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Table S1. Study Site Characteristics

|  | Atlas Grove Redwood | Boyes Prairie |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latitude/Longitude ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 41.3625/-124.0203 | 41.3667/-124.0167 |
| Elevation (m) | 55 | 35 |
| USDA Soil Series | Mystery | Ferndale |
| USDA Soil <br> Taxonomic Class | Coarse-loamy, mixed, superactive, isomesic, Oxyaquic Eutrudepts | Fine-silty, mixed, superactive, nonacid mesic Typic Udifluvents |
| Dominant vegetation species ${ }^{1}$ | Sequoia sempervirens, Acer macrophyllum, Rhamnus purshiana, Pseudotsuga menziesii, Tsuga heterophylla, Umbellularia californica | Danthonia californica, Poa pratensis, Holcus lanatus, Carex sp., Hypochoeris radicata, Plantago lanceolata, Pteridium aquilinium, Dactilis glomerata, Rubus vitifolius, Rosaceae |
| Understory vegetation species ${ }^{1}$ | Polystichum munitum, Gaultheria shallon, Vaccinium ovatum, Oxalis oregana | NA |

${ }^{1}$ Redwood vegetation from Sillet and Van Pelt, 2007. Prairie vegetation from Veirs, 1987 and Stassia Samuels, personal communication, 2011.

Figure S1. Example ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra for (a) Coast Redwood Forest and (b) Coastal Prairie occluded light fraction (black), free light fraction (magenta), fine roots (brown), and aboveground biomass (green).
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#### Abstract


Figure S2. Fine root biomass in (a) Coast Redwood Forest and (b) Coastal Prairie. Data are means $\pm$ SE of the total mean. $n=3$ cores for Prairie and 6 for Redwood. The distribution of roots among diameter classes did not change significantly with depth.


A subset of redwood roots was sorted into live and dead roots based on tensile strength and root morphology. By mass, $42 \%$ was live root biomass while $58 \%$ was necromass. The proportion of live vs. dead roots did not differ among diameter classes or soil depths and root C and N concentrations did not differ between live and dead roots. A subset of Redwood roots was taken to holocellulose following the Soxhlet solvent extraction described in Gaudinski et al 2005. No consistent patterns with depth or root characteristics and ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ were observed. Data are available in the supplementary data file.
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Figure S3. Signal intensities in the (a) Alkyl, (b) O-alkyl, (c) Aromatic, and (d) Carbonyl chemical shift ranges from ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectroscopy. For fractions, numbers after the underscore signify the middle of the depth increment. Letters indicate statistically significant differences at $\alpha=0.05$ among organic matter fraction (aboveground litter and biomass, "Above"; belowground biomass "Below"; free light fractions, fLF; and occluded light fractions, oLF) and vegetation cover (Prairie and Redwood) as there was a significant interaction between organic matter fraction and vegetation cover. Values are means $\pm$ standard error and n ranges from 2 to 8 as samples were pooled into the categories shown.


Figure S4. (a) Carbohydrate, (b) Lipid, (c) Lignin, and (d) Protein as a portion of total observed C from the molecular mixing model derived from ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy. For fractions, numbers after the underscore signify the middle of the depth increment. Letters indicate statistically significant differences at $\alpha=0.05$. Lowercase letters indicate differences among organic matter fraction (aboveground litter and biomass, "Above"; belowground biomass "Below"; free light fractions, fLF; and occluded light fractions, oLF). Uppercase letters indicate differences among organic matter fraction and vegetation cover as there was a significant interaction between organic matter fraction and vegetation cover. Values are means $\pm$ standard error and $n$ ranges from 2 to 8 as samples were pooled into the categories shown.


Figure S5. Bulk soil characteristics by middle increment depth for Coast Redwood Forest and Coastal Prairie. Data are means $\pm 1$ SE. $\mathrm{n}=7$ for Redwood and 5 for Prairie for (a) C concentration, (b) N concentration, and (c) bulk density. For (d) Py C measured as BPCA, $\mathrm{n}=3$ for $0-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $50-70 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{n}=1$ for $10-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $30-50 \mathrm{~cm}$. Depths > 0 cm are for the forest floor (O-horizon), which was only present in redwood forest. Data are means $\pm 1 \mathrm{SE}$.


Figure S6. Bulk soil characteristics by middle increment depth for Coast Redwood Forest and Coastal Prairie. Data are means $\pm 1 \mathrm{SE}$ and $\mathrm{n}=3$.


Figure S7. Distribution of (a) carbon and (b) nitrogen in density fractions from Coast Redwood Forest (R) and Coastal Prairie (P) for $0-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $50-70 \mathrm{~cm}$ depths. Data are means $\pm \mathrm{SE}$ of the total mean. $\mathrm{n}=3$ for each site.



Figure S8. (a) Char and (b) Carbonyl C as a portion of total observed C from the molecular mixing model derived from ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectroscopy. (c) Char-corrected Aromaticity $\left(\mathrm{AR}^{*}\right)$ and (d) Char-corrected Combined Indices. For fractions, numbers after the underscore signify the middle of the depth increment. Lowercase letters indicate differences among organic matter fraction (fraction (aboveground litter and biomass, "Above"; belowground biomass "Below"; free light fractions, fLF; and occluded light fractions, oLF) and depth. Uppercase letters indicate differences among organic matter fraction, depth, and vegetation cover as there was a significant 3-way interaction. Values are means $\pm$ standard error and n is 3 for surface and 2 for deep light density fractions.


