

Appendix F: Descriptions of various types of *workarounds*

The primary types of *workarounds* are described below.

Workarounds included structures which were adult-like in terms of syntax, but could not be considered fully target-like because of a lexical error. For instance, if the child used *what* rather than *who* in a question that was about a person as in (1). These were coded as ‘lexical errors.’

(1) Target: Who does Mork think we should invite?

Production: What does Mork think we should invite?

Another common production involved swapping the matrix verb to *want* and using an infinitival clause. These were accepted since they involve more than just one clause and in many cases were adult-like, as in (2), below. These were coded as ‘infinitival responses’.

(2) Target: Who does Mork think we should invite?

Production: Who does Mork want to invite?

There were also utterances which contained errors unrelated to question formation (coded as “non-wh-errors”) as in (3).

(3) Target: Who does Mork want to see?

Production: Who Mork wanna see?

Finally, there were productions which included “think about”, which could be grammatical, but were not always. These were coded as “think-about constructions” and included any structure with ‘think about’ or ‘think of’ as in (4).

(4) Target: Who does Mork think can fix the engine?

Production: Who does Mork think about to fix the engine?