Other Type of Data		other_data
Where was the primary data collected for the publication?	<ul> <li>Entered into Editable File</li> <li>(e.g. Excel, CSV, Tab Delimited)</li> <li>(0)</li> <li>Research Study Software</li> <li>(e.g. REDCap, CTMS) (1)</li> <li>Database Program (e.g.</li> <li>ACCESS, SQL) (2)</li> <li>Undefined (3)</li> <li>Other (4) →</li> </ul>	data_storage
→ List the Other Data Collection Method/Location		other_data_storage
Data Availability		
Is the data used within this publication available?  Note: if it came from a publically available dataset, then yes.	Publicly available (0) Available upon request (1) Unknown (2)	data_availability
Methods		
Is this a Systematic Review or Meta Analysis?	Yes (1)	sys_review

Full Text Review Page 2 of 4

#### **Full Text Review**

Version 2 30 September, 2021

	$\square$ No (0) $\rightarrow$	
→ Is this Human Subjects research?	☐ Yes (1) → ☐ No (0)	human_subj
→ What was the human subjects design?	<ul> <li>☐ Human: Clinical Subjects</li> <li>Trial (0)</li> <li>☐ Human: Observational</li> <li>Study (1) →</li> <li>☐ Benchtop (e.g. Cell lines, tissue) (2)</li> <li>☐ Undefined (99)</li> </ul>	study_design
→ What type of observational study was performed?	Case-Control (0) Cross Sectional (1) Longitudinal / Repeated Measures (2) Case Report (3) Undefined (4)	observational
→ What was the temporality of the observational study?	Retrospective (0) Prospective (1) Both (2) Undefined (3) NA (For Case Reports or Cross Sectional Studies) (4)	temporality
→ Were live animals used in this research study?	Yes (1) No (0)	animal
Does the article state that a statistical analysis plan was pre-specified?	Yes, publicly available (1) Yes, stated as pre-specified but not publicly available (2) Not explicitly stated (3)	sap
What software was used for analysis?	Excel / Spreadsheet / Google Sheets (8) GraphPad PRISM (5) JMP (9) KaleidaGraph (10) Minitab (11) MPlus (13) Power BI (7) Python (3)	software

Retraction Watch Scoping Review STU: 00212213	Full Text Review	Version 2 30 September, 2021
	R / R Studio (1) SAS (0) SPSS / PASW / PASW18 (4) Stata (2) Statistica (12) Tableau (6) Undefined (999) Other (777) →	
ightarrow List the other software		other_software
Is there any additional information abo of reproducible research methods?	but the use $\square$ Yes (1) $\rightarrow$ $\square$ No (0)	reproducible_method s
→ Copy and paste the verbatim la about reproducible methods here		reproducible_stateme nt

End of Form

Full Text Review Page 4 of 4