**Supplement 3: Supplemental Tables and Figures**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Survey Demographics** | |
| **Years in Practice  - Available for 570/668 (85.3%) N(%)** | **N(%)** |
| Less than 10 years | 256 (44.9%) |
| More than 10 years | 181 (31.8%) |
| In Training | 133 (23.3%) |
| **Region - Available for 561/668 (84.0%) N(%)** |  |
| Europe | 187 (33.3%) |
| North America | 156 (23.4%) |
| Asia | 93 (16.6%) |
| Central/South America | 87 (15.5%) |
| Australia | 15 (2.7%) |
| Africa | 10 (1.8%) |

**Supplemental Table 1.** Survey demographics including years in practice and region of practice

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Interviews** | |
| **Age Range –** **N(%)** | **N(%)** |
| 35-44 | 13 (59.1%) |
| 45-54 | 8 (36.4%) |
| 55-64 | 1 (4.6%) |
| **Sex - N(%)** | |
| Male | 18 (81.8%) |
| Female | 4 (18.2%) |
| **Practice Location - N (%)\*** | |
| Europe | 11 (50.0%) |
| USA/Canada | 9 (40.9%) |
| Asia | 2 (9.1%) |
| Africa | 1 (4.5%) |
| Caribbean | 1 (4.5%) |
| Australia | 1 (4.5%) |
| **Specialty- N (%)\*** |  |
| Neurology | 11 (50.0%) |
| Interventional neuroradiology | 5 (22.7%) |
| Neurovascular surgery | 3 (13.6%) |
| Geriatrics | 3 (13.6%) |

**Supplemental Table 2**. Interviewee demographics including age, sex and practice location. \*Note practice locations data does not add to 100% as 3 participants practiced in 2 locations, each were included in this table.
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Supplemental Figure 1. Themes from the interviews regarding management of the hot carotid with ILT. Organized by region (Panel 1) and Specialty (Panel 2). X-axis values represent the absolute number of responses. ILT (intraluminal thrombus), LMWH (low molecular weight heparin), DAPT (dual antiplatet therapy), CAS (carotid artery stenting), CEA (carotid endarterectomy).
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Supplemental Figure 2. Themes from the interviews regarding future trials and uncertainty in the hot carotid with ILT. Organized by region (Panel 1) and Specialty (Panel 2). X-axis values represent the absolute number of responses. ILT (intraluminal thrombus) DAPT (dual antiplatet therapy).
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**Supplemental Figure 3. Coding Treemap.** At the center are the three main topics explored. The areas are sized to reflect the number of coding references in the interviews. A larger area indicates more coding references. ILT (intraluminal thrombus), LMWH (low molecular weight heparin), DAPT (dual antiplatet therapy), CAS (carotid artery stenting), CEA (carotid endarterectomy), DSA (digital subtraction angiography).