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# Data Availability:

Replication packages is hosted at PSRM’s Dataverse: <https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/2MQU8G>

# Competing interests:

The author(s) declare none.

# Sample details:

Our sample was collected by YouGov using their standard online panel. YouGov recruits and maintains an online respondent pool using a procedure called Active Sampling, in which restrictions are put into place to ensure that only people contacted are allowed to participate, from a pool of registered users. YouGov panels are populated by a host of recruitment strategies, including standard advertising and strategic partnerships with a broad range of websites. YouGov employs internal rules for determining eligibility and exclusion criteria, and researchers play no role in selecting the participants. Participants in YouGov panels are internally and fairly compensated with points, which may be redeemed for rewards, including cash and gift cards (e.g., Amazon, Best Buy, Target). Researchers play no role in assigning point value.

In obtaining a representative sample, the pool of participants was nationally diverse. The research does not differentially affect vulnerable populations, nor does it differentially benefit or harm particular groups. Participation was voluntary and consent was obtained using an IRB-approved protocol. Participants had to click “yes” to affirm informed consent and, if confirmed, were directed to the start of the survey.

YouGov began with a sample of 3328 respondents who were matched down to an analysis sample of 3000 respondents using a sampling frame derived from the full 2016 American Community Survey 1-year sample on age, gender, race, and education. Matched cases were weighted to the sampling frame using propensity scores, with a propensity function that includes age, gender, race/ethnicity, years of education, and Census region. The weights were then post-stratified on 2016 Presidential vote choice, and a four-way stratification of gender, age (4-categories), race (4-categories), and education (4-categories), to produce the final weight. We do not exclude any respondents from our analysis, nor do we drop any respondents for missing data purposes. We employ sampling weights in our Wave 1 analysis.

# Ethical Standards:

The authors declare that the human subjects research in this article was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board for Human Participant Research at Cornell University (Protocol 2003009479), the Institutional Review Board at the Office of Research Integrity and Protections at Syracuse University (Protocol 20-099), and the University of California, Irvine (through a procedure of self-exemption with confirmation from the Office of Research, March 6, 2020). We obtained voluntary and informed consent from participants using an IRB-approved consent protocol.

# Pre-Registration:

Due to the evolving nature of the pandemic and the reflective design of the survey experiment in the panel, the experiment is not preregistered. However, the hypothesis (that racial attitudes and partisanship will affect immigration policy support) and measurements used to capture those concepts were. Due to the incomplete nature of this preregistration, we note it here but do not report the research as preregistered. EGAP Registration ID: 20200321AA. Timestamp: 03/21/2020.

# Supplementary Figures and Tables:

## Figure S1: COVID caseloads, by country
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## Table S1: Balance Statistics

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Wave 1 | Wave 2 | Wave 3 | Wave 4 | Wave 5 |
| gender | 0.283 | 0.954 | 0.057 | 0.909 | 0.076 |
| agecat | 0.568 | 0.553 | 0.408 | 0.430 | 0.729 |
| race | 0.52 | 0.539 | 0.816 | 0.959 | 0.284 |
| marstat | 0.257 | 0.888 | 0.389 | 0.356 | 0.041 |
| educ | 0.409 | 0.899 | 0.451 | 0.017 | 0.749 |
| income | 0.409 | 0.202 | 0.414 | 0.295 | 0.211 |
| rural | 0.237 | 0.639 | 0.095 | 0.669 | 0.868 |
| inputstate | 0.633 | 0.120 | 0.074 | 0.013 | 0.112 |
| democrat | 0.292 | 0.969 | 0.556 | 0.742 | 0.867 |
| racialresentment | 0.584 | 0.130 | 0.264 | 0.553 | 0.216 |

Each cell reports the *p*-value for a test that the experimental conditions are independent from each moderator or control variable.

## Table S2: Descriptions of Racial Resentment and Anti-Immigration Attitudes Variables

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Variable | Definition |
| Racial Resentment | To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following: Many minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up in this country. Blacks should do the same without any special favors (1 strongly disagree, 5 strongly agree) |
| Anti-Immigration Attitudes (AIA) | Mean of three variables:   1. Immigrants are good or bad for the economy (1 very good, 5 very bad) 2. How is cultural life affected by immigrants (1 very undermined, 5 very enriched – *reverse coded*) 3. Should the number of immigrants to the United States be increased or decreased (1 increased a lot, 5 reduced a lot) |

## Table S3: Racial Resentment Predicts Anti-Immigration Attitudes

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Coefficient | Standard Error |
| Racialresentment (step 2) | 0.665 | (1.864) |
| Racialresentment (step 3) | 8.084\*\*\* | (1.719) |
| Racialresentment (step 4) | 6.479\*\*\* | (1.720) |
| Racialresentment (step 5) | 10.634\*\*\* | (1.672) |
| Constant | -79.476\*\*\* | (1.241) |
| Observations | 1555 |  |

Standard errors in parentheses. \* p < .05, \*\* p < .01, \*\*\* p < .001

This table uses the 5-category racial resentment scale to predict an index of anti-immigration attitudes. We see that there is a strong positive relationship between racial resentment and anti-immigration attitudes: the higher as respondent scores on our anti-immigration attitudes measure, the higher their self-expressed racial resentment score.

## Table S4: SATE Analysis, Wave 1

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Coefficient | Standard Error | Coefficient | Standard Error |
| China | 0.112 | (0.058) | 0.099 | (0.058) |
| Italy | 0.272\*\*\* | (0.057) | 0.284\*\*\* | (0.056) |
| 30- |  |  | 0.095 | (0.078) |
| 45- |  |  | 0.351\*\*\* | (0.075) |
| 65- |  |  | 0.303\*\*\* | (0.082) |
| gender |  |  | 0.094\* | (0.047) |
| white |  |  | 0.119\* | (0.058) |
| married |  |  | 0.075 | (0.051) |
| High school graduate |  |  | -0.368\*\* | (0.120) |
| Some college |  |  | -0.580\*\*\* | (0.123) |
| 2-year |  |  | -0.409\*\* | (0.131) |
| 4-year |  |  | -0.782\*\*\* | (0.128) |
| Post-grad |  |  | -0.833\*\*\* | (0.137) |
| Income (step 2) |  |  | 0.051 | (0.131) |
| Income (step 3) |  |  | 0.079 | (0.123) |
| Income (step 4) |  |  | -0.105 | (0.138) |
| Income (step 5) |  |  | 0.036 | (0.131) |
| Income (step 6) |  |  | 0.135 | (0.138) |
| Income (step 7) |  |  | 0.231 | (0.137) |
| Income (step 8) |  |  | -0.034 | (0.143) |
| Income (step 9) |  |  | 0.007 | (0.139) |
| Income (step 10) |  |  | -0.046 | (0.147) |
| Income (step 11) |  |  | -0.034 | (0.157) |
| Income (step 12) |  |  | 0.019 | (0.172) |
| Income (step 13) |  |  | 0.162 | (0.210) |
| Income (step 14) |  |  | 0.132 | (0.258) |
| Income (step 15) |  |  | 0.502 | (0.393) |
| Income (step 16) |  |  | 0.521\* | (0.217) |
| Income (step 17) |  |  | 0.062 | (0.121) |
| Alaska |  |  | -0.311 | (0.642) |
| Arizona |  |  | -0.016 | (0.249) |
| Arkansas |  |  | 0.274 | (0.260) |
| California |  |  | -0.053 | (0.211) |
| Colorado |  |  | 0.237 | (0.256) |
| Connecticut |  |  | 0.478 | (0.264) |
| Delaware |  |  | -0.147 | (0.376) |
| District of Columbia |  |  | 0.261 | (0.543) |
| Florida |  |  | 0.151 | (0.206) |
| Georgia |  |  | 0.172 | (0.217) |
| Hawaii |  |  | 0.412 | (0.340) |
| Idaho |  |  | -0.403 | (0.341) |
| Illinois |  |  | 0.103 | (0.227) |
| Indiana |  |  | 0.102 | (0.237) |
| Iowa |  |  | 0.477 | (0.266) |
| Kansas |  |  | 0.348 | (0.281) |
| Kentucky |  |  | 0.271 | (0.232) |
| Louisiana |  |  | 0.308 | (0.263) |
| Maine |  |  | 0.381 | (0.334) |
| Maryland |  |  | -0.181 | (0.312) |
| Massachusetts |  |  | 0.091 | (0.252) |
| Michigan |  |  | 0.083 | (0.227) |
| Minnesota |  |  | -0.040 | (0.272) |
| Mississippi |  |  | 0.022 | (0.284) |
| Missouri |  |  | 0.269 | (0.225) |
| Montana |  |  | -1.246\*\* | (0.407) |
| Nebraska |  |  | -0.258 | (0.490) |
| Nevada |  |  | 0.221 | (0.261) |
| New Hampshire |  |  | 0.056 | (0.305) |
| New Jersey |  |  | 0.113 | (0.258) |
| New Mexico |  |  | 0.098 | (0.291) |
| New York |  |  | 0.211 | (0.209) |
| North Carolina |  |  | 0.170 | (0.243) |
| North Dakota |  |  | -0.860\* | (0.386) |
| Ohio |  |  | 0.165 | (0.230) |
| Oklahoma |  |  | -0.085 | (0.292) |
| Oregon |  |  | -0.002 | (0.261) |
| Pennsylvania |  |  | 0.236 | (0.213) |
| Rhode Island |  |  | 0.371 | (0.408) |
| South Carolina |  |  | 0.209 | (0.270) |
| South Dakota |  |  | 0.060 | (0.428) |
| Tennessee |  |  | -0.086 | (0.250) |
| Texas |  |  | 0.149 | (0.210) |
| Utah |  |  | 0.092 | (0.293) |
| Vermont |  |  | 0.371 | (0.357) |
| Virginia |  |  | 0.214 | (0.228) |
| Washington |  |  | -0.087 | (0.250) |
| West Virginia |  |  | 0.248 | (0.303) |
| Wisconsin |  |  | -0.035 | (0.242) |
| Wyoming |  |  | -0.151 | (1.132) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 2) |  |  | -0.055 | (0.062) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 3) |  |  | 0.086 | (0.083) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 4) |  |  | -0.020 | (0.119) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 5) |  |  | 0.029 | (0.166) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 6) |  |  | 0.163 | (0.102) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 7) |  |  | 0.292\* | (0.139) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 8) |  |  | -0.080 | (0.312) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 9) |  |  | -0.155 | (0.238) |
| Constant | 3.753\*\*\* | (0.041) | 3.658\*\*\* | (0.245) |
| Observations | 2991 |  | 2871 |  |

Standard errors in parentheses. \* p < .05, \*\* p < .01, \*\*\* p < .001

## Table S5: CATE Analysis, Wave 1

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Coefficient | Standard Error |
| China | 0.491 | (0.518) |
| Italy | 0.721 | (0.508) |
| Democrat | 0.219 | (0.446) |
| Other | -0.066 | (0.462) |
| China # Democrat | -1.143\* | (0.557) |
| China # Other | -0.721 | (0.575) |
| Italy # Democrat | -0.559 | (0.544) |
| Italy # Other | -0.585 | (0.570) |
| racialresentment | 0.208\* | (0.097) |
| China # racialresentment | -0.006 | (0.117) |
| Italy # racialresentment | -0.071 | (0.115) |
| Democrat # racialresentment | -0.099 | (0.111) |
| Other # racialresentment | 0.002 | (0.109) |
| China # Democrat # racialresentment | 0.208 | (0.138) |
| China # Other # racialresentment | 0.079 | (0.135) |
| Italy # Democrat # racialresentment | 0.111 | (0.135) |
| Italy # Other # racialresentment | 0.074 | (0.136) |
| 30- | 0.059 | (0.077) |
| 45- | 0.208\*\* | (0.075) |
| 65- | 0.157 | (0.083) |
| gender | 0.141\*\* | (0.045) |
| white | -0.033 | (0.057) |
| married | 0.022 | (0.050) |
| High school graduate | -0.350\*\* | (0.114) |
| Some college | -0.489\*\*\* | (0.117) |
| 2-year | -0.329\*\* | (0.125) |
| 4-year | -0.662\*\*\* | (0.121) |
| Post-grad | -0.603\*\*\* | (0.131) |
| Income (step 2) | 0.113 | (0.124) |
| Income (step 3) | 0.049 | (0.116) |
| Income (step 4) | -0.084 | (0.128) |
| Income (step 5) | -0.020 | (0.123) |
| Income (step 6) | 0.099 | (0.130) |
| Income (step 7) | 0.240 | (0.132) |
| Income (step 8) | -0.069 | (0.134) |
| Income (step 9) | 0.020 | (0.127) |
| Income (step 10) | -0.024 | (0.136) |
| Income (step 11) | -0.042 | (0.149) |
| Income (step 12) | 0.004 | (0.164) |
| Income (step 13) | 0.130 | (0.187) |
| Income (step 14) | 0.078 | (0.225) |
| Income (step 15) | 0.432\* | (0.192) |
| Income (step 16) | 0.407\* | (0.205) |
| Income (step 17) | 0.026 | (0.112) |
| Alaska | -0.444 | (0.609) |
| Arizona | -0.021 | (0.224) |
| Arkansas | 0.284 | (0.250) |
| California | -0.072 | (0.201) |
| Colorado | 0.210 | (0.230) |
| Connecticut | 0.547\* | (0.244) |
| Delaware | -0.005 | (0.372) |
| District of Columbia | 0.399 | (0.518) |
| Florida | 0.127 | (0.196) |
| Georgia | 0.112 | (0.206) |
| Hawaii | 0.664\* | (0.336) |
| Idaho | -0.393 | (0.345) |
| Illinois | 0.133 | (0.211) |
| Indiana | 0.117 | (0.231) |
| Iowa | 0.509\* | (0.260) |
| Kansas | 0.314 | (0.241) |
| Kentucky | 0.211 | (0.218) |
| Louisiana | 0.282 | (0.240) |
| Maine | 0.513 | (0.329) |
| Maryland | -0.096 | (0.297) |
| Massachusetts | 0.207 | (0.238) |
| Michigan | 0.062 | (0.212) |
| Minnesota | -0.077 | (0.260) |
| Mississippi | -0.174 | (0.279) |
| Missouri | 0.318 | (0.211) |
| Montana | -1.188\*\* | (0.385) |
| Nebraska | -0.190 | (0.427) |
| Nevada | 0.180 | (0.246) |
| New Hampshire | -0.001 | (0.288) |
| New Jersey | 0.072 | (0.250) |
| New Mexico | 0.042 | (0.299) |
| New York | 0.221 | (0.198) |
| North Carolina | 0.184 | (0.236) |
| North Dakota | -0.902\* | (0.379) |
| Ohio | 0.216 | (0.220) |
| Oklahoma | -0.178 | (0.276) |
| Oregon | 0.105 | (0.258) |
| Pennsylvania | 0.217 | (0.199) |
| Rhode Island | 0.447 | (0.396) |
| South Carolina | 0.210 | (0.265) |
| South Dakota | -0.136 | (0.433) |
| Tennessee | -0.062 | (0.236) |
| Texas | 0.082 | (0.198) |
| Utah | 0.354 | (0.351) |
| Vermont | 0.483 | (0.313) |
| Virginia | 0.209 | (0.212) |
| Washington | -0.011 | (0.238) |
| West Virginia | 0.277 | (0.296) |
| Wisconsin | -0.076 | (0.230) |
| Wyoming | -0.186 | (1.256) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 2) | -0.078 | (0.059) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 3) | 0.080 | (0.077) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 4) | -0.058 | (0.110) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 5) | -0.011 | (0.162) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 6) | 0.030 | (0.099) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 7) | 0.183 | (0.140) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 8) | -0.281 | (0.313) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 9) | -0.146 | (0.220) |
| Constant | 3.128\*\*\* | (0.482) |
| Observations | 2871 |  |

Standard errors in parentheses. \* p < .05, \*\* p < .01, \*\*\* p < .001

## Table S6: SATE Analysis, Waves 2-5

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Estimate | Standard Error | Estimate | Standard Error |
| China | 0.204\*\*\* | (0.032) | 0.288\*\*\* | (0.035) |
| Brazil | 0.387\*\*\* | (0.028) | 0.435\*\*\* | (0.031) |
| 30- |  |  | 0.175\* | (0.069) |
| 45- |  |  | 0.499\*\*\* | (0.065) |
| 65- |  |  | 0.466\*\*\* | (0.070) |
| gender |  |  | -0.078\* | (0.038) |
| white |  |  | -0.040 | (0.046) |
| married |  |  | 0.093\* | (0.041) |
| High school graduate |  |  | -0.308\*\* | (0.103) |
| Some college |  |  | -0.475\*\*\* | (0.106) |
| 2-year |  |  | -0.428\*\*\* | (0.113) |
| 4-year |  |  | -0.632\*\*\* | (0.109) |
| Post-grad |  |  | -0.815\*\*\* | (0.115) |
| Income (step 2) |  |  | 0.096 | (0.111) |
| Income (step 3) |  |  | -0.059 | (0.108) |
| Income (step 4) |  |  | -0.087 | (0.111) |
| Income (step 5) |  |  | -0.087 | (0.112) |
| Income (step 6) |  |  | -0.061 | (0.113) |
| Income (step 7) |  |  | -0.108 | (0.122) |
| Income (step 8) |  |  | -0.086 | (0.115) |
| Income (step 9) |  |  | -0.226\* | (0.115) |
| Income (step 10) |  |  | -0.154 | (0.118) |
| Income (step 11) |  |  | -0.278\* | (0.129) |
| Income (step 12) |  |  | -0.198 | (0.136) |
| Income (step 13) |  |  | -0.167 | (0.156) |
| Income (step 14) |  |  | -0.139 | (0.186) |
| Income (step 15) |  |  | -1.342 | (0.757) |
| Income (step 16) |  |  | 0.355 | (0.276) |
| Income (step 17) |  |  | -0.077 | (0.104) |
| Alaska |  |  | -0.708 | (0.362) |
| Arizona |  |  | -0.154 | (0.202) |
| Arkansas |  |  | -0.187 | (0.221) |
| California |  |  | 0.023 | (0.172) |
| Colorado |  |  | 0.129 | (0.223) |
| Connecticut |  |  | -0.120 | (0.287) |
| Delaware |  |  | 0.020 | (0.362) |
| District of Columbia |  |  | -0.370 | (0.317) |
| Florida |  |  | 0.143 | (0.172) |
| Georgia |  |  | -0.011 | (0.183) |
| Hawaii |  |  | -0.135 | (0.288) |
| Idaho |  |  | 0.383 | (0.228) |
| Illinois |  |  | -0.046 | (0.189) |
| Indiana |  |  | -0.042 | (0.210) |
| Iowa |  |  | 0.346 | (0.218) |
| Kansas |  |  | 0.211 | (0.272) |
| Kentucky |  |  | -0.005 | (0.217) |
| Louisiana |  |  | 0.187 | (0.237) |
| Maine |  |  | 0.058 | (0.286) |
| Maryland |  |  | -0.149 | (0.243) |
| Massachusetts |  |  | -0.200 | (0.210) |
| Michigan |  |  | -0.002 | (0.180) |
| Minnesota |  |  | -0.285 | (0.212) |
| Mississippi |  |  | 0.162 | (0.225) |
| Missouri |  |  | -0.054 | (0.209) |
| Montana |  |  | -0.346 | (0.346) |
| Nebraska |  |  | -0.101 | (0.233) |
| Nevada |  |  | -0.163 | (0.245) |
| New Hampshire |  |  | 0.185 | (0.244) |
| New Jersey |  |  | 0.152 | (0.195) |
| New Mexico |  |  | -0.091 | (0.246) |
| New York |  |  | 0.190 | (0.174) |
| North Carolina |  |  | -0.041 | (0.191) |
| North Dakota |  |  | -0.614\* | (0.296) |
| Ohio |  |  | -0.092 | (0.184) |
| Oklahoma |  |  | 0.207 | (0.234) |
| Oregon |  |  | -0.155 | (0.220) |
| Pennsylvania |  |  | 0.138 | (0.173) |
| Rhode Island |  |  | -0.099 | (0.417) |
| South Carolina |  |  | -0.074 | (0.209) |
| South Dakota |  |  | -0.169 | (0.351) |
| Tennessee |  |  | 0.303 | (0.199) |
| Texas |  |  | 0.196 | (0.175) |
| Utah |  |  | 0.051 | (0.228) |
| Vermont |  |  | -0.210 | (0.302) |
| Virginia |  |  | 0.043 | (0.196) |
| Washington |  |  | -0.277 | (0.192) |
| West Virginia |  |  | 0.049 | (0.248) |
| Wisconsin |  |  | -0.005 | (0.193) |
| Wyoming |  |  | 0.602 | (0.469) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 2) |  |  | -0.033 | (0.053) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 3) |  |  | 0.113 | (0.062) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 4) |  |  | 0.105 | (0.083) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 5) |  |  | 0.167 | (0.115) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 6) |  |  | 0.110 | (0.091) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 7) |  |  | 0.111 | (0.107) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 8) |  |  | 0.093 | (0.227) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 9) |  |  | 0.171 | (0.272) |
| Constant | 3.020\*\*\* | (0.022) | 3.318\*\*\* | (0.209) |
| Observations | 8924 |  | 7293 |  |

Standard errors in parentheses. \* p < .05, \*\* p < .01, \*\*\* p < .001

## Table S7: CATE Analysis, Waves 2-5

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Coefficient | Standard Error |
| Brazil | 0.085 | (0.516) |
| Great Britain | -0.420 | (0.552) |
| Date=3 | 0.202 | (0.472) |
| Date=4 | -0.564 | (0.499) |
| Date=5 | -0.909 | (0.805) |
| Brazil # Date=3 | -0.212 | (0.679) |
| Brazil # Date=4 | 0.439 | (0.640) |
| Brazil # Date=5 | 0.135 | (0.956) |
| Great Britain # Date=3 | -0.596 | (0.607) |
| Great Britain # Date=4 | 0.378 | (0.693) |
| Great Britain # Date=5 | 0.819 | (1.041) |
| Democrat | -1.124\* | (0.479) |
| Other | -1.570\*\* | (0.487) |
| Brazil # Democrat | 0.803 | (0.556) |
| Brazil # Other | 1.187\* | (0.575) |
| Great Britain # Democrat | 0.935 | (0.586) |
| Great Britain # Other | 1.703\*\* | (0.595) |
| Date=3 # Democrat | 0.050 | (0.507) |
| Date=3 # Other | 0.391 | (0.523) |
| Date=4 # Democrat | 0.413 | (0.540) |
| Date=4 # Other | 0.468 | (0.563) |
| Date=5 # Democrat | 0.452 | (0.836) |
| Date=5 # Other | 0.211 | (0.846) |
| Brazil # Date=3 # Democrat | 0.400 | (0.731) |
| Brazil # Date=3 # Other | -0.012 | (0.760) |
| Brazil # Date=4 # Democrat | -0.878 | (0.704) |
| Brazil # Date=4 # Other | -0.631 | (0.742) |
| Brazil # Date=5 # Democrat | 0.492 | (1.006) |
| Brazil # Date=5 # Other | 0.178 | (1.032) |
| Great Britain # Date=3 # Democrat | 0.352 | (0.664) |
| Great Britain # Date=3 # Other | -0.004 | (0.684) |
| Great Britain # Date=4 # Democrat | -0.429 | (0.749) |
| Great Britain # Date=4 # Other | -0.593 | (0.774) |
| Great Britain # Date=5 # Democrat | -0.323 | (1.086) |
| Great Britain # Date=5 # Other | 0.171 | (1.115) |
| racialresentment | 0.231\* | (0.101) |
| Brazil # racialresentment | -0.070 | (0.115) |
| Great Britain # racialresentment | -0.107 | (0.124) |
| Date=3 # racialresentment | -0.026 | (0.105) |
| Date=4 # racialresentment | 0.038 | (0.110) |
| Date=5 # racialresentment | 0.066 | (0.181) |
| Brazil # Date=3 # racialresentment | 0.017 | (0.150) |
| Brazil # Date=4 # racialresentment | -0.140 | (0.145) |
| Brazil # Date=5 # racialresentment | -0.013 | (0.213) |
| Great Britain # Date=3 # racialresentment | 0.105 | (0.139) |
| Great Britain # Date=4 # racialresentment | -0.095 | (0.156) |
| Great Britain # Date=5 # racialresentment | -0.133 | (0.235) |
| Democrat # racialresentment | 0.192 | (0.112) |
| Other # racialresentment | 0.273\* | (0.111) |
| Brazil # Democrat # racialresentment | -0.182 | (0.136) |
| Brazil # Other # racialresentment | -0.265\* | (0.133) |
| Great Britain # Democrat # racialresentment | -0.165 | (0.143) |
| Great Britain # Other # racialresentment | -0.357\*\* | (0.138) |
| Date=3 # Democrat # racialresentment | -0.049 | (0.123) |
| Date=3 # Other # racialresentment | -0.079 | (0.120) |
| Date=4 # Democrat # racialresentment | -0.211 | (0.132) |
| Date=4 # Other # racialresentment | -0.107 | (0.132) |
| Date=5 # Democrat # racialresentment | -0.179 | (0.198) |
| Date=5 # Other # racialresentment | -0.052 | (0.194) |
| Brazil # Date=3 # Democrat # racialresentment | -0.048 | (0.177) |
| Brazil # Date=3 # Other # racialresentment | 0.013 | (0.176) |
| Brazil # Date=4 # Democrat # racialresentment | 0.350 | (0.180) |
| Brazil # Date=4 # Other # racialresentment | 0.173 | (0.178) |
| Brazil # Date=5 # Democrat # racialresentment | 0.002 | (0.243) |
| Brazil # Date=5 # Other # racialresentment | 0.017 | (0.237) |
| Great Britain # Date=3 # Democrat # racialresentment | -0.003 | (0.170) |
| Great Britain # Date=3 # Other # racialresentment | 0.049 | (0.162) |
| Great Britain # Date=4 # Democrat # racialresentment | 0.216 | (0.188) |
| Great Britain # Date=4 # Other # racialresentment | 0.174 | (0.184) |
| Great Britain # Date=5 # Democrat # racialresentment | 0.210 | (0.261) |
| Great Britain # Date=5 # Other # racialresentment | -0.048 | (0.260) |
| 30- | 0.146\* | (0.066) |
| 45- | 0.396\*\*\* | (0.063) |
| 65- | 0.365\*\*\* | (0.067) |
| gender | -0.063 | (0.035) |
| white | -0.159\*\*\* | (0.045) |
| married | 0.033 | (0.038) |
| High school graduate | -0.270\*\* | (0.103) |
| Some college | -0.352\*\*\* | (0.106) |
| 2-year | -0.308\*\* | (0.110) |
| 4-year | -0.475\*\*\* | (0.108) |
| Post-grad | -0.547\*\*\* | (0.114) |
| Income (step 2) | 0.094 | (0.102) |
| Income (step 3) | -0.036 | (0.102) |
| Income (step 4) | -0.080 | (0.100) |
| Income (step 5) | -0.136 | (0.101) |
| Income (step 6) | -0.062 | (0.103) |
| Income (step 7) | -0.065 | (0.113) |
| Income (step 8) | -0.102 | (0.106) |
| Income (step 9) | -0.204 | (0.107) |
| Income (step 10) | -0.135 | (0.108) |
| Income (step 11) | -0.209 | (0.118) |
| Income (step 12) | -0.205 | (0.129) |
| Income (step 13) | -0.182 | (0.143) |
| Income (step 14) | -0.185 | (0.173) |
| Income (step 15) | -0.988\*\* | (0.358) |
| Income (step 16) | 0.197 | (0.221) |
| Income (step 17) | -0.135 | (0.097) |
| Alaska | -0.761\* | (0.317) |
| Arizona | -0.121 | (0.206) |
| Arkansas | -0.054 | (0.220) |
| California | 0.004 | (0.179) |
| Colorado | 0.206 | (0.218) |
| Connecticut | 0.004 | (0.262) |
| Delaware | 0.088 | (0.337) |
| District of Columbia | -0.193 | (0.294) |
| Florida | 0.130 | (0.181) |
| Georgia | -0.033 | (0.187) |
| Hawaii | -0.013 | (0.303) |
| Idaho | 0.386 | (0.228) |
| Illinois | 0.016 | (0.196) |
| Indiana | -0.015 | (0.209) |
| Iowa | 0.433 | (0.246) |
| Kansas | 0.139 | (0.243) |
| Kentucky | -0.030 | (0.215) |
| Louisiana | 0.131 | (0.232) |
| Maine | 0.156 | (0.264) |
| Maryland | -0.096 | (0.233) |
| Massachusetts | -0.102 | (0.206) |
| Michigan | -0.006 | (0.186) |
| Minnesota | -0.323 | (0.219) |
| Mississippi | -0.032 | (0.223) |
| Missouri | -0.012 | (0.207) |
| Montana | -0.388 | (0.337) |
| Nebraska | -0.101 | (0.269) |
| Nevada | -0.154 | (0.243) |
| New Hampshire | 0.158 | (0.223) |
| New Jersey | 0.097 | (0.198) |
| New Mexico | -0.146 | (0.242) |
| New York | 0.200 | (0.183) |
| North Carolina | 0.033 | (0.194) |
| North Dakota | -0.601 | (0.318) |
| Ohio | -0.073 | (0.190) |
| Oklahoma | 0.070 | (0.215) |
| Oregon | -0.068 | (0.218) |
| Pennsylvania | 0.134 | (0.183) |
| Rhode Island | -0.140 | (0.372) |
| South Carolina | -0.046 | (0.211) |
| South Dakota | -0.262 | (0.317) |
| Tennessee | 0.318 | (0.200) |
| Texas | 0.174 | (0.183) |
| Utah | 0.209 | (0.234) |
| Vermont | -0.225 | (0.293) |
| Virginia | -0.009 | (0.197) |
| Washington | -0.156 | (0.193) |
| West Virginia | 0.051 | (0.249) |
| Wisconsin | 0.044 | (0.196) |
| Wyoming | 0.828 | (0.952) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 2) | -0.046 | (0.050) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 3) | 0.104 | (0.057) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 4) | 0.051 | (0.078) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 5) | 0.187 | (0.118) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 6) | 0.125 | (0.087) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 7) | 0.044 | (0.102) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 8) | -0.036 | (0.200) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 9) | 0.182 | (0.196) |
| Constant | 3.596\*\*\* | (0.503) |
| Observations | 6997 |  |

Standard errors in parentheses. \* p < .05, \*\* p < .01, \*\*\* p < .001

## Table S8: CATE Analysis, Waves 2-5, by Case Loads

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Coefficient | Standard Error |
| Brazil | 4.803 | (3.451) |
| Great Britain | -0.043 | (1.206) |
| Democrat | -0.816 | (0.593) |
| Other | -1.664\*\* | (0.614) |
| Brazil # Democrat | -9.775\*\* | (3.792) |
| Brazil # Other | -3.674 | (4.042) |
| Great Britain # Democrat | 1.449 | (1.304) |
| Great Britain # Other | 2.684\* | (1.355) |
| \_\_000000 | 0.157 | (0.160) |
| Brazil # \_\_000000 | -0.559 | (0.375) |
| Great Britain # \_\_000000 | -0.139 | (0.210) |
| Democrat # \_\_000000 | -0.018 | (0.175) |
| Other # \_\_000000 | 0.150 | (0.183) |
| Brazil # Democrat # \_\_000000 | 1.019\* | (0.408) |
| Brazil # Other # \_\_000000 | 0.335 | (0.438) |
| Great Britain # Democrat # \_\_000000 | -0.068 | (0.229) |
| Great Britain # Other # \_\_000000 | -0.243 | (0.239) |
| racialresentment | 0.298\* | (0.124) |
| Brazil # racialresentment | -1.026 | (0.771) |
| Great Britain # racialresentment | 0.167 | (0.276) |
| Democrat # racialresentment | 0.090 | (0.145) |
| Other # racialresentment | 0.277 | (0.143) |
| Brazil # Democrat # racialresentment | 2.190\* | (0.974) |
| Brazil # Other # racialresentment | 0.690 | (0.958) |
| Great Britain # Democrat # racialresentment | -0.197 | (0.332) |
| Great Britain # Other # racialresentment | -0.523 | (0.325) |
| \_\_000000 # racialresentment | -0.018 | (0.036) |
| Brazil # \_\_000000 # racialresentment | 0.102 | (0.084) |
| Great Britain # \_\_000000 # racialresentment | -0.025 | (0.047) |
| Democrat # \_\_000000 # racialresentment | -0.006 | (0.044) |
| Other # \_\_000000 # racialresentment | -0.027 | (0.043) |
| Brazil # Democrat # \_\_000000 # racialresentment | -0.214\* | (0.104) |
| Brazil # Other # \_\_000000 # racialresentment | -0.065 | (0.103) |
| Great Britain # Democrat # \_\_000000 # racialresentment | 0.022 | (0.057) |
| Great Britain # Other # \_\_000000 # racialresentment | 0.046 | (0.057) |
| 30- | 0.134\* | (0.066) |
| 45- | 0.373\*\*\* | (0.063) |
| 65- | 0.333\*\*\* | (0.067) |
| gender | -0.052 | (0.036) |
| white | -0.170\*\*\* | (0.045) |
| married | 0.037 | (0.038) |
| High school graduate | -0.262\*\* | (0.100) |
| Some college | -0.338\*\* | (0.103) |
| 2-year | -0.305\*\* | (0.108) |
| 4-year | -0.473\*\*\* | (0.105) |
| Post-grad | -0.545\*\*\* | (0.112) |
| Income (step 2) | 0.095 | (0.103) |
| Income (step 3) | -0.048 | (0.102) |
| Income (step 4) | -0.071 | (0.101) |
| Income (step 5) | -0.133 | (0.102) |
| Income (step 6) | -0.067 | (0.105) |
| Income (step 7) | -0.082 | (0.114) |
| Income (step 8) | -0.115 | (0.106) |
| Income (step 9) | -0.201 | (0.107) |
| Income (step 10) | -0.131 | (0.109) |
| Income (step 11) | -0.204 | (0.119) |
| Income (step 12) | -0.208 | (0.131) |
| Income (step 13) | -0.186 | (0.147) |
| Income (step 14) | -0.217 | (0.178) |
| Income (step 15) | -1.002\* | (0.410) |
| Income (step 16) | 0.217 | (0.233) |
| Income (step 17) | -0.137 | (0.098) |
| Alaska | -0.768\* | (0.310) |
| Arizona | -0.090 | (0.202) |
| Arkansas | -0.015 | (0.220) |
| California | 0.029 | (0.175) |
| Colorado | 0.253 | (0.214) |
| Connecticut | 0.022 | (0.258) |
| Delaware | 0.126 | (0.314) |
| District of Columbia | -0.270 | (0.310) |
| Florida | 0.156 | (0.176) |
| Georgia | -0.016 | (0.183) |
| Hawaii | 0.054 | (0.293) |
| Idaho | 0.383 | (0.216) |
| Illinois | 0.035 | (0.191) |
| Indiana | 0.050 | (0.206) |
| Iowa | 0.453 | (0.247) |
| Kansas | 0.168 | (0.230) |
| Kentucky | 0.024 | (0.215) |
| Louisiana | 0.158 | (0.234) |
| Maine | 0.129 | (0.269) |
| Maryland | -0.110 | (0.227) |
| Massachusetts | -0.088 | (0.205) |
| Michigan | 0.019 | (0.181) |
| Minnesota | -0.300 | (0.215) |
| Mississippi | -0.037 | (0.220) |
| Missouri | 0.002 | (0.204) |
| Montana | -0.338 | (0.337) |
| Nebraska | -0.069 | (0.274) |
| Nevada | -0.151 | (0.240) |
| New Hampshire | 0.202 | (0.212) |
| New Jersey | 0.136 | (0.194) |
| New Mexico | -0.154 | (0.249) |
| New York | 0.230 | (0.179) |
| North Carolina | 0.055 | (0.191) |
| North Dakota | -0.578 | (0.321) |
| Ohio | -0.075 | (0.186) |
| Oklahoma | 0.084 | (0.209) |
| Oregon | -0.061 | (0.216) |
| Pennsylvania | 0.172 | (0.179) |
| Rhode Island | -0.071 | (0.367) |
| South Carolina | -0.024 | (0.206) |
| South Dakota | -0.228 | (0.325) |
| Tennessee | 0.346 | (0.197) |
| Texas | 0.175 | (0.179) |
| Utah | 0.213 | (0.229) |
| Vermont | -0.144 | (0.293) |
| Virginia | 0.024 | (0.194) |
| Washington | -0.144 | (0.190) |
| West Virginia | 0.116 | (0.243) |
| Wisconsin | 0.064 | (0.192) |
| Wyoming | 0.615 | (0.967) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 2) | -0.051 | (0.050) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 3) | 0.089 | (0.057) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 4) | 0.051 | (0.078) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 5) | 0.174 | (0.121) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 6) | 0.091 | (0.089) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 7) | 0.036 | (0.101) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 8) | -0.038 | (0.200) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 9) | 0.162 | (0.198) |
| Constant | 2.890\*\*\* | (0.586) |
| Observations | 6997 |  |

Standard errors in parentheses. \* p < .05, \*\* p < .01, \*\*\* p < .001

## Table S9: Descriptive Statistics

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Variable | Obs | Mean | Std.Dev. | Min | Max | |
| gender | 3000 | 1.532 | .499 | 1 | 2 | |
| agecat | 3000 | 1.66 | .976 | 0 | 3 | |
| race | 3000 | 1.606 | 1.229 | 1 | 8 | |
| marstat | 3000 | 2.796 | 1.88 | 1 | 6 | |
| educ | 3000 | 3.528 | 1.472 | 1 | 6 | |
| income | 3000 | 7.755 | 4.832 | 1 | 17 | |
| rural | 2880 | 2.205 | 1.748 | 1 | 9 | |
| democrat | 3000 | 1.091 | .775 | 0 | 2 | |
| Racial Resentment | 3000 | 3.181 | 1.46 | 1 | 5 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Freq. | Percent |
| Alabama | 39 | 1.30 |
| Alaska | 7 | 0.23 |
| Arizona | 81 | 2.70 |
| Arkansas | 44 | 1.47 |
| California | 260 | 8.67 |
| Colorado | 44 | 1.47 |
| Connecticut | 31 | 1.03 |
| Delaware | 14 | 0.47 |
| District of Columbia | 8 | 0.27 |
| Florida | 224 | 7.47 |
| Georgia | 101 | 3.37 |
| Hawaii | 12 | 0.40 |
| Idaho | 20 | 0.67 |
| Illinois | 116 | 3.87 |
| Indiana | 62 | 2.07 |
| Iowa | 29 | 0.97 |
| Kansas | 16 | 0.53 |
| Kentucky | 43 | 1.43 |
| Louisiana | 41 | 1.37 |
| Maine | 14 | 0.47 |
| Maryland | 44 | 1.47 |
| Massachusetts | 57 | 1.90 |
| Michigan | 111 | 3.70 |
| Minnesota | 45 | 1.50 |
| Mississippi | 28 | 0.93 |
| Missouri | 67 | 2.23 |
| Montana | 15 | 0.50 |
| Nebraska | 13 | 0.43 |
| Nevada | 45 | 1.50 |
| New Hampshire | 24 | 0.80 |
| New Jersey | 67 | 2.23 |
| New Mexico | 30 | 1.00 |
| New York | 175 | 5.83 |
| North Carolina | 89 | 2.97 |
| North Dakota | 8 | 0.27 |
| Ohio | 111 | 3.70 |
| Oklahoma | 27 | 0.90 |
| Oregon | 59 | 1.97 |
| Pennsylvania | 178 | 5.93 |
| Rhode Island | 8 | 0.27 |
| South Carolina | 47 | 1.57 |
| South Dakota | 10 | 0.33 |
| Tennessee | 52 | 1.73 |
| Texas | 185 | 6.17 |
| Utah | 29 | 0.97 |
| Vermont | 10 | 0.33 |
| Virginia | 99 | 3.30 |
| Washington | 72 | 2.40 |
| West Virginia | 26 | 0.87 |
| Wisconsin | 60 | 2.00 |
| Wyoming | 3 | 0.10 |

## Table S10: Racial Resentment Predicts Anti-Immigration Attitudes, Whites Only

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Coefficient | Standard Error |
| Racialresentment (step 2) | 3.020 | (2.020) |
| Racialresentment (step 3) | 10.025\*\*\* | (1.934) |
| Racialresentment (step 4) | 9.384\*\*\* | (1.817) |
| Racialresentment (step 5) | 15.086\*\*\* | (1.809) |
| Constant | -83.797\*\*\* | (1.348) |
| Observations | 1248 |  |

## Table S11: SATE Analysis, Wave 1, Whites Only

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Coefficient | Standard Error | Coefficient | Standard Error |
| China | 0.123 | (0.067) | 0.160\* | (0.066) |
| Italy | 0.243\*\*\* | (0.061) | 0.287\*\*\* | (0.063) |
| 30- |  |  | 0.013 | (0.098) |
| 45- |  |  | 0.310\*\*\* | (0.093) |
| 65- |  |  | 0.223\* | (0.098) |
| gender |  |  | 0.072 | (0.053) |
| white |  |  | 0.000 | (.) |
| married |  |  | 0.069 | (0.058) |
| High school graduate |  |  | -0.241 | (0.157) |
| Some college |  |  | -0.494\*\* | (0.161) |
| 2-year |  |  | -0.391\* | (0.167) |
| 4-year |  |  | -0.713\*\*\* | (0.163) |
| Post-grad |  |  | -0.832\*\*\* | (0.171) |
| Income (step 2) |  |  | 0.319 | (0.175) |
| Income (step 3) |  |  | 0.269 | (0.166) |
| Income (step 4) |  |  | 0.174 | (0.178) |
| Income (step 5) |  |  | 0.325 | (0.173) |
| Income (step 6) |  |  | 0.371\* | (0.184) |
| Income (step 7) |  |  | 0.463\* | (0.183) |
| Income (step 8) |  |  | 0.310 | (0.182) |
| Income (step 9) |  |  | 0.244 | (0.183) |
| Income (step 10) |  |  | 0.106 | (0.190) |
| Income (step 11) |  |  | 0.287 | (0.193) |
| Income (step 12) |  |  | 0.249 | (0.206) |
| Income (step 13) |  |  | 0.235 | (0.244) |
| Income (step 14) |  |  | 0.136 | (0.286) |
| Income (step 15) |  |  | 0.464 | (0.252) |
| Income (step 16) |  |  | 0.734\*\* | (0.242) |
| Income (step 17) |  |  | 0.369\* | (0.167) |
| Alaska |  |  | -0.172 | (0.689) |
| Arizona |  |  | 0.111 | (0.311) |
| Arkansas |  |  | 0.528 | (0.325) |
| California |  |  | -0.023 | (0.287) |
| Colorado |  |  | 0.065 | (0.334) |
| Connecticut |  |  | 0.560 | (0.318) |
| Delaware |  |  | -0.386 | (0.443) |
| District of Columbia |  |  | -0.265 | (0.766) |
| Florida |  |  | 0.233 | (0.274) |
| Georgia |  |  | 0.496 | (0.285) |
| Hawaii |  |  | -0.152 | (0.416) |
| Idaho |  |  | -0.314 | (0.457) |
| Illinois |  |  | 0.116 | (0.295) |
| Indiana |  |  | 0.217 | (0.305) |
| Iowa |  |  | 0.488 | (0.323) |
| Kansas |  |  | 0.580 | (0.327) |
| Kentucky |  |  | 0.407 | (0.293) |
| Louisiana |  |  | 0.820\*\* | (0.296) |
| Maine |  |  | 0.433 | (0.384) |
| Maryland |  |  | 0.249 | (0.360) |
| Massachusetts |  |  | 0.103 | (0.315) |
| Michigan |  |  | 0.264 | (0.288) |
| Minnesota |  |  | 0.084 | (0.324) |
| Mississippi |  |  | 0.124 | (0.351) |
| Missouri |  |  | 0.349 | (0.288) |
| Montana |  |  | -0.815 | (0.450) |
| Nebraska |  |  | -0.120 | (0.568) |
| Nevada |  |  | 0.173 | (0.353) |
| New Hampshire |  |  | -0.009 | (0.356) |
| New Jersey |  |  | 0.389 | (0.316) |
| New Mexico |  |  | 0.308 | (0.335) |
| New York |  |  | 0.244 | (0.282) |
| North Carolina |  |  | 0.405 | (0.290) |
| North Dakota |  |  | -0.947\* | (0.392) |
| Ohio |  |  | 0.250 | (0.290) |
| Oklahoma |  |  | 0.121 | (0.327) |
| Oregon |  |  | 0.118 | (0.311) |
| Pennsylvania |  |  | 0.358 | (0.277) |
| Rhode Island |  |  | 0.659 | (0.448) |
| South Carolina |  |  | 0.146 | (0.341) |
| South Dakota |  |  | 0.118 | (0.572) |
| Tennessee |  |  | 0.006 | (0.309) |
| Texas |  |  | 0.273 | (0.285) |
| Utah |  |  | 0.095 | (0.365) |
| Vermont |  |  | 0.550 | (0.395) |
| Virginia |  |  | 0.401 | (0.292) |
| Washington |  |  | -0.061 | (0.327) |
| West Virginia |  |  | 0.459 | (0.361) |
| Wisconsin |  |  | 0.054 | (0.308) |
| Wyoming |  |  | -0.727 | (1.530) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 2) |  |  | -0.027 | (0.070) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 3) |  |  | 0.141 | (0.086) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 4) |  |  | -0.050 | (0.137) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 5) |  |  | 0.104 | (0.185) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 6) |  |  | 0.129 | (0.120) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 7) |  |  | 0.195 | (0.169) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 8) |  |  | -0.287 | (0.338) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 9) |  |  | -0.105 | (0.268) |
| Constant | 3.790\*\*\* | (0.044) | 3.416\*\*\* | (0.352) |
| Observations | 2165 |  | 2093 |  |

Standard errors in parentheses. \* p < .05, \*\* p < .01, \*\*\* p < .001

## Table S12: CATE Analysis, Wave 1, Whites Only

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Coefficient | Standard Error |
| China | 0.808 | (0.509) |
| Italy | 0.667 | (0.502) |
| Democrat | 0.180 | (0.420) |
| Other | 0.235 | (0.445) |
| China # Democrat | -1.596\*\* | (0.573) |
| China # Other | -1.430\* | (0.592) |
| Italy # Democrat | -0.542 | (0.553) |
| Italy # Other | -0.781 | (0.575) |
| racialresentment | 0.216\* | (0.091) |
| China # racialresentment | -0.077 | (0.117) |
| Italy # racialresentment | -0.043 | (0.113) |
| Democrat # racialresentment | -0.089 | (0.109) |
| Other # racialresentment | -0.071 | (0.105) |
| China # Democrat # racialresentment | 0.327\* | (0.149) |
| China # Other # racialresentment | 0.275 | (0.142) |
| Italy # Democrat # racialresentment | 0.069 | (0.143) |
| Italy # Other # racialresentment | 0.119 | (0.135) |
| 30- | -0.032 | (0.098) |
| 45- | 0.126 | (0.093) |
| 65- | 0.039 | (0.098) |
| gender | 0.108\* | (0.051) |
| white | 0.000 | (.) |
| married | 0.015 | (0.055) |
| High school graduate | -0.272 | (0.165) |
| Some college | -0.443\*\* | (0.170) |
| 2-year | -0.365\* | (0.172) |
| 4-year | -0.619\*\*\* | (0.170) |
| Post-grad | -0.580\*\* | (0.179) |
| Income (step 2) | 0.318 | (0.166) |
| Income (step 3) | 0.164 | (0.154) |
| Income (step 4) | 0.130 | (0.162) |
| Income (step 5) | 0.235 | (0.161) |
| Income (step 6) | 0.278 | (0.171) |
| Income (step 7) | 0.397\* | (0.169) |
| Income (step 8) | 0.174 | (0.174) |
| Income (step 9) | 0.211 | (0.168) |
| Income (step 10) | 0.116 | (0.177) |
| Income (step 11) | 0.216 | (0.179) |
| Income (step 12) | 0.150 | (0.197) |
| Income (step 13) | 0.226 | (0.225) |
| Income (step 14) | 0.109 | (0.251) |
| Income (step 15) | 0.652\*\* | (0.199) |
| Income (step 16) | 0.595\* | (0.238) |
| Income (step 17) | 0.262 | (0.156) |
| Alaska | -0.257 | (0.648) |
| Arizona | 0.234 | (0.279) |
| Arkansas | 0.604\* | (0.307) |
| California | 0.053 | (0.266) |
| Colorado | 0.157 | (0.303) |
| Connecticut | 0.678\* | (0.281) |
| Delaware | -0.218 | (0.423) |
| District of Columbia | -0.051 | (0.677) |
| Florida | 0.262 | (0.250) |
| Georgia | 0.385 | (0.263) |
| Hawaii | 0.333 | (0.475) |
| Idaho | -0.254 | (0.452) |
| Illinois | 0.232 | (0.270) |
| Indiana | 0.269 | (0.285) |
| Iowa | 0.609\* | (0.304) |
| Kansas | 0.582\* | (0.286) |
| Kentucky | 0.441 | (0.271) |
| Louisiana | 0.684\* | (0.279) |
| Maine | 0.721\* | (0.347) |
| Maryland | 0.452 | (0.337) |
| Massachusetts | 0.263 | (0.285) |
| Michigan | 0.269 | (0.267) |
| Minnesota | 0.111 | (0.290) |
| Mississippi | -0.018 | (0.332) |
| Missouri | 0.471 | (0.259) |
| Montana | -0.698 | (0.385) |
| Nebraska | 0.079 | (0.497) |
| Nevada | 0.257 | (0.321) |
| New Hampshire | -0.008 | (0.331) |
| New Jersey | 0.423 | (0.294) |
| New Mexico | 0.391 | (0.308) |
| New York | 0.299 | (0.256) |
| North Carolina | 0.404 | (0.262) |
| North Dakota | -0.777 | (0.431) |
| Ohio | 0.348 | (0.264) |
| Oklahoma | 0.067 | (0.292) |
| Oregon | 0.326 | (0.288) |
| Pennsylvania | 0.401 | (0.251) |
| Rhode Island | 0.790 | (0.427) |
| South Carolina | 0.248 | (0.304) |
| South Dakota | 0.075 | (0.514) |
| Tennessee | 0.075 | (0.278) |
| Texas | 0.283 | (0.255) |
| Utah | 0.458 | (0.425) |
| Vermont | 0.729\* | (0.353) |
| Virginia | 0.379 | (0.262) |
| Washington | 0.134 | (0.305) |
| West Virginia | 0.639 | (0.333) |
| Wisconsin | 0.083 | (0.284) |
| Wyoming | -1.120 | (1.352) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 2) | -0.046 | (0.067) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 3) | 0.109 | (0.081) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 4) | -0.069 | (0.127) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 5) | 0.051 | (0.177) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 6) | -0.008 | (0.113) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 7) | 0.095 | (0.164) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 8) | -0.512 | (0.322) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 9) | -0.171 | (0.250) |
| Constant | 2.784\*\*\* | (0.508) |
| Observations | 2093 |  |

Standard errors in parentheses. \* p < .05, \*\* p < .01, \*\*\* p < .001

## Table S13: SATE Analysis, Waves 2-5, Whites Only

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Estimate | Standard Error | Estimate | Standard Error |
| China | 0.353\*\*\* | (0.041) | 0.370\*\*\* | (0.041) |
| Brazil | 0.504\*\*\* | (0.035) | 0.511\*\*\* | (0.036) |
| 30- |  |  | 0.068 | (0.085) |
| 45- |  |  | 0.492\*\*\* | (0.079) |
| 65- |  |  | 0.413\*\*\* | (0.082) |
| gender |  |  | -0.069 | (0.045) |
| white |  |  | 0.000 | (.) |
| married |  |  | 0.095\* | (0.048) |
| High school graduate |  |  | -0.176 | (0.131) |
| Some college |  |  | -0.367\*\* | (0.135) |
| 2-year |  |  | -0.305\* | (0.143) |
| 4-year |  |  | -0.568\*\*\* | (0.137) |
| Post-grad |  |  | -0.709\*\*\* | (0.143) |
| Income (step 2) |  |  | 0.190 | (0.143) |
| Income (step 3) |  |  | 0.003 | (0.141) |
| Income (step 4) |  |  | -0.022 | (0.142) |
| Income (step 5) |  |  | -0.049 | (0.144) |
| Income (step 6) |  |  | -0.009 | (0.145) |
| Income (step 7) |  |  | -0.028 | (0.157) |
| Income (step 8) |  |  | -0.004 | (0.144) |
| Income (step 9) |  |  | -0.136 | (0.148) |
| Income (step 10) |  |  | -0.152 | (0.148) |
| Income (step 11) |  |  | -0.098 | (0.158) |
| Income (step 12) |  |  | -0.167 | (0.166) |
| Income (step 13) |  |  | -0.086 | (0.186) |
| Income (step 14) |  |  | -0.107 | (0.225) |
| Income (step 15) |  |  | -1.214 | (0.798) |
| Income (step 16) |  |  | 0.285 | (0.252) |
| Income (step 17) |  |  | 0.080 | (0.138) |
| Alaska |  |  | -0.626 | (0.370) |
| Arizona |  |  | -0.106 | (0.236) |
| Arkansas |  |  | -0.113 | (0.248) |
| California |  |  | -0.047 | (0.210) |
| Colorado |  |  | 0.181 | (0.244) |
| Connecticut |  |  | -0.268 | (0.336) |
| Delaware |  |  | 0.327 | (0.324) |
| District of Columbia |  |  | -0.379 | (0.286) |
| Florida |  |  | 0.258 | (0.208) |
| Georgia |  |  | 0.197 | (0.227) |
| Hawaii |  |  | -0.188 | (0.417) |
| Idaho |  |  | 0.437 | (0.260) |
| Illinois |  |  | 0.010 | (0.231) |
| Indiana |  |  | -0.013 | (0.244) |
| Iowa |  |  | 0.526\* | (0.251) |
| Kansas |  |  | 0.227 | (0.307) |
| Kentucky |  |  | 0.006 | (0.246) |
| Louisiana |  |  | 0.492 | (0.266) |
| Maine |  |  | 0.113 | (0.375) |
| Maryland |  |  | -0.099 | (0.315) |
| Massachusetts |  |  | -0.154 | (0.246) |
| Michigan |  |  | 0.074 | (0.214) |
| Minnesota |  |  | -0.234 | (0.244) |
| Mississippi |  |  | 0.188 | (0.285) |
| Missouri |  |  | -0.024 | (0.239) |
| Montana |  |  | -0.354 | (0.394) |
| Nebraska |  |  | 0.069 | (0.276) |
| Nevada |  |  | 0.086 | (0.287) |
| New Hampshire |  |  | 0.213 | (0.287) |
| New Jersey |  |  | 0.147 | (0.233) |
| New Mexico |  |  | -0.242 | (0.318) |
| New York |  |  | 0.222 | (0.207) |
| North Carolina |  |  | 0.056 | (0.233) |
| North Dakota |  |  | -0.620 | (0.355) |
| Ohio |  |  | -0.060 | (0.215) |
| Oklahoma |  |  | 0.230 | (0.252) |
| Oregon |  |  | 0.035 | (0.243) |
| Pennsylvania |  |  | 0.193 | (0.206) |
| Rhode Island |  |  | 0.018 | (0.450) |
| South Carolina |  |  | -0.162 | (0.278) |
| South Dakota |  |  | -0.034 | (0.396) |
| Tennessee |  |  | 0.338 | (0.230) |
| Texas |  |  | 0.322 | (0.215) |
| Utah |  |  | 0.086 | (0.262) |
| Vermont |  |  | -0.113 | (0.326) |
| Virginia |  |  | 0.033 | (0.236) |
| Washington |  |  | -0.201 | (0.225) |
| West Virginia |  |  | 0.225 | (0.287) |
| Wisconsin |  |  | 0.072 | (0.225) |
| Wyoming |  |  | -0.074 | (0.243) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 2) |  |  | -0.036 | (0.061) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 3) |  |  | 0.160\* | (0.068) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 4) |  |  | 0.118 | (0.090) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 5) |  |  | 0.223 | (0.124) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 6) |  |  | 0.087 | (0.105) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 7) |  |  | 0.140 | (0.129) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 8) |  |  | 0.185 | (0.252) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 9) |  |  | 0.172 | (0.269) |
| Constant | 2.967\*\*\* | (0.029) | 3.006\*\*\* | (0.270) |
| Observations | 5686 |  | 5530 |  |

Standard errors in parentheses. \* p < .05, \*\* p < .01, \*\*\* p < .001

## Table S14: CATE Analysis, Waves 2-5, Whites Only

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Coefficient | Standard Error |
| Brazil | 0.167 | (0.558) |
| Great Britain | -0.269 | (0.588) |
| Date=3 | 0.237 | (0.513) |
| Date=4 | -0.428 | (0.550) |
| Date=5 | -0.646 | (0.827) |
| Brazil # Date=3 | -0.466 | (0.687) |
| Brazil # Date=4 | 0.147 | (0.693) |
| Brazil # Date=5 | 0.024 | (0.973) |
| Great Britain # Date=3 | -0.792 | (0.646) |
| Great Britain # Date=4 | 0.055 | (0.745) |
| Great Britain # Date=5 | -0.052 | (1.085) |
| Democrat | -1.365\*\* | (0.515) |
| Other | -1.628\*\* | (0.524) |
| Brazil # Democrat | 1.105 | (0.609) |
| Brazil # Other | 1.230 | (0.635) |
| Great Britain # Democrat | 1.044 | (0.634) |
| Great Britain # Other | 1.753\*\* | (0.646) |
| Date=3 # Democrat | 0.268 | (0.557) |
| Date=3 # Other | 0.548 | (0.577) |
| Date=4 # Democrat | 0.528 | (0.607) |
| Date=4 # Other | 0.323 | (0.634) |
| Date=5 # Democrat | 0.341 | (0.874) |
| Date=5 # Other | 0.034 | (0.892) |
| Brazil # Date=3 # Democrat | 0.389 | (0.756) |
| Brazil # Date=3 # Other | 0.110 | (0.801) |
| Brazil # Date=4 # Democrat | -0.800 | (0.780) |
| Brazil # Date=4 # Other | -0.259 | (0.831) |
| Brazil # Date=5 # Democrat | 0.512 | (1.041) |
| Brazil # Date=5 # Other | 0.433 | (1.080) |
| Great Britain # Date=3 # Democrat | 0.392 | (0.717) |
| Great Britain # Date=3 # Other | 0.003 | (0.752) |
| Great Britain # Date=4 # Democrat | -0.319 | (0.823) |
| Great Britain # Date=4 # Other | -0.308 | (0.868) |
| Great Britain # Date=5 # Democrat | 0.375 | (1.146) |
| Great Britain # Date=5 # Other | 0.883 | (1.191) |
| racialresentment | 0.264\* | (0.108) |
| Brazil # racialresentment | -0.076 | (0.124) |
| Great Britain # racialresentment | -0.154 | (0.132) |
| Date=3 # racialresentment | -0.030 | (0.114) |
| Date=4 # racialresentment | 0.012 | (0.123) |
| Date=5 # racialresentment | 0.021 | (0.187) |
| Brazil # Date=3 # racialresentment | 0.060 | (0.152) |
| Brazil # Date=4 # racialresentment | -0.093 | (0.157) |
| Brazil # Date=5 # racialresentment | -0.003 | (0.219) |
| Great Britain # Date=3 # racialresentment | 0.188 | (0.148) |
| Great Britain # Date=4 # racialresentment | -0.027 | (0.169) |
| Great Britain # Date=5 # racialresentment | 0.057 | (0.246) |
| Democrat # racialresentment | 0.255\* | (0.126) |
| Other # racialresentment | 0.293\* | (0.119) |
| Brazil # Democrat # racialresentment | -0.253 | (0.152) |
| Brazil # Other # racialresentment | -0.291\* | (0.146) |
| Great Britain # Democrat # racialresentment | -0.217 | (0.160) |
| Great Britain # Other # racialresentment | -0.373\* | (0.150) |
| Date=3 # Democrat # racialresentment | -0.089 | (0.139) |
| Date=3 # Other # racialresentment | -0.103 | (0.131) |
| Date=4 # Democrat # racialresentment | -0.247 | (0.156) |
| Date=4 # Other # racialresentment | -0.079 | (0.150) |
| Date=5 # Democrat # racialresentment | -0.178 | (0.212) |
| Date=5 # Other # racialresentment | -0.013 | (0.207) |
| Brazil # Date=3 # Democrat # racialresentment | -0.040 | (0.188) |
| Brazil # Date=3 # Other # racialresentment | -0.008 | (0.185) |
| Brazil # Date=4 # Democrat # racialresentment | 0.345 | (0.204) |
| Brazil # Date=4 # Other # racialresentment | 0.101 | (0.200) |
| Brazil # Date=5 # Democrat # racialresentment | 0.024 | (0.255) |
| Brazil # Date=5 # Other # racialresentment | -0.032 | (0.251) |
| Great Britain # Date=3 # Democrat # racialresentment | -0.041 | (0.187) |
| Great Britain # Date=3 # Other # racialresentment | 0.003 | (0.178) |
| Great Britain # Date=4 # Democrat # racialresentment | 0.221 | (0.215) |
| Great Britain # Date=4 # Other # racialresentment | 0.137 | (0.208) |
| Great Britain # Date=5 # Democrat # racialresentment | 0.101 | (0.279) |
| Great Britain # Date=5 # Other # racialresentment | -0.200 | (0.280) |
| 30- | 0.004 | (0.079) |
| 45- | 0.297\*\*\* | (0.076) |
| 65- | 0.240\*\* | (0.078) |
| gender | -0.063 | (0.041) |
| white | 0.000 | (.) |
| married | 0.031 | (0.044) |
| High school graduate | -0.104 | (0.127) |
| Some college | -0.232 | (0.129) |
| 2-year | -0.185 | (0.136) |
| 4-year | -0.373\*\* | (0.131) |
| Post-grad | -0.357\*\* | (0.138) |
| Income (step 2) | 0.169 | (0.126) |
| Income (step 3) | 0.022 | (0.126) |
| Income (step 4) | 0.008 | (0.122) |
| Income (step 5) | -0.098 | (0.126) |
| Income (step 6) | -0.009 | (0.128) |
| Income (step 7) | 0.004 | (0.144) |
| Income (step 8) | -0.056 | (0.130) |
| Income (step 9) | -0.127 | (0.133) |
| Income (step 10) | -0.099 | (0.131) |
| Income (step 11) | -0.032 | (0.141) |
| Income (step 12) | -0.178 | (0.154) |
| Income (step 13) | -0.078 | (0.167) |
| Income (step 14) | -0.134 | (0.205) |
| Income (step 15) | -0.802\*\* | (0.310) |
| Income (step 16) | 0.181 | (0.221) |
| Income (step 17) | 0.009 | (0.123) |
| Alaska | -0.688\* | (0.324) |
| Arizona | -0.011 | (0.221) |
| Arkansas | -0.016 | (0.235) |
| California | -0.005 | (0.196) |
| Colorado | 0.286 | (0.225) |
| Connecticut | -0.160 | (0.283) |
| Delaware | 0.362 | (0.248) |
| District of Columbia | -0.284 | (0.228) |
| Florida | 0.240 | (0.194) |
| Georgia | 0.073 | (0.203) |
| Hawaii | 0.160 | (0.424) |
| Idaho | 0.417 | (0.243) |
| Illinois | 0.123 | (0.214) |
| Indiana | -0.009 | (0.222) |
| Iowa | 0.683\*\* | (0.246) |
| Kansas | 0.170 | (0.256) |
| Kentucky | 0.015 | (0.235) |
| Louisiana | 0.195 | (0.260) |
| Maine | 0.307 | (0.325) |
| Maryland | -0.039 | (0.274) |
| Massachusetts | -0.094 | (0.220) |
| Michigan | 0.057 | (0.200) |
| Minnesota | -0.240 | (0.236) |
| Mississippi | -0.025 | (0.271) |
| Missouri | 0.047 | (0.216) |
| Montana | -0.344 | (0.371) |
| Nebraska | 0.095 | (0.322) |
| Nevada | 0.108 | (0.261) |
| New Hampshire | 0.173 | (0.234) |
| New Jersey | 0.135 | (0.217) |
| New Mexico | -0.195 | (0.299) |
| New York | 0.218 | (0.195) |
| North Carolina | 0.085 | (0.207) |
| North Dakota | -0.553 | (0.344) |
| Ohio | -0.055 | (0.201) |
| Oklahoma | 0.109 | (0.227) |
| Oregon | 0.112 | (0.227) |
| Pennsylvania | 0.186 | (0.195) |
| Rhode Island | -0.033 | (0.388) |
| South Carolina | -0.121 | (0.253) |
| South Dakota | -0.083 | (0.319) |
| Tennessee | 0.339 | (0.209) |
| Texas | 0.278 | (0.199) |
| Utah | 0.232 | (0.249) |
| Vermont | -0.135 | (0.307) |
| Virginia | -0.024 | (0.214) |
| Washington | -0.063 | (0.204) |
| West Virginia | 0.274 | (0.261) |
| Wisconsin | 0.141 | (0.209) |
| Wyoming | -0.521\* | (0.237) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 2) | -0.039 | (0.056) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 3) | 0.127\* | (0.062) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 4) | 0.082 | (0.084) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 5) | 0.222 | (0.125) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 6) | 0.084 | (0.100) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 7) | 0.073 | (0.119) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 8) | 0.033 | (0.231) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 9) | 0.184 | (0.186) |
| Constant | 3.130\*\*\* | (0.538) |
| Observations | 5326 |  |

Standard errors in parentheses. \* p < .05, \*\* p < .01, \*\*\* p < .001

## Table S15: CATE Analysis, Waves 2-5, by Case Loads, Whites Only

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Coefficient | Standard Error |
| Brazil | 3.590 | (3.354) |
| Great Britain | 0.149 | (1.312) |
| Democrat | -1.289 | (0.676) |
| Other | -2.001\*\* | (0.700) |
| Brazil # Democrat | -7.882\* | (3.808) |
| Brazil # Other | -3.041 | (4.144) |
| Great Britain # Democrat | 1.693 | (1.444) |
| Great Britain # Other | 2.930 | (1.545) |
| \_\_000000 | 0.135 | (0.180) |
| Brazil # \_\_000000 | -0.438 | (0.367) |
| Great Britain # \_\_000000 | -0.170 | (0.235) |
| Democrat # \_\_000000 | 0.088 | (0.198) |
| Other # \_\_000000 | 0.241 | (0.208) |
| Brazil # Democrat # \_\_000000 | 0.791 | (0.413) |
| Brazil # Other # \_\_000000 | 0.229 | (0.450) |
| Great Britain # Democrat # \_\_000000 | -0.134 | (0.259) |
| Great Britain # Other # \_\_000000 | -0.303 | (0.275) |
| racialresentment | 0.297\* | (0.140) |
| Brazil # racialresentment | -0.787 | (0.755) |
| Great Britain # racialresentment | 0.190 | (0.300) |
| Democrat # racialresentment | 0.154 | (0.170) |
| Other # racialresentment | 0.346\* | (0.163) |
| Brazil # Democrat # racialresentment | 1.705 | (1.032) |
| Brazil # Other # racialresentment | 0.456 | (1.005) |
| Great Britain # Democrat # racialresentment | -0.320 | (0.373) |
| Great Britain # Other # racialresentment | -0.677 | (0.371) |
| \_\_000000 # racialresentment | -0.013 | (0.040) |
| Brazil # \_\_000000 # racialresentment | 0.078 | (0.083) |
| Great Britain # \_\_000000 # racialresentment | -0.027 | (0.053) |
| Democrat # \_\_000000 # racialresentment | -0.016 | (0.051) |
| Other # \_\_000000 # racialresentment | -0.043 | (0.048) |
| Brazil # Democrat # \_\_000000 # racialresentment | -0.166 | (0.111) |
| Brazil # Other # \_\_000000 # racialresentment | -0.036 | (0.108) |
| Great Britain # Democrat # \_\_000000 # racialresentment | 0.035 | (0.066) |
| Great Britain # Other # \_\_000000 # racialresentment | 0.068 | (0.065) |
| 30- | -0.006 | (0.078) |
| 45- | 0.276\*\*\* | (0.075) |
| 65- | 0.209\*\* | (0.077) |
| gender | -0.053 | (0.041) |
| white | 0.000 | (.) |
| married | 0.034 | (0.044) |
| High school graduate | -0.118 | (0.126) |
| Some college | -0.245 | (0.128) |
| 2-year | -0.201 | (0.136) |
| 4-year | -0.390\*\* | (0.130) |
| Post-grad | -0.375\*\* | (0.138) |
| Income (step 2) | 0.139 | (0.129) |
| Income (step 3) | -0.009 | (0.129) |
| Income (step 4) | -0.009 | (0.125) |
| Income (step 5) | -0.117 | (0.129) |
| Income (step 6) | -0.047 | (0.131) |
| Income (step 7) | -0.041 | (0.147) |
| Income (step 8) | -0.093 | (0.133) |
| Income (step 9) | -0.152 | (0.135) |
| Income (step 10) | -0.112 | (0.134) |
| Income (step 11) | -0.041 | (0.145) |
| Income (step 12) | -0.204 | (0.157) |
| Income (step 13) | -0.111 | (0.174) |
| Income (step 14) | -0.195 | (0.211) |
| Income (step 15) | -0.841\* | (0.378) |
| Income (step 16) | 0.180 | (0.239) |
| Income (step 17) | -0.020 | (0.126) |
| Alaska | -0.669\* | (0.315) |
| Arizona | 0.038 | (0.218) |
| Arkansas | 0.028 | (0.235) |
| California | 0.037 | (0.192) |
| Colorado | 0.356 | (0.220) |
| Connecticut | -0.096 | (0.291) |
| Delaware | 0.371 | (0.254) |
| District of Columbia | -0.320 | (0.228) |
| Florida | 0.291 | (0.190) |
| Georgia | 0.096 | (0.201) |
| Hawaii | 0.197 | (0.410) |
| Idaho | 0.422 | (0.228) |
| Illinois | 0.144 | (0.210) |
| Indiana | 0.073 | (0.219) |
| Iowa | 0.708\*\* | (0.248) |
| Kansas | 0.224 | (0.243) |
| Kentucky | 0.085 | (0.235) |
| Louisiana | 0.275 | (0.264) |
| Maine | 0.275 | (0.333) |
| Maryland | -0.020 | (0.268) |
| Massachusetts | -0.067 | (0.219) |
| Michigan | 0.093 | (0.196) |
| Minnesota | -0.206 | (0.233) |
| Mississippi | -0.026 | (0.267) |
| Missouri | 0.075 | (0.214) |
| Montana | -0.278 | (0.369) |
| Nebraska | 0.098 | (0.333) |
| Nevada | 0.125 | (0.259) |
| New Hampshire | 0.220 | (0.225) |
| New Jersey | 0.177 | (0.213) |
| New Mexico | -0.180 | (0.312) |
| New York | 0.276 | (0.192) |
| North Carolina | 0.123 | (0.205) |
| North Dakota | -0.507 | (0.346) |
| Ohio | -0.036 | (0.197) |
| Oklahoma | 0.122 | (0.219) |
| Oregon | 0.144 | (0.224) |
| Pennsylvania | 0.229 | (0.191) |
| Rhode Island | 0.048 | (0.382) |
| South Carolina | -0.063 | (0.249) |
| South Dakota | -0.045 | (0.337) |
| Tennessee | 0.384 | (0.206) |
| Texas | 0.302 | (0.195) |
| Utah | 0.264 | (0.244) |
| Vermont | -0.039 | (0.306) |
| Virginia | 0.017 | (0.213) |
| Washington | -0.030 | (0.200) |
| West Virginia | 0.349 | (0.251) |
| Wisconsin | 0.163 | (0.206) |
| Wyoming | -0.736\*\* | (0.225) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 2) | -0.054 | (0.056) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 3) | 0.105 | (0.062) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 4) | 0.086 | (0.084) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 5) | 0.210 | (0.124) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 6) | 0.041 | (0.103) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 7) | 0.061 | (0.118) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 8) | 0.036 | (0.230) |
| Rural Zip Code Index (step 9) | 0.161 | (0.189) |
| Constant | 2.621\*\*\* | (0.660) |
| Observations | 5326 |  |

Standard errors in parentheses. \* p < .05, \*\* p < .01, \*\*\* p < .001

## Table S16. Racial Resentment by Partisanship, March 2020

****