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**Appendix A** Summary statistics and main analyses regression outputs

**Table 1** Summary statistics

**Descriptive Statistics**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Variable | Obs | Mean | Std. Dev. | Min | Max |
| Diverse parliaments | 2826 | .66 | .474 | 0 | 1 |
| Public service employment | 2826 | .159 | .365 | 0 | 1 |
| Religion in schools | 2826 | .705 | .456 | 0 | 1 |
| Funding minority culture | 2826 | .629 | .483 | 0 | 1 |
| Building Mosques | 2826 | .514 | .5 | 0 | 1 |
| Specific policies: Muslims | 2826 | .715 | .452 | 0 | 1 |
| Specific policies: refugees | 2826 | .813 | .39 | 0 | 1 |
| Specific policies: gays & lesbians | 2826 | .833 | .373 | 0 | 1 |
| Report more | 2826 | .512 | .5 | 0 | 1 |
| Equality | 2826 | .72 | .449 | 0 | 1 |
| Intervention | 2826 | .809 | .394 | 0 | 1 |
| Gender | 2826 | .55 | .498 | 0 | 1 |
| Migration background | 2826 | .229 | .42 | 0 | 1 |
| Education | 2826 | 2.451 | .674 | 1 | 3 |
| Age | 2826 | 3.218 | .769 | 1 | 4 |
| City size | 2826 | .81 | .392 | 0 | 1 |
| Inter-group contact | 2826 | .609 | .488 | 0 | 1 |
|  | | | | | |

**Table 2** LPM regression outputs corresponding to Figure 3

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| **Dependent Variables:** | Parliaments | Public Service | Schools | Funding |
| **Political Views** |  |  |  |  |
| Report more: yes | 0.10\*\*\* | 0.09\*\*\* | 0.09\*\*\* | 0.11\*\*\* |
|  | (0.02) | (0.01) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Equality: yes | 0.10\*\*\* | 0.06\*\*\* | 0.11\*\*\* | 0.08\*\*\* |
|  | (0.02) | (0.01) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Intervention: yes | 0.12\*\*\* | 0.05\*\* | 0.05+ | 0.10\*\*\* |
| **Group Membership** | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Women: yes | -0.02 | 0.03+ | 0.01 | -0.06\*\*\* |
|  | (0.02) | (0.01) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Migration Background: yes | -0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.02 |
| **Exposure** | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Education: low | -0.16\*\*\* | 0.07\*\* | 0.02 | -0.12\*\*\* |
|  | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.03) |
| Education: high | 0.08\*\*\* | 0.03\* | 0.02 | 0.10\*\*\* |
|  | (0.02) | (0.01) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Age: 18-24 | -0.06 | -0.00 | 0.16\*\*\* | -0.03 |
|  | (0.07) | (0.05) | (0.04) | (0.07) |
| Age: 25-44 | -0.00 | 0.03 | 0.04 | -0.00 |
|  | (0.03) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.03) |
| Age: 65+ | 0.03+ | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.06\*\* |
|  | (0.02) | (0.01) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Big city: yes | -0.01 | -0.01 | 0.01 | 0.06\*\* |
|  | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Intergroup Contact: frequent | 0.06\*\* | 0.03\* | 0.05\* | 0.07\*\*\* |
|  | (0.02) | (0.01) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Constant | 0.39\*\*\* | -0.03 | 0.47\*\*\* | 0.32\*\*\* |
|  | (0.04) | (0.03) | (0.04) | (0.04) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 |
| R-squared | 0.068 | 0.037 | 0.038 | 0.069 |

Robust standard errors in parentheses

\*\*\* p<0.001, \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.10

**Table 3** LPM regression outputs corresponding to Figure 4

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| **Dependent Variables:** | Mosques | Muslims | Refugees | Gays & Lesbians |
| **Political Views** |  |  |  |  |
| Report more: yes | 0.10\*\*\* | 0.08\*\*\* | 0.09\*\*\* | 0.09\*\*\* |
|  | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.01) | (0.01) |
| Equality: yes | 0.08\*\*\* | 0.03 | 0.06\*\*\* | 0.02 |
|  | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Intervention: yes | 0.10\*\*\* | 0.10\*\*\* | 0.05\* | 0.05\* |
| **Group Membership** | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Women: yes | -0.06\*\* | -0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
|  | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.01) | (0.01) |
| Migration Background: yes | -0.06\* | -0.05\* | -0.06\*\* | -0.11\*\*\* |
| **Exposure** | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Education: low | -0.02 | 0.02 | -0.03 | -0.06\* |
|  | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.03) |
| Education: high | 0.18\*\*\* | 0.06\*\* | 0.06\*\*\* | 0.02 |
|  | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Age: 18-24 | 0.15\* | 0.10+ | 0.04 | 0.08+ |
|  | (0.07) | (0.05) | (0.05) | (0.04) |
| Age: 25-44 | 0.07\*\* | 0.01 | -0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | (0.03) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Age: 65+ | 0.00 | -0.05\*\* | 0.00 | -0.05\*\* |
|  | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Big city: yes | 0.05+ | -0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
|  | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Intergroup Contact: frequent | 0.12\*\*\* | 0.08\*\*\* | 0.05\*\* | 0.08\*\*\* |
|  | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Constant | 0.15\*\*\* | 0.55\*\*\* | 0.61\*\*\* | 0.71\*\*\* |
|  | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.03) | (0.03) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 |
| R-squared | 0.090 | 0.040 | 0.043 | 0.055 |

Robust standard errors in parentheses

\*\*\* p<0.001, \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.10

**Table 4** Results from logistic regressions replicating the results reported in figure 3

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| **Dependent Variables:** | Parliaments | Public  Service | Schools | Funding |
| **Political Views** |  |  |  |  |
| Report more: yes | 0.47\*\*\* | 0.70\*\*\* | 0.46\*\*\* | 0.51\*\*\* |
|  | (0.08) | (0.11) | (0.09) | (0.08) |
| Equality: yes | 0.45\*\*\* | 0.59\*\*\* | 0.53\*\*\* | 0.36\*\*\* |
|  | (0.09) | (0.14) | (0.09) | (0.09) |
| Intervention: yes | 0.51\*\*\* | 0.48\*\* | 0.21+ | 0.43\*\*\* |
| **Group Membership** | (0.11) | (0.16) | (0.11) | (0.11) |
| Women: yes | -0.12 | 0.21+ | 0.05 | -0.29\*\*\* |
|  | (0.08) | (0.11) | (0.08) | (0.08) |
| Migration Background: yes | -0.06 | 0.04 | 0.02 | -0.09 |
| **Exposure** | (0.11) | (0.13) | (0.11) | (0.10) |
| Education: low | -0.66\*\*\* | 0.52\*\* | 0.10 | -0.49\*\*\* |
|  | (0.14) | (0.19) | (0.15) | (0.14) |
| Education: high | 0.38\*\*\* | 0.24\* | 0.09 | 0.45\*\*\* |
|  | (0.09) | (0.12) | (0.09) | (0.09) |
| Age: 18-24 | -0.27 | 0.01 | 1.08\* | -0.13 |
|  | (0.31) | (0.39) | (0.42) | (0.30) |
| Age: 25-44 | -0.00 | 0.22 | 0.21 | -0.01 |
|  | (0.12) | (0.15) | (0.13) | (0.12) |
| Age: 65+ | 0.16+ | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.27\*\* |
|  | (0.09) | (0.12) | (0.09) | (0.09) |
| Big city: yes | -0.06 | -0.12 | 0.04 | 0.28\*\* |
|  | (0.11) | (0.14) | (0.11) | (0.10) |
| Intergroup Contact: frequent | 0.28\*\* | 0.23\* | 0.23\* | 0.30\*\*\* |
|  | (0.09) | (0.12) | (0.09) | (0.09) |
| Constant | -0.52\*\* | -3.36\*\*\* | -0.22 | -0.84\*\*\* |
|  | (0.16) | (0.25) | (0.17) | (0.16) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 |

Robust standard errors in parentheses

\*\*\* p<0.001, \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.10

**Table 5** Results from logistic regressions, replicating the results reported in figure 4

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| **Dependent Variables:** | Mosques | Muslims | Refugees | Gays & Lesbians |
| **Political Views** |  |  |  |  |
| Report more: yes | 0.42\*\*\* | 0.38\*\*\* | 0.62\*\*\* | 0.68\*\*\* |
|  | (0.08) | (0.09) | (0.10) | (0.11) |
| Equality: yes | 0.34\*\*\* | 0.17+ | 0.40\*\*\* | 0.19+ |
|  | (0.09) | (0.10) | (0.11) | (0.11) |
| Intervention: yes | 0.44\*\*\* | 0.47\*\*\* | 0.32\*\* | 0.31\* |
| **Group Membership** | (0.11) | (0.11) | (0.12) | (0.13) |
| Women: yes | -0.25\*\* | -0.13 | 0.05 | 0.09 |
|  | (0.08) | (0.09) | (0.10) | (0.11) |
| Migration Background: yes | -0.25\* | -0.26\* | -0.42\*\*\* | -0.86\*\*\* |
| **Exposure** | (0.10) | (0.11) | (0.12) | (0.13) |
| Education: low | -0.12 | 0.08 | -0.14 | -0.34\* |
|  | (0.15) | (0.15) | (0.16) | (0.17) |
| Education: high | 0.75\*\*\* | 0.31\*\*\* | 0.43\*\*\* | 0.19 |
|  | (0.09) | (0.09) | (0.11) | (0.11) |
| Age: 18-24 | 0.66\* | 0.61 | 0.30 | 0.77 |
|  | (0.32) | (0.39) | (0.42) | (0.55) |
| Age: 25-44 | 0.31\*\* | 0.07 | -0.01 | 0.04 |
|  | (0.12) | (0.13) | (0.15) | (0.17) |
| Age: 65+ | 0.02 | -0.26\*\* | 0.00 | -0.38\*\*\* |
|  | (0.09) | (0.09) | (0.11) | (0.12) |
| Big city: yes | 0.19+ | -0.13 | 0.15 | 0.03 |
|  | (0.10) | (0.11) | (0.12) | (0.13) |
| Intergroup Contact: frequent | 0.51\*\*\* | 0.39\*\*\* | 0.34\*\* | 0.62\*\*\* |
|  | (0.09) | (0.09) | (0.11) | (0.12) |
| Constant | -1.49\*\*\* | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.82\*\*\* |
|  | (0.17) | (0.17) | (0.19) | (0.20) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 |

Robust standard errors in parentheses

\*\*\* p<0.001, \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.10

**Table 6** Main analyses with dropped non-responses (LPM)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| **Dependent Variables:** | Parliaments | Public Service | Schools | Funding | Mosques | Muslims | Refugees | Gays & Lesbians |
| **Political Views** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Report more: yes | 0.11\*\*\* | 0.09\*\*\* | 0.08\*\*\* | 0.12\*\*\* | 0.11\*\*\* | 0.07\*\*\* | 0.09\*\*\* | 0.08\*\*\* |
|  | (0.02) | (0.01) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.01) |
| Equality: yes | 0.08\*\*\* | 0.06\*\*\* | 0.12\*\*\* | 0.08\*\*\* | 0.07\*\*\* | 0.03 | 0.06\*\*\* | 0.03\* |
|  | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Intervention: yes | 0.12\*\*\* | 0.05\*\* | 0.04+ | 0.10\*\*\* | 0.10\*\*\* | 0.10\*\*\* | 0.06\*\* | 0.04\* |
| **Group Membership** | (0.03) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Women: yes | -0.03 | 0.02+ | -0.00 | -0.07\*\*\* | -0.05\* | -0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
|  | (0.02) | (0.01) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.01) | (0.01) |
| Migration Background: yes | -0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | -0.00 | -0.06\* | -0.05\* | -0.06\*\* | -0.10\*\*\* |
| (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| **Exposure** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education: low | -0.17\*\*\* | 0.07\*\* | 0.00 | -0.11\*\* | -0.01 | 0.02 | -0.04 | -0.06\* |
|  | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.03) |
| Education: high | 0.08\*\*\* | 0.03\* | 0.02 | 0.10\*\*\* | 0.19\*\*\* | 0.06\*\*\* | 0.06\*\*\* | 0.02 |
|  | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.01) |
| Age: 18-24 | -0.06 | 0.00 | 0.14\*\* | -0.02 | 0.15\* | 0.12\* | 0.04 | 0.07+ |
|  | (0.07) | (0.06) | (0.04) | (0.07) | (0.07) | (0.05) | (0.05) | (0.04) |
| Age: 25-44 | -0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | -0.01 | 0.06\* | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
|  | (0.03) | (0.02) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Age: 65+ | 0.04+ | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05\* | 0.00 | -0.06\*\* | 0.01 | -0.05\*\* |
|  | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Big city: yes | -0.01 | -0.01 | 0.01 | 0.06\* | 0.04 | -0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
|  | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Intergroup Contact: frequent | 0.06\*\* | 0.03+ | 0.05\* | 0.05\* | 0.12\*\*\* | 0.06\*\*\* | 0.04\* | 0.07\*\*\* |
| (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Constant | 0.40\*\*\* | -0.02 | 0.51\*\*\* | 0.33\*\*\* | 0.15\*\*\* | 0.58\*\*\* | 0.61\*\*\* | 0.73\*\*\* |
|  | (0.04) | (0.03) | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.03) | (0.03) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | 2,567 | 2,560 | 2,494 | 2,573 | 2,565 | 2,524 | 2,541 | 2,539 |
| R-squared | 0.072 | 0.035 | 0.037 | 0.068 | 0.094 | 0.042 | 0.047 | 0.052 |

Robust standard errors in parentheses

\*\*\* p<0.001, \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.10

**Table 7** Main analyses with dropped non-responses (logistic regression)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| **Dependent Variables:** | Parliaments | Public Service | Schools | Funding | Mosques | Muslims | Refugees | Gays & Lesbians |
| **Political Views** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Report more: yes | 0.51\*\*\* | 0.69\*\*\* | 0.43\*\*\* | 0.53\*\*\* | 0.47\*\*\* | 0.35\*\*\* | 0.65\*\*\* | 0.67\*\*\* |
|  | (0.09) | (0.12) | (0.10) | (0.09) | (0.09) | (0.10) | (0.11) | (0.12) |
| Equality: yes | 0.38\*\*\* | 0.55\*\*\* | 0.59\*\*\* | 0.36\*\*\* | 0.33\*\*\* | 0.17 | 0.43\*\*\* | 0.28\* |
|  | (0.10) | (0.14) | (0.10) | (0.10) | (0.10) | (0.11) | (0.12) | (0.13) |
| Intervention: yes | 0.55\*\*\* | 0.42\* | 0.21+ | 0.42\*\*\* | 0.42\*\*\* | 0.50\*\*\* | 0.37\*\* | 0.30\* |
| **Group Membership** | (0.11) | (0.16) | (0.12) | (0.11) | (0.11) | (0.12) | (0.13) | (0.14) |
| Women: yes | -0.12 | 0.19+ | -0.01 | -0.31\*\*\* | -0.21\* | -0.06 | 0.07 | 0.18 |
|  | (0.09) | (0.11) | (0.09) | (0.09) | (0.08) | (0.09) | (0.11) | (0.12) |
| Migration Background: yes | -0.05 | 0.04 | 0.07 | -0.01 | -0.27\* | -0.26\* | -0.44\*\*\* | -0.85\*\*\* |
| (0.11) | (0.14) | (0.12) | (0.11) | (0.11) | (0.12) | (0.13) | (0.14) |
| **Exposure** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education: low | -0.71\*\*\* | 0.55\*\* | 0.01 | -0.46\*\* | -0.07 | 0.12 | -0.22 | -0.39\* |
|  | (0.15) | (0.19) | (0.16) | (0.15) | (0.15) | (0.16) | (0.18) | (0.18) |
| Education: high | 0.40\*\*\* | 0.23+ | 0.12 | 0.45\*\*\* | 0.80\*\*\* | 0.35\*\*\* | 0.43\*\*\* | 0.15 |
|  | (0.09) | (0.12) | (0.10) | (0.09) | (0.09) | (0.10) | (0.12) | (0.13) |
| Age: 18-24 | -0.29 | 0.02 | 1.01\* | -0.10 | 0.66\* | 0.92+ | 0.33 | 0.86 |
|  | (0.33) | (0.40) | (0.46) | (0.31) | (0.34) | (0.49) | (0.46) | (0.64) |
| Age: 25-44 | -0.03 | 0.17 | 0.02 | -0.04 | 0.26\* | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.07 |
|  | (0.13) | (0.15) | (0.14) | (0.13) | (0.12) | (0.14) | (0.16) | (0.19) |
| Age: 65+ | 0.18+ | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.23\* | 0.00 | -0.33\*\* | 0.08 | -0.39\*\* |
|  | (0.10) | (0.12) | (0.10) | (0.10) | (0.09) | (0.10) | (0.12) | (0.13) |
| Big city: yes | -0.06 | -0.12 | 0.05 | 0.27\* | 0.17 | -0.14 | 0.23+ | 0.04 |
|  | (0.11) | (0.14) | (0.12) | (0.11) | (0.11) | (0.12) | (0.13) | (0.15) |
| Intergroup Contact: frequent | 0.29\*\* | 0.24+ | 0.24\* | 0.24\*\* | 0.52\*\*\* | 0.35\*\*\* | 0.28\* | 0.59\*\*\* |
| (0.10) | (0.12) | (0.10) | (0.09) | (0.09) | (0.10) | (0.12) | (0.13) |
| Constant | -0.52\*\* | -3.23\*\*\* | -0.07 | -0.79\*\*\* | -1.53\*\*\* | 0.26 | 0.14 | 0.90\*\*\* |
|  | (0.17) | (0.26) | (0.18) | (0.17) | (0.18) | (0.18) | (0.20) | (0.22) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | 2,567 | 2,560 | 2,494 | 2,573 | 2,565 | 2,524 | 2,541 | 2,539 |

Robust standard errors in parentheses

\*\*\* p<0.001, \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.10

**Appendix B** Sub-sample analysis

**Sub-group analysis: Christians of migrant origin**

We introduce an interaction term to test whether migrants of Christian belief have a lower likelihood to support pro-diversity policies. Results are reported below in Tables 1a (LPM) and 1b (Logistic Regression).

**Table 1a** Migration background X religious denomination (LPM)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| **Dependent Variables:** | Parliament | Public Service | Schools | Funding | Mosques | Muslims | Refugees | Gays & Lesbians |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Migrant: yes | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | -0.03 | -0.10\*\*\* |
|  | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.03) |
| Christian: yes | 0.01 | -0.01 | -0.09\*\*\* | -0.00 | 0.04+ | 0.06\*\*\* | 0.02 | 0.03+ |
|  | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Migrant X Christian | -0.03 | -0.02 | -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.15\*\*\* | -0.10\* | -0.05 | -0.03 |
| (0.04) | (0.03) | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.04) |
| Constant | 0.39\*\*\* | -0.02 | 0.52\*\*\* | 0.32\*\*\* | 0.14\*\*\* | 0.52\*\*\* | 0.60\*\*\* | 0.70\*\*\* |
|  | (0.04) | (0.03) | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.03) | (0.03) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | 2,779 | 2,779 | 2,779 | 2,779 | 2,779 | 2,779 | 2,779 | 2,779 |
| R-squared | 0.068 | 0.036 | 0.048 | 0.069 | 0.094 | 0.046 | 0.045 | 0.057 |

*Note*: Each model includes all political views, group membership and exposure variables from Figure 3 and 4.

Robust standard errors in parentheses

\*\*\* p<0.001, \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.10

**Table 1b** Migration background X religious denomination (logistic regression)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| **Dependent Variables:** | Parliament | Public Service | Schools | Funding | Mosques | Muslims | Refugees | Gays & Lesbians |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Migrant: yes | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.11 | -0.00 | -0.24 | -0.76\*\*\* |
|  | (0.16) | (0.19) | (0.18) | (0.16) | (0.15) | (0.16) | (0.19) | (0.19) |
| Christian: yes | 0.05 | -0.06 | -0.43\*\*\* | -0.03 | 0.16+ | 0.33\*\*\* | 0.11 | 0.21+ |
|  | (0.10) | (0.12) | (0.10) | (0.09) | (0.09) | (0.10) | (0.12) | (0.13) |
| Migrant X  Christian | -0.14 | -0.15 | -0.24 | -0.19 | -0.66\*\*\* | -0.50\* | -0.30 | -0.21 |
| (0.20) | (0.25) | (0.22) | (0.20) | (0.19) | (0.21) | (0.24) | (0.24) |
| Constant | -0.53\*\* | -3.32\*\*\* | 0.00 | -0.82\*\*\* | -1.58\*\*\* | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.72\*\*\* |
|  | (0.17) | (0.25) | (0.18) | (0.17) | (0.18) | (0.18) | (0.20) | (0.22) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | 2,779 | 2,779 | 2,779 | 2,779 | 2,779 | 2,779 | 2,779 | 2,779 |

*Note*: Each model includes all political views, group membership and exposure variables from Figure 3 and 4.

Robust standard errors in parentheses

\*\*\* p<0.001, \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.10

**Sub-group analysis: migrant generational differences**

To analyse whether pro-diversity support differs across migrant generations, we focus on the migrants in the sample and introduce a dummy variable measuring whether someone was born abroad (1st generation) or was born in Germany to parents who have immigrated (2nd generation). Results are reported below in Tables 2a (LPM) and 2b (Logistic Regression).

**Table 2a** Migrant generational difference (LPM)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| **Dependent Variables:** | Parliaments | Public Service | Schools | Funding | Mosques | Muslims | Refugees | Gays & Lesbians |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st Gen.: yes | -0.05 | 0.11\*\*\* | 0.03 | -0.12\*\* | -0.13\*\*\* | -0.04 | -0.10\*\* | -0.10\*\* |
|  | (0.04) | (0.03) | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.03) | (0.03) |
| Constant | 0.42\*\*\* | 0.05 | 0.50\*\*\* | 0.43\*\*\* | 0.31\*\* | 0.47\*\*\* | 0.53\*\*\* | 0.49\*\*\* |
|  | (0.10) | (0.07) | (0.09) | (0.10) | (0.10) | (0.10) | (0.09) | (0.09) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | 642 | 642 | 642 | 642 | 642 | 642 | 642 | 642 |
| R-squared | 0.083 | 0.037 | 0.046 | 0.088 | 0.091 | 0.040 | 0.059 | 0.069 |

*Note*: Each model includes all political views, group membership and exposure variables from Figure 3 and 4.

Robust standard errors in parentheses

\*\*\* p<0.001, \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.10

**Table 2b** Migrant generational difference (logistic regression)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| **Dependent Variables:** | Parliaments | Public Service | Schools | Funding | Mosques | Muslims | Refugees | Gays & Lesbians |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st Gen.: yes | -0.27 | 0.81\*\*\* | 0.18 | -0.59\*\* | -0.58\*\*\* | -0.20 | -0.63\*\* | -0.62\*\* |
|  | (0.18) | (0.23) | (0.19) | (0.18) | (0.17) | (0.18) | (0.21) | (0.21) |
| Constant | -0.38 | -2.62\*\*\* | -0.12 | -0.33 | -0.81+ | -0.17 | -0.12 | -0.27 |
|  | (0.44) | (0.54) | (0.43) | (0.46) | (0.44) | (0.44) | (0.49) | (0.46) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | 642 | 642 | 642 | 642 | 642 | 642 | 642 | 642 |

*Note*: Each model includes all political views, group membership and exposure variables from Figure 3 and 4.

Robust standard errors in parentheses

\*\*\* p<0.001, \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.10

**Sub-group analysis: non-migrants with frequent intergroup contacts**

We introduce an interaction term to explore whether the inter-group contact effect, that we have reported in our main models (Figure 3 and 4), is moderated by migration background. Results are reported below in Tables 3a (LPM) and 3b (Logistic Regression). The interaction term is significant and positive in four of the eight models. This suggests that non-migrants with frequent inter-group contact might be somewhat more likely to support pro-diversity policies compared to migrants with frequent inter-group contact. However, these effects should be treated with caution as the individual effects, i.e. no migration background and frequent contact, often tend to cancel out or supersede the interaction. Most clearly, we observe that frequent intergroup contact of non-migrants positively relates to supporting the right to build mosques.

**Table 3a** Migration background X frequent intergroup contacts (LPM)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| **Dependent Variables:** | Parliament | Public  Service | Schools | Funding | Mosques | Muslims | Refugees | Gays &  Lesbians |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| non-migrant: yes | -0.08 | -0.08 | 0.03 | -0.07 | -0.06 | 0.14\* | 0.08+ | 0.16\*\* |
|  | (0.05) | (0.05) | (0.05) | (0.06) | (0.06) | (0.06) | (0.05) | (0.05) |
| Inter-group contact: frequent | -0.03 | -0.05 | 0.08 | -0.03 | -0.00 | 0.17\*\* | 0.07 | 0.13\* |
| (0.06) | (0.05) | (0.06) | (0.06) | (0.06) | (0.06) | (0.05) | (0.05) |
| Non-migrant X  freq. intergroup contact | 0.10+ | 0.09+ | -0.03 | 0.10+ | 0.14\* | -0.11+ | -0.03 | -0.06 |
| (0.06) | (0.05) | (0.06) | (0.06) | (0.06) | (0.06) | (0.05) | (0.06) |
| Constant | 0.47\*\*\* | 0.05 | 0.45\*\*\* | 0.38\*\*\* | 0.21\*\* | 0.42\*\*\* | 0.53\*\*\* | 0.55\*\*\* |
|  | (0.06) | (0.05) | (0.06) | (0.07) | (0.07) | (0.07) | (0.06) | (0.06) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 |
| R-squared | 0.069 | 0.038 | 0.039 | 0.070 | 0.092 | 0.041 | 0.044 | 0.055 |

*Note*: Each model includes all political views, group membership and exposure variables from Figure 3 and 4.

Robust standard errors in parentheses

\*\*\* p<0.001, \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.10

**Table 3b** Migration background X frequent intergroup contacts (logistic regression)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| **Dependent Variables:** | Parliaments | Public Service | Schools | Funding | Mosques | Muslims | Refugees | Gays &  Lesbians |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Migration background: yes | -0.37 | -0.54+ | 0.13 | -0.32 | -0.25 | 0.64\* | 0.48+ | 0.97\*\*\* |
| (0.27) | (0.31) | (0.26) | (0.27) | (0.26) | (0.25) | (0.27) | (0.27) |
| Inter-group contact: frequent | -0.17 | -0.29 | 0.39 | -0.13 | -0.02 | 0.80\*\* | 0.41 | 0.73\*\* |
| (0.27) | (0.31) | (0.27) | (0.27) | (0.26) | (0.26) | (0.28) | (0.27) |
| Non-Migrant X Frequent Contact | 0.51+ | 0.60+ | -0.18 | 0.49+ | 0.59\* | -0.47+ | -0.08 | -0.13 |
| (0.29) | (0.34) | (0.29) | (0.29) | (0.28) | (0.28) | (0.30) | (0.30) |
| Constant | -0.17 | -2.86\*\*\* | -0.34 | -0.54+ | -1.28\*\*\* | -0.45 | -0.28 | -0.14 |
|  | (0.30) | (0.39) | (0.29) | (0.30) | (0.30) | (0.29) | (0.32) | (0.32) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 |

*Note*: Each model includes all political views, group membership and exposure variables from Figure 3 and 4.

Robust standard errors in parentheses

\*\*\* p<0.001, \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.10