**Appendices**

**Appendix 1: Weights applied to the data analysis based on whether survey participants participated or not in the election of the members of the Constitutional Convention in 2021, by region.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Region** | **Participated** | **Did not participated** |
| Tarapacá | 0.458294117647059 | 3.302250000000000 |
| Antofagasta | 0.553350000000000 | 1.893300000000000 |
| Atacama | 0.668833333333333 | 1.496750000000000 |
| Coquimbo | 0.612393548387097 | 1.801053333333330 |
| Valparaíso | 0.622955696202532 | 1.992883333333330 |
| Libertador Bernardo O'Higgins | 0.589493333333333 | 2.679345454545450 |
| Maule | 0.621226666666667 | 1.897094736842100 |
| Biobío | 0.498978947368421 | 3.379850000000000 |
| Araucanía | 0.624466666666667 | 1.643771428571430 |
| Los Lagos | 0.595350000000000 | 1.917206666666670 |
| Aysén | 0.516000000000000 | 2.936000000000000 |
| Magallanes | 0.588900000000000 | 1.822200000000000 |
| Metropolitana | 0.664132876712329 | 1.721126470588240 |
| Los Ríos | 0.556464705882353 | 2.256683333333330 |
| Arica y Parinacota | 0.597675000000000 | 1.643720000000000 |
| Ñuble | 0.533375000000000 | 2.866500000000000 |

**Appendix 2: Descriptive statistics of independent variables and control factors by scenario (responded have not experienced the hypothetical scenario – number of unweighted cases)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Variables** |  | **Scenario 1** | **Scenario 2** |
| Socioeconomic status (categorical variable) | ABC1ab | 14% | 13.3% |
| C2 | 18.8% | 18% |
| C3 | 21.5% | 20.8% |
| D | 31.8% | 32.3% |
| E | 13.9% | 15.6% |
| Socioeconomic status (binary variable) | ABC1ab | 14% | 13.3% |
| C2-C3 | 40.3% | 38.8% |
| D-E | 45.7% | 47.9% |
| Rights violation (% Yes) | 36.9% | 49.8% |
| Know my rights (% Know very well + Have some idea) | 64.4% | 61.9% |
| Know where to turn (% Know very well + Have some idea) | 58.7% | 54.4% |
| Expectation of just outcome (% Totally just + somewhat just) | 71.7% | 65.1% |
| Experience with courts (% Yes) | 35.7% | 32.5% |
| Experience with state institutions (% Yes) | 23.9% | 22.7% |
| Participation in informative sessions (% Yes) | 26.3% | 24.1% |
| Participation in protests (% Yes) | 17.5% | 15.1% |
| Gender (% Female) | 50.9% | 52.2% |
| Belong to indigenous group (% Yes) | 11.3% | 10.9% |
| Age | % 18-29 years old | 10.5% | 9.8% |
| % 30-59 years old | 63.6% | 61.4% |
| % 60 years old and older | 25.9% | 28.8% |

**Appendix 3: Predicted probabilities (and 95% Confidence Interval) based on models shown in Table 3**

|  |
| --- |
| **Predictive margins: Discrimination at a public hospital** |
|  |  | **Model 1: SES as 3 categories** | **Model 2: SES as 5 categories** |
|   |   | Margin | 95% CI | Margin | 95% CI |
| Know where to turn | Little idea/don´t know | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.25 |
| Some idea/know very well | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.34 | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.34 |
| Expectation of outcome | Totally/somehow unjust | 0.31 | 0.24 | 0.38 | 0.31 | 0.24 | 0.38 |
| Totally/somehow just | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.26 |
| Participation in protests | No | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.27 |
| Yes | 0.36 | 0.27 | 0.46 | 0.36 | 0.27 | 0.46 |
| Participation in informative sessions | No | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.31 |
| Yes | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.24 |
| Age | 18-29  | 0.32 | 0.19 | 0.43 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.43 |
| 30-59 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.30 |
| 60 and older | 0.18 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.24 |
| SES (3 categories) | E-D | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.31 |   |
| C2-C3 | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.28 |
| ABC1ab | 0.29 | 0.20 | 0.39 |
| SES (5 categories) | E |   | 0.27 | 0.17 | 0.37 |
| D | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.31 |
| C3 | 0.18 | 0.11 | 0.25 |
| C2 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.36 |
| ABC1ab | 0.30 | 0.21 | 0.39 |

**Appendix 4: Predicted probabilities (and 95% Confidence Interval) by socioeconomic level in model 1 and 2**

**Appendix 5**

**Predicted probabilities (and 95% Confidence Interval) by participation in protests over socioeconomic level in model 1**



**Predicted probabilities (and 95% Confidence Interval) by self-reported knowledge of where to turn over socioeconomic level in model 1**

****

**Predicted probabilities (and 95% Confidence Interval) by participation in protests over socioeconomic level in model 2**

****

**Predicted probabilities (and 95% Confidence Interval) by participation in protests and self-reported knowledge of where to turn over socioeconomic level in model 2**



**Appendix 6: Predicted probabilities (and 95% Confidence Interval) in models shown in Table 4**

|  |
| --- |
| **Predictive margins: Physical abuse by the police** |
|  |  | **Model 3: SES as 3 categories** | **Model 4: SES as 5 categories** |
|  |  | **Margin** | **95% CI** | **Margin** | **95% CI** |
| Know where to turn | Little idea/don't know | 0.274 | 0.209 | 0.339 | 0.275 | 0.209 | 0.341 |
| Some idea/know very well | 0.395 | 0.332 | 0.459 | 0.394 | 0.330 | 0.457 |
| Participation in protests | No | 0.324 | 0.281 | 0.366 | 0.323 | 0.281 | 0.366 |
| Yes | 0.453 | 0.347 | 0.558 | 0.454 | 0.348 | 0.559 |
| SES (binary) | E-D | 0.355 | 0.295 | 0.415 |   |
| C2-C3 | 0.310 | 0.249 | 0.371 |
| ABC1ab | 0.374 | 0.267 | 0.482 |
| SES II (5 categories) | E |   | 0.326 | 0.223 | 0.428 |
| D | 0.369 | 0.296 | 0.443 |
| C3 | 0.338 | 0.252 | 0.424 |
| C2 | 0.282 | 0.197 | 0.367 |
| ABC1ab | 0.374 | 0.267 | 0.481 |

**Appendix 7: Predicted probabilities (and 95% Confidence Interval) by socioeconomic level in model 3 and 4**

**Appendix 8:**

**Predicted probabilities (and 95% Confidence Interval) by participation in protests over socioeconomic level in model 3**



**Predicted probabilities (and 95% Confidence Interval) by self-reported knowledge of where to turn over socioeconomic level in model 3**



**Predicted probabilities (and 95% Confidence Interval) by participation in protests over socioeconomic level in model 4**
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**Predicted probabilities (and 95% Confidence Interval) by self-reported knowledge of where to turn over socioeconomic level in model 4**

****