S1 Power Analysis

Used following version of G\*Power

A screenshot of a computer
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Used R^2 values from Darcy et al. (2016) hierarchical multiple regressions.

A table with numbers and symbols
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**Test for ABX z score**

1. Open G\*Power
2. Select F tests
3. Select Linear Multiple Regression: Fixed model, R^2 increase
4. Set power to .80
5. Set Total number of predictors to 2
6. Click Determine
7. For Variance explained by special effect, input .18 (from ABX z score above)
8. For Residual variance, input .82 (1-.18)
9. Click calculate and transfer to main window
10. Click calculate (on main window)
11. This results in a sample size of n=48

A screenshot of a computer
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**Test for ABX error rate (vowels)**

1. Open G\*Power
2. Select F tests
3. Select Linear Multiple Regression: Fixed model, R^2 increase
4. Set power to .80
5. Set Total number of predictors to 2
6. Click Determine
7. For Variance explained by special effect, input .14 (from ABX error rate [vowels])
8. For Residual variance, input .82 (1-.18)
9. Click calculate and transfer to main window
10. Click calculate (on main window)
11. This results in a sample size of n=60
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S2 Partial Correlation Test Assumptions

**Figure S2-1**

*Histograms for Raw and Log-transformed Perception Effects*

A group of graphs with text

Description automatically generated

**Figure S2-2**

*Histograms for Raw and Log-transformed Production Effects*

*A group of graphs showing different types of production

Description automatically generated*

**Figure S2-3**

*QQ plots for Raw and Log-transformed Perception Effects*

*A graph of different types of graphs

Description automatically generated*

**Figure S2-4**

*QQ plots for Raw and Log-transformed Production Effects*

A graph of different types of production

Description automatically generated

**Figure S2-5**

*QQ plots for Xlex and Inhibition Variables*

*A graph of a sample

Description automatically generated*

**Figure S2-6**

*Histograms for Xlex and Inhibition Variables*

A group of graphs with different colored lines
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**Table S2-1**

*Shapiro-Wilk Tests of Normality*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Shapiro-Wilk |
| ABX error (vowel) | *W* = 0.92, *p* < .001\* |
| Log ABX error (Vowel) | *W* = 0.94, *p* = .008\* |
| ABX error (consonant) | *W* = 0.91, *p* < .001\* |
| Log ABX error (consonant) | *W* = 0.93, *p* = .002\* |
| Consonant production | *W* = 0.92, *p* < .001\* |
| Log consonant production | *W* = 0.76, *p* < .001\* |
| Vowel production | *W* = 0.91, *p* < .001\* |
| Log vowel production | *W* = 0.98, *p* = .584 |
| Inhibition (retrieval-induced) | *W* = 0.97, *p* = .251 |
| Log Simon | *W* = 0.98, *p* = .555 |
| Log Stroop | *W* = 0.99, *p* = .827 |
| Xlex | *W* = 0.99, *p* = .947 |
| ABX error (vowel) | 0.918 |

The following tables report the results of the Pearson partial correlation analyses. As some test assumptions were violated, Spearman partial correlation analyses are reported. For interested readers, the results of the Pearson partial correlation analyses are reported here but must be interpreted with caution as assumptions were violated.

**Table S2-2**

*Partial Correlations (Pearson) between Phonological Variables and the Three Measures of Inhibition*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Retrieval-induced | Simon | Stroop |
| ABX error (vowels) | –0.15 [–0.39, 0.11] | –0.16 [–0.40, 0.10] | 0.17 [–0.09, 0.41] |
| ABX error (consonants) | –0.10 [–0.35, 0.16] | 0.03 [–0.23, 0.29] | 0.19 [–0.07, 0.43] |
| Vowel production *z* score | 0.14 [–0.13, 0.38] | –0.22 [–0.45, 0.04] | 0.14 [–0.12, 0.39] |
| Consonant production (max. 8) | 0.10 [–0.16, 0.35] | 0.05 [–0.21, 0.30] | 0.00 [–0.25, 0.26] |

S3 Testing Simon and Stroop Effect Normality

**Figure S3-1**

*Histograms and QQ plots for Raw Simon Effects*

*A graph of a graph

Description automatically generatedA graph with black dots

Description automatically generated*

**Figure S3-2**

*Histograms and QQ plots for Log-transformed Simon Effects*

*A graph of a graph

Description automatically generatedA graph with a line

Description automatically generated*

**Figure S3-3**

*Histograms and QQ plots for Raw Stroop Effects*

*A graph of a graph

Description automatically generatedA graph with dots on the graph

Description automatically generated*

**Figure S3-4**

*Histograms and QQ plots for Log-transformed Stroop Effects*

A graph of a graph

Description automatically generatedA graph with dots on the graph

Description automatically generated

**Table S3**

*Shapiro-Wilk Tests of Normality*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Shapiro-Wilk |
| Raw Simon Effects | *W* = 0.87, *p* < .001\* |
| Log-transformed Simon Effects | *W* = 0.98, *p <* .555 |
| Raw Stroop Effects | *W* = 0.88, *p* < .001\* |
| Log-transformed Stroop Effects | *W* = 0.99, *p <* .827 |

S4 Comparison of Means and SDs of Target Cognitive and Phonological VariablesUsing Hedge’s *g* Effect Sizes

**Table S4**

*Means and SDs of Target Cognitive and Phonological Variables in DM&D (2016) and the Current Replication*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Darcy et al.  L2 Spanish (*n*=18) | Darcy et al.  All learners (*n*=34) | Current Study  L2 Spanish (*n*=58) | Effect Size  Hedge’s *g* | Effect Size  Hedge’s *g* |  |
| Retrieval-induced inhibition | 1.01 (0.11) | 1.03 (0.21) | 1.02 (0.23) | –0.05 [–0.58, 0.48] | 0.04 [–0.38, 0.47] |  |
| ABX error (vowels) | 0.20 (0.14) | 0.24 (0.14) | 19.2 (13.2) | 0.06 [–0.47, 0.59] | 0.35 [–0.07, 0.78] |  |
| ABX error (consonants) | n/a | n/a | 16.8 (14.0) |  |  |  |
| Vowel production *z* score | –2.54 (0.78) | –3.08 (0.86) | –2.27 (0.85) | –0.32 [–0.85, 0.21] | –0.94 [–1.39, –0.50] |  |
| Consonant production (max. 8) | 4.09 (2.45) | 5.41 (2.42) | 4.50 (2.31) | –0.17 [–0.70, 0.36] | 0.38 [–0.04, 0.81] |  |