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Figure S1. Causal diagram representing time-dependent confounding
Note: Comorbidities of PTS consist of depressive symptoms, and smoking and drinking habits.
Abbreviation: PTS, Posttraumatic stress; PTG, Posttraumatic growth


Figure S2. Map of the tsunami-inundated area in Iwanuma City, Japan

Table S1. Proportions of comorbidities of severely affected versus slightly/moderately affected PTS in each follow-up survey

|  | Severe depressive symptoms (\%) |  | Smoker (\%) |  |  | Drinker (\%) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Year | Slight/moderate PTS | Severe PTS | Slight/moderate PTS | Severe PTS | Slight/moderate PTS | Severe PTS |
| 2013 | 20.5 | 48.4 | 8.6 | 5.6 | 38.3 | 21.4 |
| 2016 | 16.9 | 35.6 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 37.8 | 26.9 |
| 2019 | 21.1 | 50.4 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 35.1 | 22.5 |
| 2022 | 24.7 | 51.1 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 32.1 | 25.9 |

Note: Severely affected PTS was defined as a score of $\geq 6$ points on the Screening Questionnaire for Disaster-Related Mental Health. Severe depressive symptoms were defined as a score of $\geq 5$ points on the Geriatric Depression Scale-15.


Figure S3. The result of confirmatory factor analysis for posttraumatic growth
Note: The goodness of fit indices: comparative fit index (CFI) 0.981 , root-mean-square error of approximation (RMSEA) 0.079 , and standardized-root-mean-square residual (SRMA) 0.025

Table S2. Associations between PTS (continuous variable) and PTG using 5-wave panel data

|  | Model 1: <br> adjusted for baseline <br> covariates | Model 2: <br> adjusted for time-series <br> covariates | Model 3: <br> using IPW (marginal <br> structural model $)$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coefficient (95\% CI) | p | Coefficient (95\% CI) | p | Coefficient (95\% CI) | p |
| PTS | $0.02(0.01,0.03)$ | $<.01$ | $0.01(0.01,0.02)$ | $<.01$ | $0.01(-0.01,0.02)$ | .07 |
| Baseline characteristics | $-0.02(-0.02,-0.01)$ | $<.01$ | $-0.02(-0.02,-0.01)$ | $<.01$ | $-0.02(-0.02,-0.01)$ | $<.01$ |
| Age | $-0.01(-0.06,0.05)$ | .79 | $-0.04(-0.09,-0.01)$ | .04 | $-0.03(-0.06,0.01)$ | .14 |
| Sex | $0.03(0.01,0.05)$ | .03 | $0.03(0.01,0.05)$ | .04 | $0.04(0.02,0.06)$ | $<.01$ |
| Education | $0.01(-0.01,0.01)$ | .24 | $0.01(-0.01,0.01)$ | .10 | $0.01(-0.01,0.01)$ | .05 |
| Equivalized household income (10,000JPY) | $-0.01(-0.05,0.04)$ | .88 | $-0.01(-0.05,0.04)$ | .73 | $-0.01(-0.05,0.02)$ | .54 |
| Divorce/bereavement | $0.03(-0.02,0.07)$ | .23 | $0.04(-0.01,0.08)$ | .12 | $0.03(-0.01,0.07)$ | .07 |
| Working | $0.01(-0.01,0.03)$ | .37 | $0.01(-0.02,0.03)$ | .75 | $0.01(-0.02,0.03)$ | .57 |
| The number of adverse life events | $-0.01(-0.02,-0.01)$ | $<.01$ |  |  |  |  |
| Depressive symptoms ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.03(-0.02,0.08)$ | .22 |  |  |  |  |
| Current smoking | $0.01(-0.03,0.05)$ | .68 |  |  |  |  |
| Current drinking |  |  |  | $0.01(-0.01,0.01)$ | .42 | $0.01(-0.01,0.01)$ |

[^0]Table S3. Associations between PTS (continuous variable) and PTG using imbalanced data

|  | Model 1: <br> adjusted for baseline covariates |  | Model 2: adjusted for time-series covariates |  | Model 3:using IPW (marginalstructural model) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coefficient (95\% CI) | p | Coefficient (95\% CI) | p | Coefficient (95\% CI) | p |
| PTS | 0.02 (0.01, 0.03) | $<.01$ | 0.01 (0.01, 0.02) | $<.01$ | 0.01 (-0.01, 0.02) | . 05 |
| Baseline characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | -0.02 (-0.02, -0.01) | $<.01$ | -0.02 (-0.02, -0.01) | $<.01$ | -0.01 (-0.02, -0.01) | $<.01$ |
| Sex | -0.01 (-0.06, 0.05) | . 79 | -0.04 (-0.09, 0.01) | . 04 | -0.01 (-0.04, 0.03) | . 78 |
| Education | 0.03 (0.01, 0.05) | . 03 | 0.03 (0.01, 0.05) | . 04 | 0.04 (0.02, 0.06) | $<.01$ |
| Equivalized household income (10,000JPY) | 0.01 (-0.01, 0.01) | . 24 | 0.01 (-0.01, 0.01) | . 10 | 0.01 (-0.01, 0.01) | . 22 |
| Divorce/bereavement | -0.01 (-0.05, 0.04) | . 88 | -0.01 (-0.05, 0.04) | . 73 | -0.01 (-0.04, 0.03) | . 83 |
| Working | 0.03 (-0.02, 0.07) | . 23 | $0.04(-0.01,0.08)$ | . 12 | 0.03 (-0.01, 0.08) | . 11 |
| The number of adverse life events | 0.01 (-0.01, 0.03) | . 37 | 0.01 (-0.02, 0.03) | . 75 | 0.01 (-0.02, 0.03) | . 83 |
| Depressive symptoms ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | -0.01 (-0.02, -0.01) | <. 01 |  |  |  |  |
| Current smoking | 0.03 (-0.02, 0.08) | . 22 |  |  |  |  |
| Current drinking | 0.01 (-0.03, 0.05) | . 68 |  |  |  |  |
| Time-dependent covariates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Severe depressive symptoms ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 0.01 (-0.01, 0.01) | . 42 | 0.01 (-0.01, 0.01) | . 21 |
| Current smoking |  |  | -0.11 (-0.18, -0.04) | $<.01$ | -0.15 (-0.21, -0.10) | $<.01$ |
| Current drinking |  |  | -0.09 (-0.13, -0.05) | <. 01 | -0.05 (-0.09, -0.01) | . 02 |
| Post-disaster housing (ref.: no relocation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government-provided housing |  |  | -0.24 (-0.30, -0.17) | $<.01$ | -0.21 (-0.25, -0.17) | $<.01$ |
| Apartment in the open-rental market |  |  | -0.08 (-0.24, 0.08) | . 32 | -0.09 (-0.23, 0.05) | . 21 |
| Newly purchased housing |  |  | 0.30 (0.19, 0.42) | $<.01$ | 0.27 (0.15, 0.40) | $<.01$ |
| Cons. | 2.32 (2.04, 2.60) | <. 01 | 2.44 (2.16, 2.71) | <. 01 | 2.21 (1.98, 2.45) | $<.01$ |

[^1]$\underline{\text { Table S4. An association between baseline depressive symptoms and PTS (binary variable) }}$

|  | Odds $(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ | p |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline severe depressive symptoms $\left(\geqq 5 \mathrm{p}\right.$ of GDS-15) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $2.42(1.94,3.02)$ | $<.01$ |
| Baseline characteristics |  |  |
| Age | $1.02(0.99,1.04)$ | .06 |
| Sex | $1.52(1.18,1.96)$ | $<.01$ |
| Education | $0.80(0.70,0.92)$ | $<.01$ |
| Equivalized household income | $0.99(0.98,0.99)$ | $<.01$ |
| Divorce/bereavement | $0.99(0.78,1.26)$ | .94 |
| Working | $1.07(0.83,1.38)$ | .60 |
| The number of adverse life events | $1.12(0.99,1.25)$ | .06 |
| Current smoking | $0.82(0.57,1.19)$ | .30 |
| Current drinking | $0.83(0.65,1.06)$ | .13 |
| Cons. | $0.02(0.01,0.11)$ | $<.01$ |
| Abbreviation: Cons, constant; CI, Confidence interval; PTS, Posttraumatic stress symptoms; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale |  |  |
| a $\geqq 5$ p of GDS-15 |  |  |


[^0]:    Abbreviation: Cons, constant; CI, Confidence interval; PTS, Posttraumatic stress; PTG, Posttraumatic growth; IPW, inverse probability weighting
    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \geqq 5 \mathrm{p}$ of GDS-15

[^1]:    Abbreviation: Cons, constant; CI, Confidence interval; PTS, Posttraumatic stress; PTG, Posttraumatic growth; IPW, inverse probability weighting
    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \geqq 5 \mathrm{p}$ of GDS-15

