An assessment of animal welfare impacts in wild Norway rat (Rattus norvegicus) management

Sandra E. Baker^{*}, Michael Ayers, Ngaio J. Beausoleil, Steven R. Belmain, Manuel Berdoy, Alan P. Buckle, Christopher Cagienard, David Cowan, Jane Fearn-Daglish, Peter Goddard, Huw D.R. Golledge, Elizabeth Mullineaux, Trudy Sharp, Alick Simmons, Erik Schmolz

*University of Oxford, Department of Zoology, Oxford, Oxfordshire, UK <u>*sandra.baker@zoo.ox.ac.uk</u>

Online Resource OR16: Welfare assessment for cholecalciferol baiting; Scenario 2. Median confidence score is given.

CONTROL METHOD: CHOLECALCIFEROL POISONING UKRAT005 Assumptions

Best practice is followed in accordance with the Standard Operating Procedure UKRAT005. Cholecalciferol baited boxes/tunnels or trays are deployed straight away. Existing food sources are removed wherever possible.

Part A: Assessment of welfare impact excluding killing method

Domain 1 Water	or food restriction, malnut	rition		
No impact	Mild impact	Moderate impact	Severe impact	Extreme impact
Evidence				
Obvious existing f	food sources have been re	moved where possible. R	ats tend to follow for	aging trails made by othe
members of their	colony (Galef & Buckley, 1	1996). If these trails are in	iterupted and key foo	d sources removed, then
foraging success r	may be reduced. Together	, reduced foraging succes	s and bait shyness tov	wards the cholecalciferol
treated baits will	have a mild impact under	this domain.		
Domain 2 Environ	imental challenge		-	
No impact	Mild impact	Moderate impact	Severe impact	Extreme impact
Evidence				
No impact.				
	disease, functional impairr	nent	-	
No impact	Mild impact	Moderate impact	Severe impact	Extreme impact
Evidence				
No impact.				
	oural or interactive restrict			
No impact	Mild impact	Moderate impact	Severe impact	Extreme impact
Evidence				
T he second s	and the sub-trade sector D	and the falls of the second	a tan the second of the section	
	pact under this domain. R	-		
-	1996). If these trails are in			
	rease to compensate for c			•
	ur is the outcome of confli	•	• • • •	· ·
	nivore's paradox' (Berdoy			
nterferes with ob	ject recognition, and oppo	osing drives to avoid and	explore novel objects	(Ennaceur et al, 2009)

may have a mild impact under this domain when boxes/tunnels are first deployed.

Domain 5 Anxiety, fear, pain, distress, thirst, hunger					
No impact	Mild impact	Moderate impact	Severe impact	Extreme impact	
Evidence					
Rats may experience mild anxiety because of hunger and because of opposing drives to explore novel objects					
Rats may experience	mild anxiety because o	of hunger and because of	opposing drives to ex	plore novel objects	
Rats may experience ((Ennaceur et al, 2009)	•	of hunger and because of	opposing drives to ex	plore novel objects	

Overall impact Mild impact Confidence score = 3

Duration of impact					
Immediate to seconds	Minutes	Hours	Days	Weeks	
			Confidence score = 3		
Observations indicate that rats take a few days to become sufficiently habituated to the presence of the					
boxes/tunnels, to enter	these and to eat choleca	lciferol baits.			

Score Part A	
5	

CONTROL METHOD: CHOLECALCIFEROL POISONING Part B: Assessment of killing method

Level of suffering				
No impact	Mild impact	Moderate impact	Severe impact	Extreme impact
			Confidence	score = 3

UKRAT005

Time to insensibility				
Immediate to seconds	Minutes	Hours	Days	Weeks
			Confidence score = 3	

Summary of evidence

Duration

The time between cholecalciferol bait uptake and death varies between 1 and 13 days in Norway rats (2-4 days for Selontra® (EU, 2020), with acute signs appearing after 14-48 hours in rodents. Signs of poisoning are evident for several days (Mason & Littin, 2003).

Suffering

Under Domain 1, Cholecalciferol poisoning causes anorexia (with Selontra[®] rats stop feeding after 1-2 days (EU, 2020), leading to days without food or water and causing weight loss and likely starvation and/or dehydration (Mason & Littin, 2003). Behavioural changes could expose rats to environmental conditions outside the normal range experienced causing impacts under Domain 2. Under Domain 3, Cholecalciferol interferes with calcium

homeostasis, causing mobilisation of calcium from the bone matrix and increased uptake in the gut, leading to hypercalcaemia and calcification within organs, including kidneys and heart, and blood vessels (Mason & Littin, 2003). Osteomalacia, due to bone resorption, may occur (RRAG 2018), predisposing animals to fractures. As a consequence of these effects, poisoned animals display vomiting, abnormal breathing, severe haemorrhages, tremors, coma, other central nervous system signs and necrotic tails. Elevated levels of circulating urea, due to kidney dysfunction, and secondary to renal failure, may lead to cerebral disturbance and ataxia. Rats will exhibit poor condition, piloerection and a hunched posture (Mason & Littin, 2003). The mode of death is most likely to be acute heart or renal failure (RRAG 2018; Mason & Littin, 2003). Anorexia, and potentially starvation-related weakness, result in secondary disabling effects under Domain 4. Animals may be reluctant to move and exhibit a lack of reaction to external stimuli. Prolonged pain interferes with ability to forage and hinders escape from predators (Mason & Littin, 2003). Under Domain 5, rodents are likely to experience sickness, lethargy, weakness, listlessness, thirst and pain (Mason & Littin, 2003). Pain and nausea are also likely when renal failure causes circulating urea levels in the blood to rise and because of build-up of urea crystals in organs and joints. Bone pain and muscle weakness may occur as a result of osteomalacia. Breathlessness may occur, as calcification of lung tissue has been seen in humans (Mason & Littin, 2003; Beausoleil & Mellor, 2015) and congestion and alveolar haemorrhaging have been observed in possum (*Trichosurus Vulpecula*) lungs (Jolly et al, 1993). Animals may experience anxiety and fear because they are unable to escape or defend themselves normally. Rats may experience confusion, depression and fatigue as direct effects of hypercalaemia on the nervous system, as known in other species. There is no evidence that consciousness is reduced before the time of death (Fisher et al, 2010); thus rats are likely to remain capable of having these sorts of unpleasant experiences from the onset of poisoning until shortly before the time of death. The impact of the killing process caused by cholecalciferol poisoning is likely to be 'severe' to 'extreme'.

Summary

CONTROL METHOD CHOLECALCIFEROL POISONING		UKRAT005			
OVERALL HUMANENESS SCORE	5G-H				
Comments					
Rats can be poisoned year-round and may breed at any time depending on conditions. Poisoning during breeding,					
as assessed here, could have welfare impacts for dependent pups. If lactating females are killed, efforts should be					
made to find any nests containing dependent pu	ps and humanely kill t	them to prevent them from dying of			
starvation or dehydration.					
Unused bait and poisoned rat carcases should be collected and disposed of in accordance with local requirements					
to avoid primary and secondary poisoning of non-target animals.					
Bibliography					
Beausoleil NJ, Mellor DJ (2015a) Introducing brea	athlessness as a signifi	icant animal welfare issue. New Zealand			
Veterinary Journal 63: 44-51					
Berdoy M, Drickamer LC (2007) Comparative Social Organization and Life History of Rattus and Mus. In: Wolff, JO,					

Sherman PW (eds) Rodent Societies: an Ecological and evolutionary perspective. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, USA, pp 380-392 Ennaceur A, Michalikova S, Chazot PL (2009) Do rats really express neophobia towards novel objects? Experimental

evidence from exposure to novelty and to an object recognition task in an open space and an enclosed space. Behavioural Brain Research 197:417-434

EU (European Union) (2020) Product assessment report of a biocidal product for national authorisation applications; Selontra[®] Product Type PT 14 Cholecalciferol. Case number in R4BP: BC-LS050091-32. Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 concerning the making available on the market and use of biocidal products.

Fisher P, Beausoleil NJ, Warburton B, Mellor DJ, Campion M, Booth L (2010) How humane are our pest control

tools? (09-11326) Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry Biosecurity New Zealand Technical Paper No: 2011/01. Landcare Research, Lincoln, New Zealand

Galef BG, Buckley LL (1996) Use of foraging trails by Norway rats. Animal Behaviour 51:765-771

Jolly SE, Eason CT, Frampton C (1993) Serum-calcium levels in response to cholecalciferol and calcium carbonate in the Australian brushtail possum. Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology 47:159-164

Mason G, Littin K (2003) The humaneness of rodent pest control. Animal Welfare 12:1-37

RRAG (Rodenticide Resistance Action Group) (2018) The UK Rodenticide Resistance Action Group: Response to

ECHA public consultation on cholecalciferol. https://circabc.europa.eu/sd/a/0d7998e3-f58b-4678-b403-

3d14d4c60b53/13_RRAG%20Cholecalciferol_03_04_18%20FINAL.pdf