**Table S1**

*Descriptive Statistics and Correlations*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  1 |  2 |  3 |  4 |  5 |  6 |  7 |  8 |  9 |  10 |  11 |  12 |  13 |  14 |  15 |
| 1. Gender |  –– |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. T1 Peer V | –.02 |  –– |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. T3 Peer V | –.09 |  **.44** |  –– |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. T5 Peer V | –.03 |  **.38** |  **.50** |  –– |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. T1 Phy Agg | **–.23** |  .05 |  **.22** |  **.36** |  –– |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. T2 Phy Agg | **–.21** |  **.19** |  **.29** |  **.29** |  **.67** |  –– |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. T3 Phy Agg | **–.12** |  .09 |  **.16** |  .12 |  **.41** |  **.41** |  –– |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. T4 Phy Agg | **–.20** |  **.24** |  **.30** |  .12 |  **.40** |  **.36** |  **.50** |  –– |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. T5 Phy Agg | **–.25** |  .11 |  **.20** |  .13 |  .09 |  .08 |  **.17** |  .14 |  –– |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. T1 Rel Agg |  .09 |  **.13** |  **.22** |  **.33** |  **.45** |  **.37** |  **.22** |  **.19** |  .08 |  –– |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. T2 Rel Agg |  **.12** |  **.21** |  .12 |  **.19** |  **.23** |  **.42** |  .11 |  .11 |  .09 |  **.70** |  –– |  |  |  |  |
| 12. T3 Rel Agg |  **.12** |  .07 |  **.18** |  .11 |  **.14** |  .09 |  **.26** |  **.15** |  .09 |  **.31** |  **.24** |  –– |  |  |  |
| 13. T4 Rel Agg |  **.19** |  **.25** |  **.16** |  **.20** |  .02 |  .05 |  .02 |  **.25** |  .08 |  **.30** |  **.28** |  **.57** |  –– |  |  |
| 14. T5 Rel Agg  |  .06 |  .11 |  .15 |  **.36** |  .11 |  **.19** |  .09 |  .02 |  **.33** |  **.17** |  **.20** |  .07 |  **.31** |  –– |  |
| 15. T1 Prosocial |  **.11** | **–.16** | **–.20** | –.08 | **–.26** | **–.27** | **–.17** | **–.27** | **–.25** | **–.34** | **–.14** | –.10 | –.14 | **–.15** |  –– |
|  *N* | 410 | 409 | 342 | 327 | 410 | 307 | 281 | 189 | 188 | 410 | 307 | 281 | 189 | 188 | 410 |
|  *M* | 0.47 | 1.56 | 1.45 | 1.34 | 1.10 | 1.14 | 1.07 | 1.10 | 1.09 | 1.27 | 1.37 | 1.19 | 1.28 | 1.25 | 4.09 |
|  *SD* | 0.50 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.51 | 0.34 | 0.41 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.65 | 0.78 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 0.53 | 0.96 |
|  Skewness | 0.11 | 2.08 | 2.54 | 2.91 | 4.52 | 3.65 | 4.80 | 3.70 | 4.89 | 2.75 | 2.38 | 3.04 | 2.06 | 2.52 | –0.85 |
|  Kurtosis | –2.00 | 5.48 | 7.39 | 12.63 | 22.44 | 14.68 | 26.19 | 15.05 | 25.86 | 7.43 | 5.41 | 9.40 | 3.29 | 7.42 | 0.06 |

*Note*. *N* = 410; Gender (0 = Boys, 1 = Girls); Bold estimates represent statistically significant correlations *p* < .05.

**Table S2**

*Main and Interactive Effects of Aggressive Behavior and Prosocial Behavior on Peer Victimization*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|   **Peer Victimization**  **Random Intercept** |  **Peer Victimization**  **Random Slope** |
|   | β |  *b* | *SE* | *p* | β |  *b* | *SE* | *p* |
| **Model 1:** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | –.10 | –0.05 | 0.07 | .47 |  .11 |  0.02 | 0.03 | .47 |
| T1 Physical Aggression  | –.04 | –0.02 | 0.03 | .56 |  **.37** |  **0.08** | **0.03** | **.003** |
| T1 Relational Aggression |  **.14** |  **0.07** | **0.04** | **.046** |  .08 |  0.02 | 0.03 | .56 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | **–.19** | **–0.10** | **0.04** | **.01** |  **.27** |  **0.06** | **0.02** | **.002** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Model 2:** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | –.09 | –0.05 | 0.07 | .50 |  .10 |  0.02 | 0.03 | .52 |
| T1 Physical Aggression | –.03 | –0.01 | 0.05 | .78 |  **.45** |  **0.10** | **0.03** | **.001** |
| T1 Relational Aggression |  **.21** |  **0.11** | **0.05** | **.03** | –.20 | –0.04 | 0.03 | .10 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | **–.17** | **–0.09** | **0.04** | **.04** |  **.21** |  **0.05** | **0.02** | **.03** |
| Physical Aggression x Prosocial |  .00 |  0.00 | 0.04 | .99 |  .20 |  0.03 | 0.02 | .17 |
| Relational Aggression x Prosocial  |  .10 |  0.05 | 0.06 | .39 | **–.38** | **–0.08** | **0.04** | **.02** |

*Note.* Gender (0 = Boys, 1 = Girls); Bold estimates represent statistically significant paths (*p* < .05); stdyx estimates are reported

for all variables with the exception of gender, for which stdy estimates are reported given its dichotomous nature.

**Table S3**

*Gender Differences in the Moderating Effects of Prosocial Behavior on the Links from Aggressive Behavior to Peer Victimization*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|   **Peer Victimization**  **Random Intercept** |  **Peer Victimization**  **Random Slope** |
|   | β |  *b* | *SE* | *p* | β |  *b* | *SE* | *p* |
| **Model 3:** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender |  .28 |  0.14 | 0.13 | .29 | **–.58** | **–0.13** | **0.06** | **.03** |
| T1 Physical Aggression | –.05 | –0.03 | 0.05 | .59 |  **.48** |  **0.10** | **0.03** | **.001** |
| T1 Relational Aggression |  **.23** |  **0.12** | **0.05** | **.02** | –.22 | –0.05 | 0.03 | .08 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | –.18 | –0.09 | 0.05 | .09 |  .15 |  0.03 | 0.02 | .18 |
| Physical Aggression x Prosocial | –.01 |  0.00 | 0.04 | .92 |  .24 |  0.04 | 0.02 | .09 |
| Relational Aggression x Prosocial  |  .10 |  0.05 | 0.06 | .40 | **–.39** | **–0.08** | **0.04** | **.02** |
| Physical Aggression x Gender |  .28 |  0.72 | 0.44 | .10 | **–.48** | **–0.51** | **0.19** | **.006** |
| Prosocial Behavior x Gender |  .29 |  0.23 | 0.16 | .13 | **–.51** | **–0.17** | **0.07** | **.02** |
| Physical Aggression x Prosocial x Gender |  .32 |  0.79 | 0.48 | .10 | **–.69** | **–0.72** | **0.21** | **.001** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Model 4:** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender |  .01 |  0.01 | 0.08 | .94 |  .01 |  0.00 | 0.04 | .96 |
| T1 Physical Aggression |  .00 |  0.00 | 0.06 | .99 |  **.48** |  **0.10** | **0.04** | **.02** |
| T1 Relational Aggression |  .11 |  0.05 | 0.10 | .57 | –.19 | –0.04 | 0.06 | .53 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | **–.25** | **–0.13** | **0.05** | **.02** |  .21 |  0.04 | 0.02 | .07 |
| Physical Aggression x Prosocial |  .16 |  0.06 | 0.04 | .08 |  .09 |  0.01 | 0.03 | .62 |
| Relational Aggression x Prosocial  | –.21 | –0.11 | 0.07 | .14 | –.14 | –0.03 | 0.05 | .54 |
| Relational Aggression x Gender |  .16 |  0.10 | 0.12 | .38 | –.05 | –0.01 | 0.07 | .85 |
| Prosocial Behavior x Gender |  .09 |  0.07 | 0.08 | .42 |  .04 |  0.01 | 0.04 | .75 |
| Relational Aggression x Prosocial x Gender |  **.35** |  **0.23** | **0.11** | **.03** | –.30 | –0.08 | 0.06 | .20 |

*Note.* Gender (0 = Boys, 1 = Girls); Bold estimates represent statistically significant paths (*p* < .05); stdyx estimates are reported

for all variables with the exception of gender, for which stdy estimates are reported given its dichotomous nature.

**Table S4**

*Main and Interactive Effects of Peer Victimization, Prosocial Behavior, and Gender on Physical Aggression*

|  |
| --- |
|   **Physical Aggression**  **Random Intercept** |
|   | β |  *b* | *SE* | *p* |
| **Model 1:**  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | **–.73** | **–0.13** | **0.02** | **< .001** |
| T1 Relational Aggression |  **.48** |  **0.09** | **0.02** | **< .001** |
| T1 Peer Victimization  |  .07 |  0.01 | 0.01 | .31 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | **–.21** | **–0.04** | **0.01** | **.001** |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Model 2:** |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | **–.73** | **–0.13** | **0.02** | **< .001** |
| T1 Relational Aggression |  **.48** |  **0.09** | **0.02** | **< .001** |
| T1 Peer Victimization  |  .05 |  0.01 | 0.01 | .38 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | **–.21** | **–0.04** | **0.01** | **.001** |
| Peer Victimization x Prosocial | –.10 | –0.02 | 0.01 | .23 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Model 3:** |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | **–.64** | **–0.13** | **0.02** | **< .001** |
| T1 Relational Aggression |  **.45** |  **0.09** | **0.02** | **< .001** |
| T1 Peer Victimization  |  .03 |  0.01 | 0.01 | .61 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | **–.41** | **–0.08** | **0.02** | **< .001** |
| Peer Victimization x Prosocial | –.12 | –0.02 | 0.02 | .19 |
| Peer Victimization x Gender |  .02 |  0.01 | 0.02 | .80 |
| Prosocial x Gender |  **.36** |  **0.12** | **0.02** | **< .001** |
| Peer Victimization x Prosocial x Gender |  .05 |  0.02 | 0.02 | .54 |

*Note.* Gender (0 = Boys, 1 = Girls); Bold estimates represent statistically significant paths (*p* < .05); stdyx estimates are reported

for all variables with the exception of gender, for which stdy estimates are reported given its dichotomous nature.

**Table S5**

*Main and Interactive Effects of Peer Victimization and Prosocial Behavior on Relational Aggression Among Boys*

|  |
| --- |
|   **Relational Aggression**  **Random Intercept** |
|   | β |  *b* | *SE* | *p* |
| **Model 1:** |  |  |  |  |
| T1 Physical Aggression |  **.88** |  **0.21** | **0.04** | **< .001** |
| T1 Peer Victimization  |  .08 |  0.03 | 0.02 | .11 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | –.05 | –0.01 | 0.02 | .40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Model 2:** |  |  |  |  |
| T1 Physical Aggression |  **.88** |  **0.20** | **0.04** | **< .001** |
| T1 Peer Victimization  |  .06 |  0.02 | 0.01 | .17 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | –.05 | –0.02 | 0.02 | .33 |
| Peer Victimization x Prosocial | –.09 | –0.03 | 0.01 | .07 |

*Note.* Bold estimates represent statistically significant paths (*p* < .05); stdyx estimates are reported for all variables; note that models were estimated separately for boys and girls.

**Table S6**

*Main and Interactive Effects of Peer Victimization and Prosocial Behavior on Relational Aggression Among Girls*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|   **Relational Aggression**  **Random Intercept** |  **Relational Aggression**  **Random Slope** |
|   | β |  *b* | *SE* | *p* | β |  *b* | *SE* | *p* |
| **Model 1:**  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T1 Physical Aggression |  .20 |  0.41 | 0.41 | .31 | –.15 | –0.14 | 0.17 | .39 |
| T1 Peer Victimization  |  .08 |  0.04 | 0.05 | .46 |  .08 |  0.02 | 0.04 | .63 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | **–.54** | **–0.28** | **0.07** | **< .001** |  **.55** |  **0.13** | **0.05** | **.02** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Model 2:** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T1 Physical Aggression |  .14 |  0.30 | 0.41 | .47 | –.06 | –0.06 | 0.17 | .71 |
| T1 Peer Victimization  |  .08 |  0.04 | 0.05 | .40 |  .08 |  0.02 | 0.04 | .63 |
| T1 Prosocial Behavior | **–.55** | **–0.28** | **0.07** | **< .001** |  **.55** |  **0.13** | **0.05** | **.01** |
| Peer Victimization x Prosocial |  .00 |  0.00 | 0.05 | .98 |  .08 |  0.02 | 0.05 | .75 |

*Note.* Bold estimates represent statistically significant paths (*p* < .05); stdyx estimates are reported for all variables; note that models were estimated separately for boys and girls.

**Figure S1**

*Main Effect of Prosocial Behavior on Trajectories of Peer Victimization*

**

*Note.* For illustrative purposes, the main effect of prosocial behavior on peer victimization over time is presented at traditionally identified high (+1 *SD*) and low (–1 *SD*) levels.

**Figure S2**

*Main Effect of Prosocial Behavior on Initial Levels and Trajectories of Relational Aggression Among Girls*



*Note.* For illustrative purposes, the main effect of prosocial behavior on relational aggression over time is presented at traditionally identified high (+1 *SD*) and low (–1 *SD*) levels.