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Appendix 1: Balance of potential covariates across frame treatments

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Land and Pro-democracy Protest Frame | Land Protest Frame | Pro-democracy Protest Frame | Test |
| Gender |  |  |  | = 2.356 |
| * Men | 56.3% | 51% | 54.8% |  |
| * Women | 43.7% | 49% | 45.2% |  |
| Residence |  |  |  | = 0.381 |
| * Big cities | 59.1% | 59.5% | 61.1% |  |
| * Others | 40.9% | 40.5% | 38.9% |  |
| Education Level | 3.957 | 3.899 | 3.951 | F=0.188 |
|  | (1.434) | (1.495) | (1.401) |  |
| Party membership |  |  |  | = 1.054 |
| * No | 76.9% | 78.9% | 75.9% |  |
| * Yes | 23.1% | 21.1% | 24.% |  |
| Income Level | 5.368 | 5.436 | 5.365 | F=0.248 |
|  | (1.568) | (1.538) | (1.652) |  |
| Agriculture Involvement |  |  |  | = 1.274 |
| * Yes | 67.3% | 68% | 70.7% |  |
| * No | 32.7% | 32% | 29.3% |  |
| Risk Acceptance | 4.211 | 4.268 | 4.234 | F=0.171 |
|  | (1.372) | (1.383) | (1.378) |  |
| Economic Perception | 4.157 | 4.235 | 4.185 | F=0.757 |
|  | (0.918) | (0.839) | (0.904) |  |
| Interest in Politics | 1.995 | 1.933 | 1.911 | F=1.125 |
|  | (0.835) | (0.823) | (0.817) |  |
| National Pride | 5.44 | 5.421 | 5.28 | F=2.583\* |
|  | (0995) | (1.116) | (1.204) |  |
| Government Trust | 4.921 | 4.932 | 4.943 | F = 0.0042 |
|  | (1.128) | (1.063) | (1.063) |  |
| Age | 30.224 | 30.911 | 30.059 | F=1.08 |
|  | (9.028) | (8.599) | (8.241) |  |
| Observations | 394 | 388 | 427 | 1209 |

Note: This table shows the means of the different covariates according to whether they receive the land-democracy coalition-based frame, the land protest frame, or the pro-democracy protest frame.

Appendix 2: Distribution of respondents recalling the hypothetical province
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**Appendix 3: Effect of protest frames among respondents reading the land frame first in the coalition**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| VARIABLES | DEPENDENT VARIABLES | |
|  | Protest Support | Protest Justified |
| Land and pro-democracy frame | 0.121  (0.119) | 0.073  (0.114) |
| Pro-democracy frame | -0.413\*\*\* | -0.509\*\*\* |
|  | (0.099) | (0.095) |
| Constant | 3.747\*\*\* | 3.979\*\*\* |
|  | (0.071) | (0.068) |
| Observations | 997 | 997 |
| R -squared | 0.026 | 0.036 |

**Appendix 4: Effect of protest frames among respondents reading the pro-democracy frame first in the coalition**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| VARIABLES | DEPENDENT VARIABLES | |
|  | Protest Support | Protest Justified |
| Land and pro-democracy frame | -0.181  (0.127) | -0.188  (0.122) |
| Pro-democracy frame | -0.413\*\*\* | -0.509\*\*\* |
|  | (0.099) | (0.095) |
| Constant | 3.747\*\*\* | 3.979\*\*\* |
|  | (0.072) | (0.068) |
| Observations | 997 | 997 |
| R -squared | 0.026 | 0.036 |

**Appendix 5: Effect of protest frames on protest support using an averaged index for support**
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**Appendix 6:** **Results of Protest Frames on Support for Protests; Feeling that Protests are Justified with Covariates**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| VARIABLES | **Protest Support** | **Protest Justified** |
| Land and Prodemocracy Frame | -0.032 | -0.055 |
|  | (0.101) | (0.097) |
| Prodemocracy Frame | -0.441\*\*\* | -0.528\*\*\* |
|  | (0.099) | (0.095) |
| Women | -0.013 | -0.001 |
|  | (0.082) | (0.079) |
| Age | -0.002 | -0.004 |
|  | (0.005) | (0.005) |
| Major cities | 0.145 | 0.108 |
|  | (0.093) | (0.089) |
| Education Level | -0.001 | 0.007 |
|  | (0.034) | (0.032) |
| Income Level | -0.045 | -0.001 |
|  | (0.029) | (0.028) |
| Party Membership | 0.090 | 0.076 |
|  | (0.099) | (0.095) |
| Agricultural Involvement | -0.023 | 0.053 |
|  | (0.090) | (0.086) |
| Risk Acceptance | 0.057\* | 0.056\* |
|  | (0.032) | (0.030) |
| Economic Perception | 0.093\* | 0.105\*\* |
|  | (0.050) | (0.048) |
| National Pride | 0.064 | 0.031 |
|  | (0.041) | (0.040) |
| Low Government Trust | 0.469\*\*\* | 0.501\*\*\* |
|  | (0.136) | (0.131) |
| Constant | 3.144\*\*\* | 3.123\*\*\* |
|  | (0.410) | (0.395) |
| Observations | 1,192 | 1,192 |
| R-squared | 0.043 | 0.050 |

Notes: Model is OLS with robust standard errors in parentheses. Each model includes controls listed in Table 2. Land and prodemocracy frame, and prodemocracy frame are compared to the land protest frame. \*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1. Protest support is a six-point variable ranging from 1 (strongly oppose) to 6 (strongly support). Protest justified is a six-point variable ranging from 1 (strongly unjustified) to 6 (strongly justified).

**Appendix 7: Effect of protest frame on protest support, dropping those who failed to pass the manipulation check**
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Note: This figure shows the means of support for the hypothetical protests (95% CI)

Chart, line chart
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Note: This figure shows the means of the perceived justification of the hypothetical protests (95% CI)

**Appendix 8:** **Results of Potential Heterogeneous Effects**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| VARIABLES | **Protest Support** | **Protest Justified** |
| Land and Prodemocracy Frame | -0.096 | -0.093 |
|  | (0.234) | (0.225) |
| Prodemocracy Frame | -0.576\*\* | -0.803\*\*\* |
|  | (0.160) | (0.153) |
| Major city | 0.236 | 0.113 |
|  | (0.165) | (0.158) |
| College Degree | -0.015 | 0.040 |
|  | (0.170) | (0.163) |
| High government trust | -0.504\*\* | -0.533\*\* |
|  | (0.239) | (0.229) |
| Party member | 0.206 | 0.248 |
|  | (0.176) | (0.169) |
| Low interest in politics | 0.026 | 0.135 |
|  | (0.174) | (0.167) |
| Land and Prodemocracy frame \* Major city | -0.204 | 0.030 |
|  | (0.230) | (0.220) |
| Prodemocracy frame \* Major city | -0.076 | 0.068 |
|  | (0.228) | (0.219) |
| Land and Prodemocracy frame \* College degree | 0.139 | 0.060 |
|  | (0.231) | (0.222) |
| Prodemocracy frame \* College degree | 0.019 | 0.124 |
|  | (0.227) | (0.218) |
| Land and Prodemocracy frame \* High government trust | 0.165 | 0.274 |
|  | (0.310) | (0.297) |
| Prodemocracy frame \* High government trust | -0.030 | -0.150 |
|  | (0.307) | (0.295) |
| Land and Prodemocracy frame \* Party member | 0.039 | 0.028 |
|  | (0.247) | (0.237) |
| Prodemocracy frame \* Party member | -0.246 | - 0.389 |
|  | (0.238) | (0.228) |
| Land and Prodemocracy frame \*High interest in politics | -0.106 | -0.034 |
|  | (0.240) | (0.230) |
| Prodemocracy frame \* High interest in politics | 0.308 | 0.277 |
|  | (0.241) | (0.231) |
| OTHER CONTROL VARIABLES | YES | YES |
| Constant | 3.217\*\*\* | 3.257\*\*\* |
|  | (0.410) | (0.395) |
| Observations | 1,192 | 1,192 |
| R-squared | 0.043 | 0.050 |

**Appendix 9: Effect of protest frame, dropping party members**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| VARIABLES | **Protest Support** | **Protest Justified** |
| Land and Prodemocracy Frame | -0.008 | -0.040 |
|  | (0.112) | (0.107) |
| Prodemocracy Frame | -0.389\*\*\* | -0.442\*\*\* |
|  | (0.110) | (0.106) |
| Women | -0.013 | -0.001 |
|  | (0.082) | (0.079) |
| Age | 0.003 | 0.0001 |
|  | (0.006) | (0.006) |
| Major cities | -0.032 | -0.035 |
|  | (0.13) | (0.099) |
| No college degree | -0.084 | -0.115 |
|  | (0.108) | (0.104) |
| Income Level | -0.045 | -0.001 |
|  | (0.029) | (0.028) |
| Agricultural Involvement | -0.023 | 0.053 |
|  | (0.090) | (0.086) |
| Risk Acceptance | 0.057\* | 0.056\* |
|  | (0.032) | (0.030) |
| Economic Perception | 0.043 | 0.035 |
|  | (0.055) | (0.053) |
| National Pride | 0.027 | 0.008 |
|  | (0.047) | (0.045) |
| Low Government Trust | -0.417\*\*\* | -0.369\*\*\* |
|  | (0.148) | (0.141) |
| Constant | 3.716\*\*\* | 4.095\*\*\* |
|  | (0.382) | (0.366) |
| Observations | 920 | 920 |
| R-squared | 0.029 | 0.033 |

Appendix 10: Sensitivity analysis of mediated effects of protest frame

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Protest Support | Protest Justification |
| Chart  Description automatically generated | Chart  Description automatically generated |

Note: The dashed line represents the estimated average mediation effect for ρ = 0. The gray areas represent the 95% confidence interval for the mediation effects at each value of ρ. The solid line represents the estimated average mediation effect at different values of ρ.

**Appendix 11: Is democracy needed in Vietnam?**
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