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This supplementary document contains three parts. Part D contains the proofs of lemmas collected in Appendix A, Part E provides the verification of the conditions in Lemmas C. 1 and C.2, and Part F presents some additional simulation results.

## D Proofs of Lemmas in Appendix A

Proof of Lemma A.1. See Lemma B. 1 and its proof in Dong et al. (2015).
Proof of Lemma A.2. (1) The convergence is well known in the literature since $g$ is smooth. The convergence rates for both the weighted super norm and the $L^{2}$ can be calculated similarly as Lemma C. 1 in the supplement of Dong et al. (2016). (2) By virtue of Assumption $3.2, \sup _{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta} E\left|\gamma_{k}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \leq C\left\|\gamma_{k}(v)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=o\left(k^{-\nu}\right)$.

[^0]Proof of Lemma A.3. (1) The matrix $\frac{1}{n} Z_{(S)}^{\prime} W Z_{(S)}$ has elements $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{p}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}\right) \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}\right) w\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t}\right)$, and it is standard that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{p}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}\right) \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}\right) w\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t}\right)-E\left[\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{p}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right) \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right) w\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1}\right)\right]=O_{P}(1 / \sqrt{n})$ uniformly in $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{q}$, due to the uniform boundedness of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{p}}(\cdot) \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}}(\cdot) w(\cdot)$ over all $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{q}$ as well as the argument involved. See, e.g. Chapter One of Gautschi (2004, p. 27-31) or Szego (1975, p. 242). The assertion follows immediately.
(2) It holds similarly as (1).
(3) Note that $\frac{1}{n} Z_{(S)}^{\prime} W\left(\mathbf{Y}-Z \boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{n} Z_{(S)}^{\prime} W \mathbf{e}+\frac{1}{n} Z_{(S)}^{\prime} W \boldsymbol{\gamma}$. Here, the $s$-vector $\frac{1}{n} Z_{(S)}^{\prime} W \mathbf{e}$ has elements $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{p}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}\right) w\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t}\right) e_{t}$ and by Assumption 3.1 and uniform boundedness of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{p}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}\right) w\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t}\right)$ over all $\mathbf{p}$ and $t$ we have $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{p}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}\right) w\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t}\right) e_{t}=O_{P}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$ uniformly. Thus, $\frac{1}{n} Z_{(S)}^{\prime} W \mathbf{e}=O_{P}(\sqrt{s / n})$.

In addition, from Lemma A.2, $\left\|\frac{1}{n} Z_{(S)}^{\prime} W \gamma\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{n}\|\gamma\|^{2}\left\|\frac{1}{n} Z_{(S)} W^{2} Z_{(S)}\right\|=O_{P}\left(k^{-\nu} s\right)$.
(4) Observe that after premultiplying the selection matrix, $Q Z_{(S)}^{\prime} W\left(\mathbf{Y}-Z \boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}\right)=Q Z_{(S)}^{\prime} W \mathbf{e}+$ $Q Z_{(S)}^{\prime} W \gamma$ becomes a vector of dimension $s$. The assertion follows immediately in view of the proof of (3).

## E Verification of conditions in Lemmas C. 1 and C. 2

Verification of Conditions in Lemma C.1. Condition (1): Note that, ignoring unimportant constant, $F_{n\left(S_{0}\right)}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0\left(S_{0}\right)}\right)=\frac{1}{n} Z_{\left(S_{0}\right)}^{\prime} W\left(\mathbf{Y}-Z_{\left(S_{0}\right)} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{0\left(S_{0}\right)}\right)$. It has elements $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{p}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}\right) w\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t}\right)\left[\gamma_{k}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{x}_{t}\right)+\right.$ $\left.e_{t}\right]$ where $\mathbf{p} \mapsto j$ and $j \in S_{0}$. Due to the uniform boundedness of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{p}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}\right) w\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t}\right)$ again over all $\mathbf{p}$ and the argument involved, and by virtue of Assumption 3.1 we can use the exponential-tail Bernstein inequality to have $\frac{1}{n} Z_{\left(S_{0}\right)}^{\prime} W \mathbf{e}=O_{P}\left(\sqrt{s_{0} \log (K) / n}\right)$ similar to Lemma C. 2 in Fan and Liao (2014); and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{p}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}\right) w\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t}\right) \gamma_{k}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{t}\right)\right)^{2} & \leq \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left[\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{p}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}\right) w\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t}\right)\right]^{2} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left[\gamma_{k}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{t}\right)\right]^{2} \\
& \leq C \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{t}\right)=O_{P}\left(\left\|\gamma_{k}^{2}(u)\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& =O_{P}\left(k^{-\nu}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Assumptions 3.2 and 3.3 as well as Lemma A.2, where we have used the fact that almost surely $\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left[\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{p}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}\right) w\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t}\right)\right]^{2} \leq C n$ and $E\left[\gamma_{k}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{t}\right)\right]^{2} \leq C \int \gamma_{k}^{2}(u) f_{\theta_{0}}(u) d u \leq C\left\|\gamma_{k}(u)\right\|^{2}$. Hence, $\left\|F_{n\left(S_{0}\right)}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0\left(S_{0}\right)}\right)\right\|=O_{P}\left(\sqrt{s_{0} \log (K) / n}+\sqrt{s_{0}}\left\|\gamma_{k}(u)\right\|\right)$.

With this order of $\left\|F_{n\left(S_{0}\right)}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0\left(S_{0}\right)}\right)\right\|$, we then may conclude $\left\|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}\right\|=O_{P}\left(\sqrt{s_{0} \log (K) / n}+\right.$ $\left.\sqrt{s_{0}}\left\|\gamma_{k}(u)\right\|+\sqrt{s_{0}} P_{n}^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)\right)$ for the $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ in Lemmas C.1-C.2.

Condition (2) is mostly encountered in the literature. The readers are referred to Theorem 4.1 in Fan and Liao (2014) and Condition A. 2 in Belloni et al. (2015).

Verification of Conditions in Lemma C.2. Let $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \in \mathcal{V}$ be the minimizer of $Q_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}\right)$. We shall show that there is a neighborhood of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ in which for any $\boldsymbol{\beta} \notin \mathcal{V}$, the condition of (C.1) holds, that is, $L_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}\right)-L_{n}(\boldsymbol{\beta})<\sum_{j \notin S_{0}} P_{n}\left(\left|\beta_{j}\right|\right)$. This is tantamount to showing $Q_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}\right)<Q_{n}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$.

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}\right)-L_{n}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) & =\frac{1}{n}\left[\left(\mathbf{Y}-Z \boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}\right)^{\prime} W\left(\boldsymbol{Y}-Z \boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}\right)-(\boldsymbol{Y}-Z \boldsymbol{\beta})^{\prime} W(\mathbf{Y}-Z \boldsymbol{\beta})\right] \\
& =-2 \frac{1}{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^{\prime} Z^{\prime} W(\mathbf{Y}-Z \boldsymbol{\beta})+\frac{1}{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^{\prime} Z^{\prime} W Z\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In a small neighborhood of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, O\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, r_{n} / K\right)$ say, where $r_{n}$ is a sufficient small number determined later, $\sup _{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in O}\|\boldsymbol{\beta}-\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_{1} \leq r_{n}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n}\left|\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^{\prime} Z^{\prime} W(\mathbf{Y}-Z \boldsymbol{\beta})\right|+\frac{1}{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^{\prime} Z^{\prime} W Z\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{n}\left|\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^{\prime} Z^{\prime} W Z\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right|+\frac{1}{n}\left|\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^{\prime} Z_{\left(S_{0}\right)}^{\prime} W\left(\mathbf{Y}-Z_{\left(S_{0}\right)} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\left(S_{0}\right)}\right)\right| \\
\leq & \left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|\left\|H_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\|+\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|_{1}\left\|F_{n\left(S_{0}\right)}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}\right)\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|H_{n}\right\|_{\max }\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}\right\|_{1}\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|_{1}\left[C r_{n}+r_{n}\left\|F_{n\left(S_{0}\right)}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}\right)\right\|+C_{1}\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}-\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_{0}}\right\|\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\left|L_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}\right)-L_{n}(\boldsymbol{\beta})\right| \leq\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|_{1}\left[C r_{n}+r_{n}\left\|F_{n\left(S_{0}\right)}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}\right)\right\|+C_{1}\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}-\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_{0}}\right\|\right]$ in probability with some constants $C$ and $C_{1}$.

On the other hand, $\sum_{j \notin S_{0}} P_{n}\left(\left|\beta_{j}\right|\right)=\sum_{j \notin S_{0}}\left|\beta_{j}\right| P_{n}^{\prime}\left(\xi\left|\beta_{j}\right|\right) \geq P_{n}^{\prime}\left(r_{n}\right) \sum_{j \notin S_{0}}\left|\beta_{j}\right|$ where $\xi \in$ $(0,1)$ by the mean value theorem and the monotonicity of $P_{n}^{\prime}$. Let $r_{n}$ be small so that $P_{n}^{\prime}\left(r_{n}\right) \geq P_{n}^{\prime}\left(0^{+}\right) / 2$. Hence, $\sum_{j \notin S_{0}} P_{n}\left(\left|\beta_{j}\right|\right) \geq\left(P_{n}^{\prime}\left(0^{+}\right) / 2\right)\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_{0}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|_{1}$ in probability. Let $r_{n}$ be further smaller so that $r_{n}<P_{n}^{\prime}\left(0^{+}\right) / 4 C$. Then the assertion holds in probability approaching unity for large $n$.

## F Additional simulation results

This subsection provides additional simulation results to compare the performance of the proposed estimator with the post-processed spline estimator of Radchenko (2015) through

Table 1: Average absolute estimation errors (with standard errors in parenthesis), average number of false positives (FP) and average number of false negatives (FN) for DGPs 6 and $7, k=3$.

| Method | DGP 6 |  |  |  |  |  | DGP 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\sigma$ | $n$ | Estimati | on error | FP | FN | $\sigma$ | $n$ | Estimat | on error | FP | FN |
| Spline | 0.2 | 70 | 0.030 | (0.010) | 0.73 | 0.02 | 0.2 | 70 | 0.293 | (0.044) | 1.48 | 0.68 |
| Hermite |  |  | 0.031 | (0.005) | 0.05 | 0 |  |  | 0.162 | (0.062) | 0.34 | 0 |
| Spline |  | 140 | 0.010 | (0.001) | 0.24 | 0 |  | 140 | 0.098 | (0.010) | 1.05 | 0.05 |
| Hermite |  |  | 0.009 | (0.004) | 0.02 | 0 |  |  | 0.127 | (0.048) | 0.13 | 0 |
| Spline | 1 | 70 | 0.163 | (0.012) | 1.55 | 0.37 | 0.4 | 70 | 0.662 | (0.068) | 1.84 | 1.64 |
| Hermite |  |  | 0.149 | (0.044) | 0.24 | 0.24 |  |  | 0.306 | (0.121) | 0.69 | 0 |
| Spline |  | 140 | 0.061 | (0.005) | 0.49 | 0.01 |  | 140 | 0.200 | (0.012) | 0.85 | 0.57 |
| Hermite |  |  | 0.041 | (0.028) | 0.10 | 0 |  |  | 0.216 | (0.088) | 0.50 | 0 |

the following two designs as used in his study.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
D G P & 6: & y_{t}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}\right)^{2}+\sigma e_{t} \\
D G P & 7: & y_{t}=\sin \left(\mathbf{x}_{t}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} \pi / 2\right)+\sigma e_{t}
\end{array}
$$

where $\theta_{0}=(8,4,2,1,0, \ldots, 0)^{\prime} / \sqrt{85}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{t} \sim N\left(2 \sqrt{17} / 5, I_{d}\right)$, for $n=70,140$ and $d=100$. The error terms $e_{t}$ 's are independently and identically generated from the standard normal distribution, and two choices of $\sigma$ are considered for each choice of $n$. Note that the last nonzero element in the single index coefficient vector is very close to zero, compared to the other nonzero elements. This serves as a scenario in which the signal strength is a bit weak. Following the accuracy measures as in Radchenko (2015), we compute the estimation error (the sum of the absolute differences between the estimated and the true vector) of the index coefficients, the average number of false positives (number of noise predictors identified as signal) and the average number of false negatives (number of signal predictors identified as noise), which are reported in Table 1. The results for the spline estimator are taken directly from Radchenko (2015). The variable selection results for the Hermite polynomial estimator are obtained by applying the screening procedure with the BIC selection, followed by the SCAD penalization. It is observed that our variable selection procedure leads to relatively lower number of false negatives and false positives, while the estimation errors for the two
methods are rather comparable for both designs. Overall, the performance of both methods tends to improve as the sample size increases.
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