An assessment of animal welfare impacts in wild Norway rat (Rattus norvegicus) management

Sandra E. Baker*, Michael Ayers, Ngaio J. Beausoleil, Steven R. Belmain, Manuel Berdoy, Alan P. Buckle, Christopher Cagienard, David Cowan, Jane Fearn-Daglish, Peter Goddard, Huw D.R. Golledge, Elizabeth Mullineaux, Trudy Sharp, Alick Simmons, Erik Schmolz *University of Oxford, Department of Zoology, Oxford, Oxfordshire, UK <u>*sandra.baker@zoo.ox.ac.uk</u>

Online Resource 14: Welfare assessment for anticoagulant baiting; Scenario 2. Median confidence score is given.

CONTROL METHOD:	ANTI-COAGULANTS	UKRAT004
Assumptions		

Best practice is followed in accordance with the Standard Operating Procedure UKRAT004. Anti-coagulant baited boxes/tunnels or trays are deployed straight away. Existing food sources are removed wherever possible.

Part A: Assessment of welfare impact excluding killing method

	ood restriction, malnutri			
No impact	Mild impact	Moderate impact	Severe impact	Extreme impact
Evidence				
Obvious existing food	l sources have been rem	oved where possible. Rats	tend to follow foragi	ng trails made by other
members of their col	ony (Galef & Buckley, 19	96). If these trails are inter	upted and key food	sources removed, then
foraging success may	be reduced. Together, r	educed foraging success ar	nd bait shyness towa	rds the anti-coagulant
treated baits will hav	e a mild impact under th	nis domain.		
Domain 2 Environme			-	
No impact	Mild impact	Moderate impact	Severe impact	Extreme impact
Evidence				
No impact.				
	ase, functional impairme			
No impact	Mild impact	Moderate impact	Severe impact	Extreme impact
Evidence				
No impact.				
Domain 4 Behavioura	I or interactive restriction	on	-	
No impact	Mild impact	Moderate impact	Severe impact	Extreme impact
Evidence				
		s tend to follow foraging tr		•
		erupted and key food source		
		srupted foraging. Rats are c		
		ing motivations between co		
known as 'the omnive	ore's paradox' (Berdoy &	& Drickamer, 2007). Exposu	re of rats to an unfar	miliar environment
interferes with object	recognition, and oppos	ing drives to avoid and exp	olore novel objects (E	nnaceur et al, 2009) ma
ببياهم مصحفا أمالتمعر مصيمها	nder this domain when b	ooxes/tunnels are first depl	loyed.	
nave a mild impact u				
nave a mild impact u				
	ar, pain, distress, thirst,	hunger		
Domain 5 Anxiety, fe	ar, pain, distress, thirst, Mild impact	hunger Moderate impact	Severe impact	Extreme impact
			Severe impact	Extreme impact
Domain 5 Anxiety, fe No impact Evidence	Mild impact			
Domain 5 Anxiety, fe No impact Evidence	Mild impact mild anxiety because of	Moderate impact		

Overall impact Mild impact Confidence score = 3

Duration of impact				
Immediate to seconds	Minutes	Hours	Days	Weeks
			Confidence score = 3	

Evidence

Observations indicate that rats take a few days to become sufficiently habituated to the presence of the boxes/tunnels, to enter these and to eat anti-coagulant baits.

Score Part A 5

CONTROL METHOD: ANTI-COAGULANTS Part B: Assessment of killing method

UKRAT004

Level of suffering				
No impact	Mild impact	Moderate impact	Severe impact	Extreme impact
			Confider	nce score = 2
Time to insensibility				

The to inscribinity					
Immediate to seconds	Minutes	Hours	Days	Weeks	
			Confidence score = 3		

Score Part B G-H

Summary of evidence

Duration

The timing of effects varies with bait uptake and individual. The time between first bait uptake and death typically ranges between 4-11 days. Signs are apparent for multiple days (Mason & Littin, 2003).

Suffering

The quantity of poison ingested and site of haemorrhage will affect type and severity of impacts under all domains. Bleeding in the gut will reduce appetite; rats are anorexic for several days before death and experience significant weight loss (Fisher et al 2010) under Domain 1. Poisoned rodents are seen above ground in exposed positions (Fisher et al 2010), which could lead to impacts under Domain 2. Impacts under Domain 3 include haemorrhages into organs and body cavities including: muscles, joints (or articular cavities), the gastrointestinal tract, abdominal cavity, eye or reproductive organs. Depending on the body systems involved, these are likely to cause severe impairment and poisoned animals ultimately die of anaemia or hypovolaemic shock (Fisher et al 2010). Bleeding into the lungs may compromise respiratory function (Fisher et al 2010). If haemorrhaging occurs in the brain or central nervous system, ataxia or convulsions may occur. Some animals are paralysed (Fisher et al 2010). Poisoned animals exhibit poor overall condition (Mason & Littin, 2003) and a hunched posture, Behavioural impacts under Domain 4 include reduced grooming, struggling movements (Mason & Littin, 2003), reduced home range sizes (Walther et al, 2021) and reduced or altered activity (Cox & Smith, 1992; Fisher et al 2010). Poisoned rats spend time in exposed positions away from cover, lose their flight response and make no effort to protect themselves, rendering them more vulnerable to predation (Fisher et al 2010). For the last couple of days before death, they tend to hide in cover and hardly move. Under Domain 5, haemorrhages in multiple enclosed spaces (especially gastro-intestinal tract, orbital, intra-cranial) are likely to cause severe pain (P.S.D., 1997). Bleeding into lungs may cause breathlessness (Broom, 1999; Beausoleil & Mellor, 2015). Other impacts include lethargy and weakness (Fisher et al 2010). Hypovolaemia will also lead to thirst and dizziness. Animals may experience anxiety and fear because they are unable to escape or defend themselves normally. Rats typically remain conscious throughout anti-coagulant poisoning until death (Mason & Littin, 2003) and thus will have the capacity for these sorts of unpleasant experiences from the start of signs to the time of death. The impact of the killing process caused by anti-coagulant poisoning is likely to be 'severe suffering' to 'extreme suffering'. The range of scores reflects variation in the location of haemorrhaging and the speed of blood loss and thus loss of consciousness.

Summary

CONTROL METHOD ANTI-COAGULANTS	UKRAT004	
OVERALL HUMANENESS SCORE	5G-H	

Comments

Rats can be poisoned year-round and may breed at any time depending on conditions. Poisoning during breeding, as assessed here, could have welfare impacts for dependent pups. If lactating females are killed, efforts should be made to find any nests containing dependent pups and humanely kill them to prevent them from dying of starvation or dehydration.

Unused bait and poisoned rat carcases should be collected and disposed of in accordance with local requirements to avoid primary and secondary poisoning of non-target animals.

Bibliography

Beausoleil NJ, Mellor DJ (2015a) Introducing breathlessness as a significant animal welfare issue. New Zealand Veterinary Journal 63: 44-51

Berdoy M, Drickamer LC (2007) Comparative Social Organization and Life History of Rattus and Mus. In: Wolff, JO, Sherman PW (eds) Rodent Societies: an Ecological and evolutionary perspective. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, USA, pp 380-392

Broom DM (1999) The welfare of vertebrate pests in relation to their management. In: Cowan DP, Feare CJ (eds) Advances in Vertebrate Pest Management. Filander Verlag, Furth, Germany, pp 309-329

Cox P, Smith RH (1992) Rodenticide ecotoxicology: pre-lethal effects of anti-coagulants on rat behaviour. In: Proceedings of the Fifteenth Vertebrate Pest Conference 1992, 86. University of Nebraska, Lincoln, USA. Ennaceur A, Michalikova S, Chazot PL (2009) Do rats really express neophobia towards novel objects? Experimental evidence from exposure to novelty and to an object recognition task in an open space and an enclosed space.

Behavioural Brain Research 197:417-434

Fisher P, Beausoleil NJ, Warburton B, Mellor DJ, Campion M, Booth L (2010) How humane are our pest control tools? (09-11326) Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry Biosecurity New Zealand Technical Paper No: 2011/01. Landcare Research, Lincoln, New Zealand

Galef BG, Buckley LL (1996) Use of foraging trails by Norway rats. Animal Behaviour 51:765-771 Mason G, Littin K (2003) The humaneness of rodent pest control. Animal Welfare 12:1-37

PSD (Pesticides Safety Directorate) (1997) Assessment of humaneness of vertebrate control agents. Evaluation of

fully approved or provisionally approved products, no. 171. Defra and the PSD. York, UK Walther B, Ennen H, Geduhn A, Schlötelburg A, Klemann N, Endepols S, Schenke D, Jacob J (2021) Effects of

anticoagulant rodenticide poisoning on spatial behavior of farm dwelling Norway rats. Science of the Total Environment 787:147520