Review Measuring pro-environmental behavioural determinants using closed-answer surveys

HUNTER LOCKWOOD DOUGHTY, LAURA THOMAS-WALTERS

Supplementary material is published as supplied by the authors. It is not checked for accuracy, copyedited, typeset or proofread. The responsibility for scientific accuracy and file functionality remains with the authors.

Supplementary Material 1

The data are available in a comma separated values file at doi.org/10.1017/S0030605324001194.

Supplementary Material 2

Determinants were assessed in the content of a number of theories/models. Norm Activation Model (Schwartz, 1975), Theory of Planned Behaviour (Ajzen, 1991), and the Value Belief Norm Theory (Stern et al., 1999) were the most common. More specific, or less well-known, theories/models were also employed, such as the Theory of Green Purchase Behaviour (Han, 2020), the Comprehensive Action Determination Model (Joanes et al., 2020), the Biophilia Hypothesis (Lumber et al., 2017), the Theory of Interpersonal Behaviour (Issock et al., 2020), the Model of Environmentally Responsible Behaviour (Pan et al., 2018), the Habit Discontinuity Hypothesis (Verplanken & Roy, 2016), the Theory of Normative Social Behaviour (Borg et al., 2020), and the Moral Foundation Theory (Jansson & Dorrepaal, 2015). See Table 1 below for more details and Supplementary Material 1 for the full data where any such employed models/theories are noted for each measurement of a determinant.

Norm activation model: Discussed in (Schwartz, 1975), this theory contains three determinants leading to behaviour (Supplementary Material 2 Figure 1). These include: problem awareness (i.e., awareness of consequences), ascription of responsibility, and personal norms.



Supplementary Material 2 Figure 1. Norm activation model based on Schwartz (1975).

Theory of planned behaviour: Discussed in (Ajzen, 1991), this theory contains four determinants leading to behaviour (Supplementary Material 2 Figure 2). These include: attitudes, injunctive norms

(i.e., subjective norms), self-efficacy (i.e., perceived behavioural control), and behavioural intent (it is not one of the determinants we assessed).



Supplementary Material 2 Figure 2. Theory of planned behaviour based on Ajzen (1991).

Value belief norm theory: Discussed in (Stern et al., 1999), this theory contains five determinants leading to behaviour (Supplementary Material 2 Figure 3). These include: values; The New Ecological Paradigm (i.e., a multi-question, self-contained, validated scale, arguably covering multiple determinants) that assesses 'pro-ecological world view' (Stern et al., 1995)); problem awareness (i.e., awareness of consequences); ascription of responsibility; and personal norms.

Values New ecological paradigm awareness	Ascription of responsibility	• Personal norms 🔸	. Behaviour
---	---------------------------------	--------------------	-------------

Supplementary Material 2 Figure 3. Value belief norm theory based on Stern et al. (1999).

Supplementary Material 2 Table 1. Definitions of determinants of pro-environmental behaviour identified by van Valkengoed et al, (2022) and their inclusion in behavioural models/theories.

Ascription of responsibility: Ascription of responsibility is often assessed in the context of the norm activation model (Schwartz, 1975) and the value belief norm theory (Stern et al., 1999; van Valkengoed et al., 2022). We also identified papers that measured ascription of responsibility in the context of theories such as the theory of green purchase behaviour (Han, 2020), the comprehensive action determination model (Joanes et al., 2020), and the theory of planned behaviour (Heidari et al., 2020). It should be noted that across behavioural determinants and pro-environmental behaviour, the theory of planned behaviour (or related versions) and the norm activation model were often employed together (Liu et al., 2017).

Attitudes: Attitudes are often assessed in the context of the theory of planned behaviour and related theories (Ajzen, 1991; van Valkengoed et al., 2022). We also identified papers that measured attitudes in the context of theories such as the comprehensive action determination model (Joanes et al., 2020), the value belief norm theory (S. Wang et al., 2020), and the new model of green consumer behaviour (Paço et al., 2019).

Connection to nature: In our review, connection to nature was assessed in the context of theories such as the sustainable consumption behaviour theory (Wang et al., 2014) and the biophilia hypothesis (Lumber et al., 2017).

Descriptive norms: Descriptive norms are often assessed in the context of the focus theory of normative conduct (van Valkengoed et al., 2022). We also identified papers that measured descriptive norms in the context of theories such as the norm activation model and theory of planned behaviour (Onwezen et al., 2013), theory of interpersonal behaviour (Issock et al., 2020), and the model of goal directed behaviour (Passafaro et al., 2014).

Environmental concern: Environmental concern is often assessed in context of the protection motivation theory (van Valkengoed et al., 2022). We also identified papers that measured environmental concern in the context of theories such as the norm activation model (Confente & Scarpi, 2021), the extended theory of planned behaviour (Chen & Tung, 2014), and the model of environmentally responsible behaviour (Pan et al., 2018).

Environmental self-identity: Environmental self-identity is often assessed in the context of the value identity personal norm model (van Valkengoed et al., 2022). We also identified papers that measured environmental self-identity in the context of theories such as the theory of reasoned action (Shang & Wu, 2022), and the norm activation model and value belief norm theory (Perera et al., 2022).

Habits: In our review it was assessed in the context of theories such as the habit discontinuity hypothesis (Verplanken & Roy, 2016), the theory of planned behaviour, the theory of interpersonal behaviour, and the comprehensive model of environmental behaviour (Russell et al., 2017).

Injunctive norms: Injunctive norms are often assessed in the context of theories such as the theory of planned behaviour and the focus theory of normative conduct (van Valkengoed et al., 2022). We also identified papers that measured injunctive norms in the context of the theory of normative social behaviour (Borg et al., 2020), the moral foundation theory (Jansson & Dorrepaal, 2015), and the value social norm enjoyment-based motivation model (Ahn et al., 2020).

Knowledge: Knowledge is often assessed in the context of theories such as the knowledge deficit model (van Valkengoed et al., 2022). We also identified papers that measured knowledge in the context of the theory of planned behaviour (Mohd Suki & Mohd Suki, 2015), the ability, motivation, and opportunity theory (Fawehinmi et al., 2020), and the value belief norm theory (L. Wang et al., 2020).

Outcome efficacy: Outcome efficacy is often assessed in the context of theories such as the norm activation model, the value belief norm theory, and the protection motivation theory (van Valkengoed et al., 2022). We also identified papers that measured outcome efficacy in the context of the comprehensive action determination model (Joanes et al., 2020) and the extended model of planned behaviour (Kang et al., 2013).

Personal norms: Personal norms are often assessed in the context of theories such as the norm activation model, the value belief norm theory, and the value identity personal norm model (van Valkengoed et al., 2022). We also identified papers that measured personal norms in the context of theories such as the theory of planned behaviour (Si et al., 2020), the moral foundations theory (Jansson & Dorrepaal, 2015), the theory of interpersonal behaviour and the focus theory of normative conduct (Issock et al., 2020).

Problem awareness: Problem awareness is often assessed in the context of theories such as the norm activation model and the value belief norm theory (van Valkengoed et al., 2022). We also identified papers that measured problem awareness in the context of the theory of planned behaviour (Si et al., 2020), the theory of green purchase behaviour (Han, 2020), and the comprehensive action determination model (Joanes et al., 2020).

Risk perception: Risk perception is often assessed in the context of the protection motivation theory (van Valkengoed et al., 2022). We also identified papers that measured risk perception in the context of

theories such as the theory of planned behaviour (Zhu et al., 2020), the cognitive theory of stress model (Chen, 2015), and the value belief norm theory (Liobikiene & Juknys, 2016).

Self-efficacy: Self-efficacy is often assessed in the context of the theory of planned behaviour and the protection motivation theory (van Valkengoed et al., 2022). We also identified papers that measured self-efficacy in the context of theories such as the norm activation model (Ataei et al., 2022), the value belief norm theory (Wynveen & Sutton, 2015), and the model of private proactive adaptation to climate change (Burnham & Ma, 2017).

Self-focused emotions: Self-focused emotions are often assessed in the context of the norm activation model and the value belief norm theory (van Valkengoed et al., 2022). We also identified papers that measured self-focused emotions in the context of theories such as the cognitive theory of stress model (Chen, 2015), the theory of interpersonal behaviour (Issock et al., 2020), and the theory of planned behaviour (Nguyen et al., 2018).

Trust: In our review trust was assessed in the context of theories such as the value belief norm theory (Arbuckle et al., 2015), theory of planned behaviour (Sultan et al., 2020), the rational choice theory, and the subjective expected utility theory (Wheeler et al., 2019).

Values: In our review values were assessed in the context of theories such as the value belief norm theory (Çakır Yıldırım & Karaarslan Semiz, 2019), the theory of reasoned action (Khare, 2015), the new model of green consumer behaviour (Paço et al., 2019), and the value social norm enjoyment-based motivation model (Ahn et al., 2020).

References

- AHN, I., KIM, S.H. & KIM, M. (2020) The Relative Importance of Values, Social Norms, and Enjoyment-Based Motivation in Explaining Pro-Environmental Product Purchasing Behavior in Apparel Domain. *Sustainability*, 12, 6797. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.
- AJZEN, I. (1991) The theory of planned behavior. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50, 179–211.
- ARBUCKLE, J.G., MORTON, L.W. & HOBBS, J. (2015) Understanding Farmer Perspectives on Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation: The Roles of Trust in Sources of Climate Information, Climate Change Beliefs, and Perceived Risk. *Environment and Behavior*, 47, 205–234. SAGE Publications Inc.
- ATAEI, P., KARIMI, H., MORADHASELI, S. & BABAEI, M.H. (2022) Analysis of farmers' environmental sustainability behavior: the use of norm activation theory (a sample from Iran). *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 15, 859.
- BORG, K., CURTIS, J. & LINDSAY, J. (2020) Social norms and plastic avoidance: Testing the theory of normative social behaviour on an environmental behaviour. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 19, 594–607.
- BURNHAM, M. & MA, Z. (2017) Climate change adaptation: factors influencing Chinese smallholder farmers' perceived self-efficacy and adaptation intent. *Regional Environmental Change*, 17, 171–186.
- ÇAKIR YILDIRIM, B. & KARAARSLAN SEMIZ, G. (2019) Future Teachers' Sustainable Water Consumption Behavior: A Test of the Value-Belief-Norm Theory. *Sustainability*, 11, 1558. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.
- CHEN, M.-F. (2015) Self-efficacy or collective efficacy within the cognitive theory of stress model: Which more effectively explains people's self-reported proenvironmental behavior? *Journal* of Environmental Psychology, 42, 66–75.

- CHEN, M.-F. & TUNG, P.-J. (2014) Developing an extended Theory of Planned Behavior model to predict consumers' intention to visit green hotels. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 36, 221–230.
- CONFENTE, I. & SCARPI, D. (2021) Achieving Environmentally Responsible Behavior for Tourists and Residents: A Norm Activation Theory Perspective. *Journal of Travel Research*, 60, 1196–1212. SAGE Publications Inc.
- FAWEHINMI, O., YUSLIZA, M.Y., MOHAMAD, Z., NOOR FAEZAH, J. & MUHAMMAD, Z. (2020) Assessing the green behaviour of academics: The role of green human resource management and environmental knowledge. *International Journal of Manpower*, 41, 879–900. Emerald Publishing Limited.
- HAN, H. (2020) Theory of green purchase behavior (TGPB): A new theory for sustainable consumption of green hotel and green restaurant products. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 29, 2815–2828.
- HEIDARI, A., MIRZAII, F., RAHNAMA, M. & ALIDOOST, F. (2020) A theoretical framework for explaining the determinants of food waste reduction in residential households: a case study of Mashhad, Iran. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27, 6774–6784.
- ISSOCK, P.B., ROBERTS-LOMBARD, M. & MPINGANJIRA, M. (2020) Understanding household waste separation in South Africa: An empirical study based on an extended theory of interpersonal behaviour. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, 31, 530–547. Emerald Publishing Limited.
- JANSSON, J. & DORREPAAL, E. (2015) Personal Norms for Dealing with Climate Change: Results from a Survey Using Moral Foundations Theory. *Sustainable Development*, 23, 381–395.
- JOANES, T., GWOZDZ, W. & KLÖCKNER, C.A. (2020) Reducing personal clothing consumption: A crosscultural validation of the comprehensive action determination model. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 71, 101396.
- KANG, J., LIU, C. & KIM, S.-H. (2013) Environmentally sustainable textile and apparel consumption: the role of consumer knowledge, perceived consumer effectiveness and perceived personal relevance. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 37, 442–452.
- KHARE, A. (2015) Antecedents to green buying behaviour: a study on consumers in an emerging economy. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 33, 309–329. Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- LIOBIKIENĖ, G. & JUKNYS, R. (2016) The role of values, environmental risk perception, awareness of consequences, and willingness to assume responsibility for environmentally-friendly behaviour: the Lithuanian case. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 112, 3413–3422.
- LIU, Y., SHENG, H., MUNDORF, N., REDDING, C. & YE, Y. (2017) Integrating Norm Activation Model and Theory of Planned Behavior to Understand Sustainable Transport Behavior: Evidence from China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 14, 1593. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.
- LUMBER, R., RICHARDSON, M. & SHEFFIELD, D. (2017) Beyond knowing nature: Contact, emotion, compassion, meaning, and beauty are pathways to nature connection. *PLOS ONE*, 12, e0177186. Public Library of Science.
- MOHD SUKI, NORAZAH & MOHD SUKI, NORBAYAH (2015) Consumers' environmental behaviour towards staying at a green hotel: Moderation of green hotel knowledge. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, 26, 103–117. Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- NGUYEN, T.N., LOBO, A. & NGUYEN, B.K. (2018) Young consumers' green purchase behaviour in an emerging market. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 26, 583–600. Routledge.
- ONWEZEN, M.C., ANTONIDES, G. & BARTELS, J. (2013) The Norm Activation Model: An exploration of the functions of anticipated pride and guilt in pro-environmental behaviour. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 39, 141–153.

- PAÇO, A. DO, SHIEL, C. & ALVES, H. (2019) A new model for testing green consumer behaviour. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 207, 998–1006.
- PAN, S.-L., CHOU, J., MORRISON, A.M., HUANG, W.-S. & LIN, M.-C. (2018) Will the Future Be Greener? The Environmental Behavioral Intentions of University Tourism Students. *Sustainability*, 10, 634. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.
- PASSAFARO, P., RIMANO, A., PICCINI, M.P., METASTASIO, R., GAMBARDELLA, V., GULLACE, G. & LETTIERI, C. (2014) The bicycle and the city: Desires and emotions versus attitudes, habits and norms. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 38, 76–83.
- PERERA, C.R., KALANTARI, H. & JOHNSON, L.W. (2022) Climate Change Beliefs, Personal Environmental Norms and Environmentally Conscious Behaviour Intention. *Sustainability*, 14, 1824. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.
- RUSSELL, S.V., YOUNG, C.W., UNSWORTH, K.L. & ROBINSON, C. (2017) Bringing habits and emotions into food waste behaviour. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 125, 107–114.
- SCHWARTZ, S. (1975) The Justice of Need and the Activation of Humanitarian Norms. *Journal of Social Issues*, 31, 111–136.
- SHANG, D. & WU, W. (2022) Does green morality lead to collaborative consumption behavior toward online collaborative redistribution platforms? Evidence from emerging markets shows the asymmetric roles of pro-environmental self-identity and green personal norms. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 68, 102993.
- SI, H., SHI, J., TANG, D., WU, G. & LAN, J. (2020) Understanding intention and behavior toward sustainable usage of bike sharing by extending the theory of planned behavior. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 152, 104513.
- STERN, P.C., DIETZ, T., ABEL, T., GUAGNANO, G.A. & KALOF, L. (1999) A Value-Belief-Norm Theory of Support for Social Movements: The Case of Environmentalism. *Human Ecology Review*, 6, 81–97. Society for Human Ecology.
- STERN, P.C., DIETZ, T. & GUAGNANO, G.A. (1995) The New Ecological Paradigm in Social-Psychological Context. *Environment and Behavior*, 27, 723–743. SAGE Publications Inc.
- SULTAN, P., TARAFDER, T., PEARSON, D. & HENRYKS, J. (2020) Intention-behaviour gap and perceived behavioural control-behaviour gap in theory of planned behaviour: moderating roles of communication, satisfaction and trust in organic food consumption. *Food Quality and Preference*, 81, 103838.
- VAN VALKENGOED, A.M., ABRAHAMSE, W. & STEG, L. (2022) To select effective interventions for proenvironmental behaviour change, we need to consider determinants of behaviour. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 6, 1482–1492. Nature Publishing Group.
- VERPLANKEN, B. & ROY, D. (2016) Empowering interventions to promote sustainable lifestyles: Testing the habit discontinuity hypothesis in a field experiment. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 45, 127–134.
- WANG, L., WONG, P.P.W. & NARAYANAN ALAGAS, E. (2020) Antecedents of green purchase behaviour: an examination of altruism and environmental knowledge. *International Journal of Culture, Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 14, 63–82. Emerald Publishing Limited.
- WANG, S., JIANG, J., ZHOU, Y., LI, J., ZHAO, D. & LIN, S. (2020) Climate-change information, health-risk perception and residents' environmental complaint behavior: an empirical study in China. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*, 42, 719–732.
- WHEELER, S.A., GREGG, D. & SINGH, M. (2019) Understanding the role of social desirability bias and environmental attitudes and behaviour on South Australians' stated purchase of organic foods. *Food Quality and Preference*, 74, 125–134.
- WYNVEEN, C.J. & SUTTON, S.G. (2015) Engaging the public in climate change-related pro-environmental behaviors to protect coral reefs: The role of public trust in the management agency. *Marine Policy*, 53, 131–140.
- ZHU, W., YAO, N., GUO, Q. & WANG, F. (2020) Public risk perception and willingness to mitigate climate change: city smog as an example. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*, 42, 881–893.

Supplementary Material 3

Using measures collated through the literature review and using the 'key considerations' summarised in the Summary and Application section of the paper, a list of suggested measurement approaches for pro-environmental behaviour and each of the 17 determinants has been compiled (Supplementary Material 3 Table 1). These recommendations are based on what format was found most commonly employed *and* validated by authors, but should not be considered as the 'only' or 'best' measures for use. Surveyors should employ the question-development tactics discussed in the Literature Review Findings section, taking into consideration the target audience, as well as the survey and data requirements.

Supplementary Material 3 Table	1 .	Summary	of	suggested	measurement	of	pro-environmental
behaviour and determinants							

Behavioural Determinant	Common Question Format
Pro- environmental Behaviour	Varies across multi-choice, binary, and scale questions. Ideally, look for a relevant previously validated scale before designing your own, such as Brick et al. (2017), or the General Ecological Behavior (GEB) measure (Kaiser, 2006), or (Markle, 2013) for 42 different multi-item measures.
Ascription of responsibility	Generally a 7-point scale with multiple statements, averaged to create a composite variable if internal correlation is sufficient. Ideally, look for a relevant previously validated scale before designing your own, such as (De Groot & Steg, 2009).
Attitudes	Generally a 7-point semantic scale with multiple statements, averaged to create a composite variable if internal correlation is sufficient. Ideally, look for a relevant previously validated scale before designing your own, such as (Milfont & Duckitt, 2010).
Connection to nature	Generally a 7-point scale with multiple statements such as the Nature Relatedness scale (Lumber et al. 2017), or use the inclusion of Nature in Self (INS) scale (Schultz, 2002).
Descriptive norms	Generally a 7-point scale with multiple statements, varying the referent (e.g., people like me, friends, colleagues). Consider the relevance of local vs global norms, and dynamic or prospective norms.
Environmental concern	Generally a 7-point scale with multiple statements, averaged to create a composite variable if internal correlation is sufficient. Ideally, look for a relevant previously validated scale before designing your own, such as (Dietz et al., 1998) or (Kim & Choi, 2005).
Environmental self-identity	Generally a 7-point scale with multiple statements, averaged to create a composite variable if internal correlation is sufficient. Ideally, look for a relevant previously validated scale before designing your own, such as (Whitmarsh & O'Neill, 2010).
Habits	Generally a 7-point scale with multiple statements, averaged to create a composite variable if internal correlation is sufficient. Ideally, look for a relevant previously validated scale before designing your own, such as the SelfReport Habit Index (SRHI) (Verplanken & Orbell, 2003).
Injunctive norms	Generally a 7-point scale with multiple statements, averaged to create a composite variable if internal correlation is sufficient. Ideally, look for a relevant previously validated scale before designing your own, such as Ajzen's validated Theory of Planned Behavior scale (Ajzen, 1991).
Knowledge	Generally either multiple-choice questions to assess <i>actual</i> knowledge, or a 7-point scale with multiple statements to assess <i>perceived</i> knowledge.
Outcome efficacy	Generally a 7-point scale with multiple statements, averaged to create a composite variable if internal correlation is sufficient. Ideally, look for a relevant previously validated scale before designing your own - see (Roser-Renouf & Nisbet, 2008) for a review of measures.
Personal norms	Generally a 7-point scale with multiple statements, averaged to create a composite variable if internal correlation is sufficient. Ideally, look for a relevant previously validated scale before designing your own, such as (Schwartz, 1975; Abrahamse et al., 2005; Dolnicar & Leisch, 2008).

Problem awareness	Generally a 7-point scale with multiple statements, averaged to create a composite variable if internal correlation is sufficient. Ideally, look for a relevant previously validated scale before designing your own, such as (De Groot & Steg, 2009; Onwezen et al., 2013).
Risk perception	Generally a 7-point scale with multiple statements, averaged to create a composite variable if internal correlation is sufficient. Ideally, look for a relevant previously validated scale before designing your own, such as the Climate Change Risk Perception Index (Leiserowitz, 2006). Consider the referent - e.g. me, people like me, my city.
Self-efficacy	Generally a 7-point scale with multiple statements, averaged to create a composite variable if internal correlation is sufficient. Ideally, look for a relevant previously validated scale before designing your own – see (Roser-Renouf & Nisbet, 2008) for a review of measures.
Self-focused emotions	Generally a 7-point scale with multiple statements. Ideally, look for a relevant previously validated scale before designing your own, such as (Kugler & Jones, 1992) guilt inventory or Tracy and Robins' (2007) authentic pride scale.
Trust	Generally a 7-point scale with multiple statements. Ideally, look for a relevant previously validated scale before designing your own. Consider the relevance of social vs institutional trust to the subject matter.
Values	Generally a 7-point scale with multiple statements, averaged to create a composite variable if internal correlation is sufficient. Ideally, look for a relevant previously validated scale before designing your own, such as (Schwartz, 1992; Steg & de Groot, 2012; Steg et al., 2014).

References

- ABRAHAMSE, W., STEG, L., VLEK, C. & ROTHENGATTER, T. (2005) A review of intervention studies aimed at household energy conservation. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 25, 273–291.
- AJZEN, I. (1991) The theory of planned behavior. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50, 179–211.
- DE GROOT, J.I.M. & STEG, L. (2009) Morality and Prosocial Behavior: The Role of Awareness, Responsibility, and Norms in the Norm Activation Model. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 149, 425–449. Routledge.
- DIETZ, T., STERN, P.C. & GUAGNANO, G.A. (1998) Social Structural and Social Psychological Bases of Environmental Concern. *Environment and Behavior*, 30, 450–471. SAGE Publications Inc.
- DOLNICAR, S. & LEISCH, F. (2008) An Investigation of Tourists' Patterns of Obligation to Protect the Environment. *Journal of Travel Research*, 46, 381–391. SAGE Publications Inc.
- KAISER, F.G. (2006) A General Measure of Ecological Behavior1. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 28, 395–422.
- Кім, Y. & Choi, S.M. (2005) Antecedents of Green Purchase Behavior: an Examination of Collectivism, Environmental Concern, and Pce. ACR North American Advances, NA-32.
- KUGLER, K. & JONES, W.H. (1992) On conceptualizing and assessing guilt. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 62, 318–327. American Psychological Association, US.
- LEISEROWITZ, A. (2006) Climate Change Risk Perception and Policy Preferences: The Role of Affect, Imagery, and Values. *Climatic Change*, 77, 45–72.
- MARKLE, G.L. (2013) Pro-Environmental Behavior: Does It Matter How It's Measured? Development and Validation of the Pro-Environmental Behavior Scale (PEBS). *Human Ecology*, 41, 905–914.
- MILFONT, T.L. & DUCKITT, J. (2010) The environmental attitudes inventory: A valid and reliable measure to assess the structure of environmental attitudes. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 30, 80–94.
- ONWEZEN, M.C., ANTONIDES, G. & BARTELS, J. (2013) The Norm Activation Model: An exploration of the functions of anticipated pride and guilt in pro-environmental behaviour. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 39, 141–153.
- ROSER-RENOUF, C. & NISBET, M. (2008) The measurement of key behavioral science constructs in climate change research. *International Journal for Sustainability Communication*, 3, 37–95.

- SCHULTZ, P.W. (2002) Inclusion with Nature: The Psychology Of Human-Nature Relations. In *Psychology* of Sustainable Development (eds P. Schmuck & W.P. Schultz), pp. 61–78. Springer US, Boston, MA.
- SCHWARTZ, S. (1975) The Justice of Need and the Activation of Humanitarian Norms. *Journal of Social Issues*, 31, 111–136.
- SCHWARTZ, S.H. (1992) Universals in the content and structure of values: Theoretical advances and empirical tests in 20 countries. In *Advances in experimental social psychology, Vol. 25* pp. 1– 65. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, US.
- STEG, L. & DE GROOT, J. (2012) Environmental values. In *The Oxford Handbook of Environmental and Conservation Psychology* pp. 81–92.
- STEG, L., PERLAVICIUTE, G., VAN DER WERFF, E. & LURVINK, J. (2014) The Significance of Hedonic Values for Environmentally Relevant Attitudes, Preferences, and Actions. *Environment and Behavior*, 46, 163–192. SAGE Publications Inc.
- VERPLANKEN, B. & ORBELL, S. (2003) Reflections on past behavior: A self-report index of habit strength. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 33, 1313–1330. Blackwell Publishing, United Kingdom.
- WHITMARSH, L. & O'NEILL, S. (2010) Green identity, green living? The role of pro-environmental selfidentity in determining consistency across diverse pro-environmental behaviours. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 30, 305–314.