Table S1Summary of the main animal welfare factors identified in the literature andtheir expected effect on the slaughterhouses' economic outcome.

Factors influencing animal welfare at slaughter	The expected effect on the economic outcome	References
Health and welfare-related conditions (animal	Economic loss	Grandin 1995; Alleweldt
behaviour, stress, injuries etc) that necessitate	Influences	et al 2007, Harley et al
trimming of the carcass	marketability	2012; Gibson & Jackson
		2017; Wigham <i>et al</i> 2018
Well-designed animal facilities (eg lairage) and	Increased economic	Grandin 1995, 2013
equipment, elimination of distractions and	outcome and	
modifications of drive-races, which improves	production efficiency	
transition of animals within the slaughter house		
Maintenance of equipment, eg captive bolt for	Increase in production	Atkinson et al 2013;
stunning, non-slip flooring to ensure proper	efficiency	Grandin 2013
functioning		
Stock-handling skills in the slaughterhouse (human-	Major implications on	Grandin 1997; Chulayo &
animal interaction). Stressful pre-slaughter treatment	meat quality, thus	Muchenje 2015; Gibson &
and handling of cattle and pigs at the slaughterhouse,	reduced economic	Jackson 2017; Losada-
obstructing transition of animals within the	outcome	Espinosa <i>et al</i> 2018
slaughterhouse		
Positive attitudes by management to animal welfare,	Increase in production	Grandin 1995
which facilitates animal welfare-friendly operations	efficiency, and thus	
	increased economic	
	outcome	
Training, behaviour and attitude of employees	Increase in production	Grandin, 1995;
handling the animals pre-slaughter. Employee	efficiency, and thus	Hemsworth et al 2011
training in principles of animal behaviour and	increased economic	
methods of humane handling, which improves	outcome	
transition of animals within the slaughterhouse		
Acknowledging consumer demands by conducting	Increase in consumer	Grandin 1995; Algers &
welfare audits of transport and slaughter systems	awareness	Berg 2017; Gibson &
		Jackson 2017; Wigham
		<i>et al</i> 2018

Table S2Summary of focus group results on the main investments by Swedishslaughterhouses in animal welfare improvements for pigs and cattle, and the expectedeffects on economic outcome and animal welfare.

	Animal welfare improvements	The expected effect on	The expected effect on
		economic outcome	animal welfare
Pigs	 Larger CO₂ Dip-Lift (BUTINA Aps, Copenhagen, Denmark) for stunning pigs Automated driving system to the Dip-Lift, where the pigs enter at the long side instead of the short side 	 High investment cost (FC) Increased production efficiency due to improved slaughter process flow Reduced labour costs (L) due to improved work environment 	- Decreased levels of stress for pigs, and for slaughterhouse personnel, during handling
	 Establishment of new lairage Remodelling of old lairage A new drive-race to the Dip-Lift 	 High investment cost (FC) Increased production efficiency, with two slaughter lines instead of one Reduced labour costs (L) due to improved work environment, eg enhanced lairage environment Increased production 	 Improved environment for the pigs, eg better lighting, lower sound and improved ventilation Decreased levels of stress
		efficiency due to improved slaughter process flow - Reduced labour costs (<i>L</i>) due to improved work environment and increased animal flow	for pigs, and for slaughterhouse personnel, during handling
	- New design of the unloading area and improved design of the drive-race (straighter, no sharp turns)	- Reduced labour costs (<i>L</i>) due to improved work environment and increased animal flow	 Decreased levels of stress for pigs, and for slaughterhouse personnel, during handling
	- New design of the sticking section	- Increased revenues from by- products (<i>q</i> ₂) due to higher yield of heads and ears	- Inappropriately stunned animals could experience some levels of distress if their head hits the interior
	- Planned investment in a new stunning method, CO ₂ , for sows	 Reduced labour costs (<i>L</i>) due to improved work environment, ie easier handling than when stunning with electricity 	- Decreased levels of stress when handling the sows during the stunning procedure

	- New design of the unloading	- Increased production	- Decreased levels of stress
	area and improved design of the	efficiency due to improved	for cattle, and for
	drive-race to the stunning box	slaughter process flow	slaughterhouse personnel,
	(straighter, with no sharp turns)	- Reduced labour costs (L) due	during handling and in
		to improved work	lairage
		environment, ie easier	- Improved meat quality
		handling procedures that	due to lower pH of the
		require less slaughterhouse	carcasses, ie longer lasting
		personnel in the lairage	meat
		- Increased revenue from the	
		carcasses (q1)	
	- Rebuilt stunning box	- Reduced labour costs (L) due	- Decreased levels of stress
	- Planned reconstruction of the	to improved work	for cattle, and for
	height of the vertical hydraulic	environment, ie easier	slaughterhouse personnel,
Cattle	tailgate	handling procedures	during driving to the
		- Increased production	stunning box
		efficiency due to improved	
		slaughter process flow	
		- Improved carcass quality (q_1	
		and q_2) due to less bruising on	
		the back of cattle, and thus	
		increased revenue, $R(q_l)$	
	- Planned investments in the	- Increased production	- Decreased levels of stress,
	sticking section and stunning box	efficiency due to improved	which could have an impact
		slaughter process flow	on carcass quality
		- Improved work environment,	
		ie lower sound level and	
		higher safety for the	
		employees (L)	