

Supplementary text to Stanton et al., The signature of accumulated permanent uplift, northern Cascadia subduction zone

Discussion of ages for luminescence dating for non-estuarine deposits

In addition to dates on estuarine sediments, in the main manuscript (Tables 2-6) we also report feldspar luminescence ages from sediments collected in fluvial sands and gravels (sample 19GW827.1 in unit Qgs; Stanton, 2021), for sands related to the Nemah River (sample 19GW826.2 in unit Qrt; Stanton, 2021) and for sands and gravels near Elma, WA mapped as undifferentiated, pre-Fraser-glaciation continental glacial drift (Qgp) from the 1:250,000 regional geologic map (sample 21GW0819.4; Walsh et al., 1987). The ages are not discussed in the main manuscript because these samples are not from estuarine or marine sediments and are not relevant to the uplift history of the region. However, the ages of these samples contributed to the Quaternary mapping (Stanton, 2021) and may be of interest to regional studies. The sample ages are discussed in turn. Reference can be made to the radial graphs of Fig. 5 which show the distribution of single-grain ages from the uncorrected pIRIR measurements.

Sample 19GW826.2 is from sands in a terrace related to the Nemah River on the southeastern side of Willapa Bay at Lynn Point. An age from pIT-IRSL could not be obtained because the natural signal did not intersect the growth curve, an indication of a very old, probably over-estimated age, which could indicate partial bleaching. The FMM (uncorrected; Table 4) shows a small percentage of young grains which are likely contamination. The minimum age is best represented by the second component, which gives an age of 76.7 ± 6.11 ka. The third component, representing 68% of the grains, gives a much older age of 180 ± 9.13 ka. Because sample 19GW826.2 is from deposits mapped as Nemah River terraces, it is equally possible that the terraces are very old or are younger with partially bleached, reworked sediments.

Sample 19GW827.1 is from fluvial gravels and sands at high elevation within unit Qgs. It contains some young grains, but more than 60% of the grains are more than about 200 ka. This is consistent with the high degree of weathering and oxidation of the sediments. We interpret these as outwash related to the Double Bluff glaciation (MIS6).

Sample 21GW0819.4 is from sands and gravels in a high terrace above the Chehalis River between Montesano and Elma. It has been mapped as pre-Fraser-glaciation, undifferentiated glacial drift (Qgp; Walsh et al., 1987). It has a few young grains, but almost 90% of the grains are older than about 160 ka, consistent with pre-Fraser-glaciation deposits.

List of Tables

Table S1. Measured depth to Cox horizon at field stations.

Table S2. Range of uplift rates for terraced estuarine sediments near South Bend, WA.

Table S3. Range of uplift rates for estuarine sediments near South Bends, WA using eustatic paleo-sea-level estimates.

Table S4. Range of uplift rates for estuarine sediments near South Bend, WA using geodynamic model estimates of paleo-sea-level elevation near 46° N latitude from Simms et al. (2016).

Table S5. Uplift rates for sites along Cascadia as numbered in Figure 6.

Table S6. Compilation of uplift or incision rates from Cascadia coastal deformation studies.

Table S7. Uplift rates for select Cascadia studies using propagation of error.

List of Figures

Fig.S1. Radial plots of the pIRIR data. Radial graphs are x-y plots where the x-axis represents precision and the y-axis the equivalent dose. The equivalent dose is normalized by the number of standard errors the value is from a reference point. Radial graphs take into account differential precision and will give more weight to values with the smallest error terms. A radial graph also contains a second y-axis on the right to represent the measured, non-standardized value. A line drawn from the origin through any point intersects this axis at the non-standardized value. References are shown as lines drawn from the origin to the right-hand scale, intersecting it at the derived age for that reference. The references plotted are the central tendency (computed as the central age model) of the two components from the finite mixture model with the highest proportion of grains. The shaded area which the reference line bisects encompasses all points within two standard errors of the reference. The yellow shading is the oldest of these two components, the blue shading the youngest. A red line representing the age from the central age model is shown for sample 19GW826.1 (the only sample where this might be relevant).