**Table S1**

*Descriptive Statistics and Correlations*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| 1. Gender | –– |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. T1 Peer V | –.02 | –– |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. T3 Peer V | –.09 | **.44** | –– |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. T5 Peer V | –.03 | **.38** | **.50** | –– |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. T1 Phy Agg | **–.23** | .05 | **.22** | **.36** | –– |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. T2 Phy Agg | **–.21** | **.19** | **.29** | **.29** | **.67** | –– |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. T3 Phy Agg | **–.12** | .09 | **.16** | .12 | **.41** | **.41** | –– |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. T4 Phy Agg | **–.20** | **.24** | **.30** | .12 | **.40** | **.36** | **.50** | –– |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. T5 Phy Agg | **–.25** | .11 | **.20** | .13 | .09 | .08 | **.17** | .14 | –– |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. T1 Rel Agg | .09 | **.13** | **.22** | **.33** | **.45** | **.37** | **.22** | **.19** | .08 | –– |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. T2 Rel Agg | **.12** | **.21** | .12 | **.19** | **.23** | **.42** | .11 | .11 | .09 | **.70** | –– |  |  |  |  |
| 12. T3 Rel Agg | **.12** | .07 | **.18** | .11 | **.14** | .09 | **.26** | **.15** | .09 | **.31** | **.24** | –– |  |  |  |
| 13. T4 Rel Agg | **.19** | **.25** | **.16** | **.20** | .02 | .05 | .02 | **.25** | .08 | **.30** | **.28** | **.57** | –– |  |  |
| 14. T5 Rel Agg | .06 | .11 | .15 | **.36** | .11 | **.19** | .09 | .02 | **.33** | **.17** | **.20** | .07 | **.31** | –– |  |
| 15. T1 Prosocial | **.11** | **–.16** | **–.20** | –.08 | **–.26** | **–.27** | **–.17** | **–.27** | **–.25** | **–.34** | **–.14** | –.10 | –.14 | **–.15** | –– |
| *N* | 410 | 409 | 342 | 327 | 410 | 307 | 281 | 189 | 188 | 410 | 307 | 281 | 189 | 188 | 410 |
| *M* | 0.47 | 1.56 | 1.45 | 1.34 | 1.10 | 1.14 | 1.07 | 1.10 | 1.09 | 1.27 | 1.37 | 1.19 | 1.28 | 1.25 | 4.09 |
| *SD* | 0.50 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.51 | 0.34 | 0.41 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.65 | 0.78 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 0.53 | 0.96 |
| Skewness | 0.11 | 2.08 | 2.54 | 2.91 | 4.52 | 3.65 | 4.80 | 3.70 | 4.89 | 2.75 | 2.38 | 3.04 | 2.06 | 2.52 | –0.85 |
| Kurtosis | –2.00 | 5.48 | 7.39 | 12.63 | 22.44 | 14.68 | 26.19 | 15.05 | 25.86 | 7.43 | 5.41 | 9.40 | 3.29 | 7.42 | 0.06 |

*Note*. *N* = 410; Gender (0 = Boys, 1 = Girls); Bold estimates represent statistically significant correlations *p* < .05.

**Table S2**

*Main and Interactive Effects of Aggressive Behavior and Prosocial Behavior on Peer Victimization*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Peer Victimization**  **Random Intercept** | | | | | **Peer Victimization**  **Random Slope** | | | | |
|  | β | *b* | *SE* | *p* | | β | *b* | *SE* | *p* |
| **Model 1:** |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | –.10 | –0.05 | 0.07 | .47 | | .11 | 0.02 | 0.03 | .47 |
| T1 Physical Aggression | –.04 | –0.02 | 0.03 | .56 | | **.37** | **0.08** | **0.03** | **.003** |
| T1 Relational Aggression | **.14** | **0.07** | **0.04** | **.046** | | .08 | 0.02 | 0.03 | .56 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | **–.19** | **–0.10** | **0.04** | **.01** | | **.27** | **0.06** | **0.02** | **.002** |
|  |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| **Model 2:** |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | –.09 | –0.05 | 0.07 | .50 | | .10 | 0.02 | 0.03 | .52 |
| T1 Physical Aggression | –.03 | –0.01 | 0.05 | .78 | | **.45** | **0.10** | **0.03** | **.001** |
| T1 Relational Aggression | **.21** | **0.11** | **0.05** | **.03** | | –.20 | –0.04 | 0.03 | .10 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | **–.17** | **–0.09** | **0.04** | **.04** | | **.21** | **0.05** | **0.02** | **.03** |
| Physical Aggression x Prosocial | .00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | .99 | | .20 | 0.03 | 0.02 | .17 |
| Relational Aggression x Prosocial | .10 | 0.05 | 0.06 | .39 | | **–.38** | **–0.08** | **0.04** | **.02** |

*Note.* Gender (0 = Boys, 1 = Girls); Bold estimates represent statistically significant paths (*p* < .05); stdyx estimates are reported

for all variables with the exception of gender, for which stdy estimates are reported given its dichotomous nature.

**Table S3**

*Gender Differences in the Moderating Effects of Prosocial Behavior on the Links from Aggressive Behavior to Peer Victimization*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Peer Victimization**  **Random Intercept** | | | | | **Peer Victimization**  **Random Slope** | | | | |
|  | β | *b* | *SE* | *p* | | β | *b* | *SE* | *p* |
| **Model 3:** |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | .28 | 0.14 | 0.13 | .29 | | **–.58** | **–0.13** | **0.06** | **.03** |
| T1 Physical Aggression | –.05 | –0.03 | 0.05 | .59 | | **.48** | **0.10** | **0.03** | **.001** |
| T1 Relational Aggression | **.23** | **0.12** | **0.05** | **.02** | | –.22 | –0.05 | 0.03 | .08 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | –.18 | –0.09 | 0.05 | .09 | | .15 | 0.03 | 0.02 | .18 |
| Physical Aggression x Prosocial | –.01 | 0.00 | 0.04 | .92 | | .24 | 0.04 | 0.02 | .09 |
| Relational Aggression x Prosocial | .10 | 0.05 | 0.06 | .40 | | **–.39** | **–0.08** | **0.04** | **.02** |
| Physical Aggression x Gender | .28 | 0.72 | 0.44 | .10 | | **–.48** | **–0.51** | **0.19** | **.006** |
| Prosocial Behavior x Gender | .29 | 0.23 | 0.16 | .13 | | **–.51** | **–0.17** | **0.07** | **.02** |
| Physical Aggression x Prosocial x Gender | .32 | 0.79 | 0.48 | .10 | | **–.69** | **–0.72** | **0.21** | **.001** |
|  |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| **Model 4:** |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | .01 | 0.01 | 0.08 | .94 | | .01 | 0.00 | 0.04 | .96 |
| T1 Physical Aggression | .00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | .99 | | **.48** | **0.10** | **0.04** | **.02** |
| T1 Relational Aggression | .11 | 0.05 | 0.10 | .57 | | –.19 | –0.04 | 0.06 | .53 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | **–.25** | **–0.13** | **0.05** | **.02** | | .21 | 0.04 | 0.02 | .07 |
| Physical Aggression x Prosocial | .16 | 0.06 | 0.04 | .08 | | .09 | 0.01 | 0.03 | .62 |
| Relational Aggression x Prosocial | –.21 | –0.11 | 0.07 | .14 | | –.14 | –0.03 | 0.05 | .54 |
| Relational Aggression x Gender | .16 | 0.10 | 0.12 | .38 | | –.05 | –0.01 | 0.07 | .85 |
| Prosocial Behavior x Gender | .09 | 0.07 | 0.08 | .42 | | .04 | 0.01 | 0.04 | .75 |
| Relational Aggression x Prosocial x Gender | **.35** | **0.23** | **0.11** | **.03** | | –.30 | –0.08 | 0.06 | .20 |

*Note.* Gender (0 = Boys, 1 = Girls); Bold estimates represent statistically significant paths (*p* < .05); stdyx estimates are reported

for all variables with the exception of gender, for which stdy estimates are reported given its dichotomous nature.

**Table S4**

*Main and Interactive Effects of Peer Victimization, Prosocial Behavior, and Gender on Physical Aggression*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Physical Aggression**  **Random Intercept** | | | | |
|  | β | *b* | *SE* | *p* |
| **Model 1:** |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | **–.73** | **–0.13** | **0.02** | **< .001** |
| T1 Relational Aggression | **.48** | **0.09** | **0.02** | **< .001** |
| T1 Peer Victimization | .07 | 0.01 | 0.01 | .31 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | **–.21** | **–0.04** | **0.01** | **.001** |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Model 2:** |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | **–.73** | **–0.13** | **0.02** | **< .001** |
| T1 Relational Aggression | **.48** | **0.09** | **0.02** | **< .001** |
| T1 Peer Victimization | .05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | .38 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | **–.21** | **–0.04** | **0.01** | **.001** |
| Peer Victimization x Prosocial | –.10 | –0.02 | 0.01 | .23 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Model 3:** |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | **–.64** | **–0.13** | **0.02** | **< .001** |
| T1 Relational Aggression | **.45** | **0.09** | **0.02** | **< .001** |
| T1 Peer Victimization | .03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | .61 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | **–.41** | **–0.08** | **0.02** | **< .001** |
| Peer Victimization x Prosocial | –.12 | –0.02 | 0.02 | .19 |
| Peer Victimization x Gender | .02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | .80 |
| Prosocial x Gender | **.36** | **0.12** | **0.02** | **< .001** |
| Peer Victimization x Prosocial x Gender | .05 | 0.02 | 0.02 | .54 |

*Note.* Gender (0 = Boys, 1 = Girls); Bold estimates represent statistically significant paths (*p* < .05); stdyx estimates are reported

for all variables with the exception of gender, for which stdy estimates are reported given its dichotomous nature.

**Table S5**

*Main and Interactive Effects of Peer Victimization and Prosocial Behavior on Relational Aggression Among Boys*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Relational Aggression**  **Random Intercept** | | | | |
|  | β | *b* | *SE* | *p* |
| **Model 1:** |  |  |  |  |
| T1 Physical Aggression | **.88** | **0.21** | **0.04** | **< .001** |
| T1 Peer Victimization | .08 | 0.03 | 0.02 | .11 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | –.05 | –0.01 | 0.02 | .40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Model 2:** |  |  |  |  |
| T1 Physical Aggression | **.88** | **0.20** | **0.04** | **< .001** |
| T1 Peer Victimization | .06 | 0.02 | 0.01 | .17 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | –.05 | –0.02 | 0.02 | .33 |
| Peer Victimization x Prosocial | –.09 | –0.03 | 0.01 | .07 |

*Note.* Bold estimates represent statistically significant paths (*p* < .05); stdyx estimates are reported for all variables; note that models were estimated separately for boys and girls.

**Table S6**

*Main and Interactive Effects of Peer Victimization and Prosocial Behavior on Relational Aggression Among Girls*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Relational Aggression**  **Random Intercept** | | | | | **Relational Aggression**  **Random Slope** | | | | |
|  | β | *b* | *SE* | *p* | | β | *b* | *SE* | *p* |
| **Model 1:** |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| T1 Physical Aggression | .20 | 0.41 | 0.41 | .31 | | –.15 | –0.14 | 0.17 | .39 |
| T1 Peer Victimization | .08 | 0.04 | 0.05 | .46 | | .08 | 0.02 | 0.04 | .63 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | **–.54** | **–0.28** | **0.07** | **< .001** | | **.55** | **0.13** | **0.05** | **.02** |
|  |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| **Model 2:** |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| T1 Physical Aggression | .14 | 0.30 | 0.41 | .47 | | –.06 | –0.06 | 0.17 | .71 |
| T1 Peer Victimization | .08 | 0.04 | 0.05 | .40 | | .08 | 0.02 | 0.04 | .63 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | **–.55** | **–0.28** | **0.07** | **< .001** | | **.55** | **0.13** | **0.05** | **.01** |
| Peer Victimization x Prosocial | .00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | .98 | | .08 | 0.02 | 0.05 | .75 |

*Note.* Bold estimates represent statistically significant paths (*p* < .05); stdyx estimates are reported for all variables; note that models were estimated separately for boys and girls.

**Figure S1**

*Main Effect of Prosocial Behavior on Trajectories of Peer Victimization*

**

*Note.* For illustrative purposes, the main effect of prosocial behavior on peer victimization over time is presented at traditionally identified high (+1 *SD*) and low (–1 *SD*) levels.

**Figure S2**

*Main Effect of Prosocial Behavior on Initial Levels and Trajectories of Relational Aggression Among Girls*



*Note.* For illustrative purposes, the main effect of prosocial behavior on relational aggression over time is presented at traditionally identified high (+1 *SD*) and low (–1 *SD*) levels.