**Appendix**

**Hostile Sexism, Social Dominance Orientation, Political Illiberalism and Support for Political Violence**

**Appendix Table 1. Survey Questions, Political Violence Support, Measure 1, Abstract**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Source** |
| The worst politicians should get a brick through their windows to make them stop hurting the country. | Kalmoe (2014) |
| When politicians are damaging the country, citizens should send threats to scare them straight. | Kalmoe (2014) |
| Sometimes the only way to stop bad government is with physical force. | Kalmoe (2014) |
| Some of the problems citizens have with government could be fixed with a few well-aimed bullets. | Kalmoe (2014) |
| Citizens upset by government should never use violence to express their feelings (inverted). | (Authors) |
| It is acceptable to use violence to advance your political goals these days. | Uscinski and Parent (2014) |
| Violence is justified if members of the other side act violently first. | Kalmoe and Mason (2018) |
| Violence is sometimes an acceptable way for Americans to express their disagreement with the government. | Uscinski and Parent (2014) |

Responses: disagree strongly, disagree somewhat, agree somewhat, agree strongly.

α = .8907

**Appendix Figure 1. Frequency Distribution of Support for Political Violence Measure 1, Abstract.**



**Appendix Table 2. Survey Questions, Political Violence Support, Measure 1, Specific**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Source** |
| Recent an individual was charged with assault for punching Republicans at a political rally in Florida. Do you think the individual was justified in doing this?1 | Authors |
| Regardless of whether you think the individual’s behavior in the question above is justified or not justified, how sympathetic are you to the individual who punched Republicans at a political rally?2 | Authors |
| Recent an individual was charged with assault for hitting Democrats at a gathering rally in California. Do you think the individual was justified in doing this?1 | Authors |
| Regardless of whether you think the individual’s behavior in the question above is justified or not justified, how sympathetic are you to the individual who hit Democrats at a political gathering?2 | Authors |

1 Responses: completely justified, partially justified, partially unjustified, not justified at all (inverted).

2 Responses: completely unsympathetic, somewhat unsympathetic, somewhat sympathetic, very sympathetic

α = .7649

**Appendix Figure 2. Frequency Distribution of Support for Political Violence Measure 1, Specific.**



**Appendix Table 3. Survey Questions, Hostile Sexism**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Source** |
| Women seek to gain power by getting control over men. | Schaffner (2022) |
| Women exaggerate problems they have at work. | Schaffner (2022) |
| Once a woman gets a man to commit, she puts him on a tight leash. | Schaffner (2022) |
| Women are too easily offended. | Schaffner (2022) |
| Most women fail to appreciate fully all that men do for them. | Schaffner (2022) |
| When women demand equality these days, they are actually seeking special favors. | ANES |
| Women who complain about harassment cause more problems than they solve. | ANES |
| Society has reached a point where women and men have equal opportunities for achievement. | ANES |
| Women often miss out on good jobs due to sexual discrimination (inverted) | ANES |
| It is rare to see women treated in a sexist manner on television (inverted) | ANES |
| It is easy to understand the anger of women’s groups in America (inverted) | ANES |

α = .8679

Responses: disagree strongly, disagree somewhat, agree somewhat, agree strongly

**Appendix Figure 3. Frequency Distribution of Hostile Sexism Index.**



**Appendix Table 4. Survey Questions, Social Dominance Orientation**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Source** |
| In setting priorities, we must consider all groups (inverted). | Pratto et al. (2013) |
| We should not push for group equality. | Pratto et al. (2013) |
| Group equality should be our ideal (inverted). | Pratto et al. (2013) |
| Superior groups should dominate inferior groups. | Pratto et al. (2013) |
| The country would be better off if we worried less about equality. | ANES |
| It’s not a big problem if some people have more of a chance. | ANES |
| If we treated people equally, we’d have fewer problems (inverted). | ANES |

α = .7703

Responses: disagree strongly, disagree somewhat, agree somewhat, agree strongly

**Appendix Figure 4. Frequency Distribution of Social Dominance Orientation Index.**



**Appendix Table 5. Survey Questions, Political Illiberalism**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Source** |
| Would having a strong leader who does not have to bother with Congress or elections be a good way of governing the United States? | Drutman et al. (2020) |
| In some countries, military leaders have suspended elections, closed down the legislature, and temporarily taken charge of government in order to address extreme corruption. What would be your view if the military took these steps in the United States? | Drutman et al. (2020) |

α = .6760

Responses: definitely a bad way to govern the country, maybe a bad way to govern the country, maybe a good way to govern the country, definitely a good way to govern the country

**Appendix Figure 5. Frequency Distribution of Social Dominance Orientation Index.**



**Appendix Table 6. Main Results**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Support for Political Violence  Abstract Measure | Support for Political Violence  Specific Measure |
| Hostile Sexism | 0.262\*\*\* | 0.035\*\*\* |
|  | (0.023) | (0.009) |
| Age | -0.075\*\*\* | -0.022\*\*\* |
|  | (0.009) | (0.003) |
| Gender (Male = 1) | 0.912\*\*\* | 0.118 |
|  | (0.263) | (0.097) |
| Household Income | -0.019 | -0.014\* |
|  | (0.021) | (0.008) |
| Unemployed | -0.257 | -0.011 |
|  | (0.423) | (0.157) |
| Education Level | -0.054 | -0.060\* |
|  | (0.068) | (0.025) |
| White, non-Hispanic | 0.282 | 0.066 |
|  | (0.700) | (0.261) |
| Black, non-Hispanic | 0.719 | 0.357 |
|  | (0.790) | (0.294) |
| Native American | -2.255\* | -0.250 |
|  | (1.237) | (0.461) |
| Asian – Pacific Is. | 0.933 | 0.586\* |
|  | (0.826) | (0.307) |
| Latino | 1.296\* | 0.231 |
|  | (0.759) | (0.282) |
| Democrat | 0.349 | 0.192 |
|  | (0.354) | (0.132) |
| Republican | -0.428 | -0.378\*\* |
|  | (0.365) | (0.135) |
| Voted in Last Election | 0.056 | 0.186 |
|  | (0.336) | (0.125) |
| Politically Engaged | -0.658\* | -0.138 |
|  | (0.269) | (0.100) |
| Christian | -0.281 | -0.131 |
|  | (0.281) | (0.104) |
| Born Again | 0.320 | 0.017 |
|  | (0.286) | (0.106) |
| Follows News | -0.154\* | 0.000 |
|  | (0.082) | (0.030) |
| Conservative Media | 0.254 | -0.083 |
|  | (0.448) | (0.167) |
| Aggressive | 0.575\*\*\* | 0.062\*\*\* |
|  | (0.050) | (0.018) |
| Northeast | 0.257 | 0.124 |
|  | (0.381) | (0.142) |
| Midwest | 0.223 | -0.083 |
|  | (0.387) | (0.144) |
| South | 0.365 | 0.165 |
|  | (0.337) | (0.125) |
| Duration | -0.981\*\*\* | -0.380\*\*\* |
|  | (0.221) | (0.082) |
| Constant | 12.990\*\*\* | 10.627\*\*\* |
|  | (1.868) | (0.694) |
| Obs. | 1,418 | 1,428 |
| F | 35.35\*\*\* | 12.66\*\*\* |
| R-squared | 0.3785 | 0.1780 |

\*\*\* p ≤ .000 \*\* p ≤ .01 \* p ≤ .1