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**Table 1:** Sites with published APW (CF, HM)

**Table 2:** Summary of APW typology and identified examples (HM)

**Supplementary materials**

**Table S1:** Summary of instrument parameters.

**Table S2:** LA-ICP-MS data for the samples analysed along with information on the chemical group, fabric, decoration patterns, APW type, context and dating of samples. Oxides are in wt%, elements in ppm. Blank cells= below the detection limits

**Table S3:** Accuracy and precision for measurements of glass standard NIST612 measured by LA-ICP-MS. SD = standard deviation, RSD = relative standard deviation. NIST 612 reference values from: Jochum KP, Weis U, Stoll B, Kuzmin D, Yang Q, Raczek I, et al 2011. Determination of reference values for NIST SRM 610–617 glasses following ISO guidelines. Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research 35(4), 397-429.
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Figure 1: African Painted Ware (APW) jar, Carton 1915, Figure 3

Figure 2: Distribution map with published Late Antique African Painted Ware (APW) sites and possible workshops (HM)

Figure 3: Typology of APW - closed forms; APW 4c see: Baratte et al 2009, 190, fig. 145.39 (HM)

Figure 4: Typology of APW - open forms (HM)

Figure 5: Decoration patterns (DP) of APW (HM)
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Figure 6a and b: Chimtou - all analysed samples (CH P) (HM; VO)

Figure 7: Bulla Regia - all analysed samples (BR P) (HM; VO)

Figure 8: One of the storage jars and *tubuli* sampled (HM)

Figure 9: Geological map with surveyed sites – after P. Sainfeld and CH. Gottis (1948-1949), published by the Geological Service of the Directorate of Public Works of Tunisia (Mines, Industry and Energy Service). (HM)

Figure 10: Thin section photomicrographs of the five main petrographic fabrics and one outlier detected in 57 painted ceramics. The fabrics differ mainly in terms of textural features. All images taken in crossed polars (XP). Image width=3.2 mm. (VO)

Figure 11: Thin section photomicrographs of the main fabrics detected in the architectural *tubuli* analysed and of those of clay sources sampled near the sites showing calcareous fabrics that can be related to the painted wares. Differences between clay samples and ceramics can be accentuated by clay processing methods used by the ancient potters. All images taken in crossed polars (XP). Image width=3.2 mm. (VO)

Figure 12: PCA of the 22 ceramic elements/oxides using log-ratio transformed data for the painted wares, storage jars, *tubuli* and raw materials samples (upper). Plot of loadings for components 1 and 2 determining the geochemical patterning (below).  (VO)

Figure 13: HCA results in the form of a dendrogram, using the average-linkage method. (VO)

Figure 14: Examples of Raman spectra of red and brown pigments attributed respectively to hematite (sample Chi P22) (a), hematite combined with magnetite (sample BR P8, dark areas) (b) and goethite (sample Chi P14) (c). (VO)

Figure 15: Late Antique workshops and distribution patterns (drawings: Mukai 2016, fig. 15.5; von Rummel and Möller 2019, fig. 15.40) (HM)

Figure 16: North-western and north-eastern production groups: BR/CHI APW 12 and BR/CHI DP (2) (photos/drawings: Bonifay 2004, 302, Figure 169.1; Ben Nejma et al. 2023, 425.; Fulford 1984, Figure 89; Biagini 2007, 425.23; von Rummel and Möller 2019, fig.14.37; Fenwick et al. 2023, fig. 15.6) (HM)