Appendix

Working the Crowd: Election Forecasting, Sophistication, and Diversity in Canadian Federal and Provincial Elections

Philippe Mongrain, Nadjim Fréchet, Brian Thompson Collart and Yannick Dufresne

Contents

Α	Dat	a		1		
	A.1	Electio	on Results	1		
	A.2	Survey	78	2		
		A.2.1	Canadian Election Study	2		
		A.2.2	Datagotchi	2		
		A.2.3	Ipsos Canada Election Surveys	2		
		A.2.4	Local Parliament Project	3		
в	Var	iables		4		
	B.1	Depen	dent Variable	4		
		B.1.1	District-Level Forecasts	4		
	B.2	Indepe	endent Variables	4		
		B.2.1	Age	4		
		B.2.2	Boundary Changes	5		
		B.2.3	Household Income	5		
		B.2.4	Party Identification	6		
		B.2.5	Party Preference	6		
		B.2.6	Political Interest	8		
		B.2.7	Response Date	8		
		B.2.8	Sex	8		
		B.2.9	University Degree	8		
С	Vot	e Inter	ntions	10		
D	Fore	ecasts	Among Losers and Winners	16		
Е	Erre	or Mea	asures: sMAPE and MALE	20		
F	F District Diversity					
G	G List of Districts					
Re	efere	nces		30		

A. Data

A.1. Election Results

The necessary data to determine district-level election outcomes were gathered from the sources below.

- 2011-2014 Ontario general elections. District-level results were retrieved from Elections Ontario.
- 2011-2019 Canadian federal elections. District-level results were retrieved from Elections Canada.
- 2022 Quebec general election. District-level results were retrieved from Élections Québec.

	Table A1.	General	Overview	of the	Election	Outcomes
--	-----------	---------	----------	--------	----------	----------

Election	Needed for majority	Outcome
Ontario 2011	54/107 seats	Liberal minority. The Liberal Party was re-elected for a third consecutive time but lost its majority in the Legislative Assembly by winning only 53 seats with 37.55 per cent of the popular vote. The Conservatives came in second with 37 seats and 35.35 per cent of the vote.
Ontario 2014	54/107 seats	Liberal majority. The Liberal Party was re-elected for a fourth consecutive time regaining a majority in the Legislative Assembly by winning 58 seats with 38.67 per cent of the popular vote. The Conservatives came in second with 28 seats and 31.23 per cent of the vote.
Canada 2011	155/308 seats	Conservative majority. The Conservative Party was re-elected for a third consecutive time by winning 166 seats in the House of Commons with 39.62 per cent of the popular vote, allowing it to form a majority government. For the first time in its history, the New Democratic Party formed the Official Opposition with 103 and 30.63 per cent of the vote, while the Liberal Party only managed to win 34 seats.
Canada 2015	184/338 seats	Liberal majority. The Liberal Party defeated the incumbent Conservative Party by winning 184 seats in the House of Commons with 39.47 per cent of the popular vote, allowing it to form a majority government. The Conservatives came in second with 99 seats and 31.89 per cent of the vote.
Canada 2019	157/338 seats	Liberal minority. The Liberal Party was recelected but lost its majority in the House of Commons by winning 157 seats with 33.12 per cent of the popular vote. The Conservatives won more votes than the Liberal Party (34.34 per cent) but got less seats (i.e., 121).
Quebec 2022	63/125 seats	CAQ majority. The Coalition Avenir Quebec (CAQ) formed a majority government for the second consecutive time by winning 90 seats with 40.98 per cent of the popular vote. The Liberals came in second with 21 seats and 14.37 per cent of the vote.

A.2. Surveys

A.2.1 **Canadian Election Study**

Data, survey materials, and codebooks for the 2019 Canadian Election Study were retrieved from the Consortium on Electoral Democracy (C-Dem) Dataverse.

- 2019 Canadian Election Study Phone Survey
 - Link to survey: https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/8RHLG1
 - Performed September 10 October 20, 2019
 - Sample: $4,02\hat{1}$ respondents
- 2019 Canadian Election Study Internet Survey
 - Link to survey: https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/DUS88V
 - Performed on September 13 October 21, 2019
 - Sample: 37.822 respondents

A.2.2 Datagotchi

The Datagotchi data for the 2022 Quebec general election¹ are currently not publicly available, but a data access request can be sent at info@datagotchi.com.

- Link to survey: https://datagotchi.com/
- Performed September 6 October 2, 2022
- Sample: 65,544 respondents

A.2.3 Ipsos Canada Election Surveys

Data, survey materials, and codebooks were retrieved from the Ipsos Canada Election Surveys Dataverse.

- 2011 Canada General Election Invitation Survey
 - Link to survey: https://doi.org/10.5683/SP/VR1HZJ
 - Performed April 28 May 2, 2011
 - Sample: 50,433 respondents
- 2011 Canada General Election Exit Survey
 - Link to survey: https://doi.org/10.5683/SP/BQRT4U
 Performed on May 2, 2011

 - Sample: 39,261 respondents
- 2015 Canada General Election Exit Survey
 - Link to survey: https://doi.org/10.5683/SP/05LRS7
 - Performed October 19, 2015
 - Sample: 12,137 respondents
- 2011 Ontario General Election Invitation Survey
 - Link to survey: https://doi.org/10.5683/SP/RMFEL2
 - Performed October 3-6, 2011
 - Sample: 15,304 respondents

¹Datagotchi is not a traditional election survey. It is an app primarily used to predict citizens' vote intention through various lifestyle questions. Because of the nature of the survey, respondents were presented with the following statement at the end of the questionnaire: "To ensure the scientific quality of our analyses, please indicate whether you were answering thinking of yourself or by having someone else in mind." This allowed to filter out, at least partially, respondents who used the app to run simulations.

- 2011 Ontario General Election Exit Survey
 - Link to survey: https://doi.org/10.5683/SP/GDIUDI
 Performed October 6, 2011

 - Sample: 8,893 respondents
- 2014 Ontario General Election Exit Survey
 - Link to survey: https://doi.org/10.5683/SP/26DYKB
 Performed June 12, 2014
 Sample: 8,208 respondents

A.2.4 Local Parliament Project

The Local Parliament Project 2015 Canadian Election Survey data can be found on the Harvard Dataverse.

- Link to survey: https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/DACHKP
 Pre-election wave: Performed August 26 October 18, 2015
 Post-election wave: Performed November 4 November 23, 2015
 Pre-election sample: 37,380 respondents
- Post-election sample: 11,699 respondents

B. Variables

B.1. Dependent Variable

B.1.1 District-Level Forecasts

Individual forecasting ability is coded 1 if the respondent identified the correct election outcome in his or her district and 0 otherwise. Respondents' district-level expectations were recorded by the following questions:

- [CES] 2019 Canadian federal election. (Phone Survey) In your own local riding, which party has the best chance of winning? The Liberal Party (1), the Conservative Party (2), the NDP (3), the Bloc Québécois (4), the Green Party (5), the People's Party (6), Other (7). (Internet Survey) For each of the parties below, how likely is each party to win the seat in your own local riding? The Liberal Party (1), the Conservative Party (2), the NDP (3), the Bloc Québécois (4), the Green Party (5), the People's Party (6).
- [Datagotchi] 2022 Quebec general election. In your opinion, which party has the best odds of winning in your riding? Coalition Avenir Québec (1), Parti Québécois (2), Parti Libéral du Québec (3), Québec Solidaire (4), Parti Conservateur du Québec (5), another party (6).
- [Ipsos] 2011 Canadian federal election. If you had to bet \$1000.00 of your own money, which party's candidate do you think will win in your riding during this election? The Conservative candidate (1), the Liberal candidate (2), the New Democratic Party (NDP) candidate (3), the Bloc Québécois candidate [Quebec only] (4), the Green Party candidate (5), the candidate of another party (6)? Don't know/Not sure (7), Refused (8).
- [Ipsos] 2015 Canadian federal election. If you had to bet \$1000.00 of your own money, which party's candidate do you think will win in your riding during this election? The Conservative candidate (1), the Liberal candidate (2), the New Democratic Party (NDP) candidate (3), the Bloc Québécois candidate [Quebec only] (4), the candidate of another party (5)? Don't know/refused/Not stated (6).
- [Ipsos] 2011 Ontario general election. If you had to bet \$1000.00 of your own money, which party's candidate do you think will win in your riding during this election? The Progressive Conservative candidate (1), the Liberal candidate (2), the NDP candidate (3), the candidate of another party (4)? Don't know (5), Not specified (6).
- [Ipsos] 2014 Ontario general election. If you had to bet \$1000.00 of your own money, which party's candidate do you think will win in your riding during this election? The Progressive Conservative candidate (1), the Liberal candidate (2), the NDP candidate (3), the candidate of another party (4)? Don't know (5).
- [LPP] 2015 Canadian federal election. Thinking now about where you live, how likely is each party to win your constituency? (Also known as your riding or district.) Liberal? Conservative? NDP? Bloc Québécois [Quebec only]? Green? 0 to 100 scale. Labels: 0 "No chance at all of winning your constituency"; 100 "Absolutely certain to win your constituency".

B.2. Independent Variables

B.2.1 Age

Age is a binary variable coded 0 for respondents less than 55 years old and 1 for respondents 55 and over. With the exception of the 2014 Ontario General Election Exit Survey, in which respondents were grouped in six age categories: 18–24 (1), 25–34 (2), 35–44 (3), 45–54 (4), 55–64 (5), 65 and over (6), all surveys originally measured respondents' age in years.

B.2.2 Boundary Changes

Boundary changes is a binary variable coded 0 for unchanged district boundaries and 1 for reviewed district boundaries. The 2015 Canadian federal election was the first federal election held following the electoral redistribution of 2012 (2013 Representation Order). The number of seats in the House of Commons increased from 308 to 338. A list of unchanged districts from the previous Representation Order can be found here.

B.2.3 Household Income

Respondents' household income was recorded by the following questions:

- [CES] 2019 Canadian federal election. (Phone Survey) Could you please tell me your total household income before taxes for the year 2018 to the nearest thousand dollars, including income from all sources? We don't need the exact amount; does your household income fall into one of these broad categories? No income (1), \$1 to \$30,000 (2), \$30,000 to \$60,000 (3), \$60,001 to \$90,000 (4), \$90,001 to \$110,000 (5), \$110,001 to \$150,000 (6), \$150,001 to \$200,000 (7), More than \$200,000 (8), refused (-8), don't know (-9). (Internet Survey) What was your total household income, before taxes, for the year 2018? Be sure to include income from all sources, to the nearest thousand dollars. We don't need the exact amount; does your household income fall into one of these broad categories? No income (1), \$1 to \$30,000 (2), \$30,001 to \$60,000 (4), \$90,001 to \$110,000 (5), \$110,001 to \$30,000 (2), \$30,001 to \$60,000 (3), \$60,001 to \$90,000 (4), \$90,001 to \$110,000 (5), \$110,001 to \$150,000 (6), \$150,000 (6), \$150,000 (6), \$150,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$00,000 (6), \$0
- $\begin{array}{l} & [\text{Datagotchi}] \ 2022 \ Quebec \ general \ election. \ Please \ indicate \ your \ annual \ household \ income \ before \ taxes. \\ & \text{Less than $5,000 (1), $5,000-$9,999 (2), $10,000-$14,999 (3), $15,000-$19,999 (4), $20,000-$24,999 (5), $25,000-$29,999 (6), $30,000-$34,999 (7), $35,000-$39,999 (8), $40,000-$44,999 (9), $45,000-$49,999 (10), $50,000-$54,999 (11), $55,000-$59,999 (12), $60,000-$64,999 (13), $65,000-$69,999 (14), $70,000-$74,999 (15), $75,000-$79,999 (16), $80,000-$89,999 (17), $90,000-$99,999 (18), $100,000-$124,999 (19), $125,000-$149,999 (20), $150,000-$199,999 (21), $200,000-$249,999 (22), $250,000 \ or \ more \ (23), \ prefer \ not \ to \ answer \ (24). \end{array}$
- $\begin{array}{l} \ [\mathrm{Ipsos}] \ 2011 \ Canadian \ federal \ election. \ \mathrm{Please \ indicate \ your \ annual \ household \ income \ before \ taxes. \\ \mathrm{Less \ than \ \$5,000 \ (1), \ \$5,000-\$9,999 \ (2), \ under \ \$10,000 \ (3), \ \$10,000-\$14,999 \ (4), \ \$15,000-\$19,999 \ (5), \\ \$20,000-\$24,999 \ (6), \ \$25,000-\$29,999 \ (7), \ \$30,000-\$34,999 \ (8), \ \$35,000-\$39,999 \ (9), \ \$40,000-\$44,999 \ (10), \ \$45,000-\$49,999 \ (11), \ \$50,000-\$54,999 \ (12), \ \$45,000-\$54,999 \ (13), \ \$55,000-\$59,999 \ (14), \ \$60,000-\$64,999 \ (15), \ \$65,000-\$69,999 \ (16), \ \$60,000-\$69,999 \ (17), \ \$70,000-\$74,999 \ (18), \ \$75,000-\$79,999 \ (19), \\ \$70,000-\$79,999 \ (20), \ \$80,000-\$84,999 \ (21), \ \$85,000-\$89,999 \ (22), \ \$80,000-\$99,999 \ (23), \ \$90,000-\$94,999 \ (24), \ \$95,000-\$99,999 \ (25), \ \$100,000-\$149,999 \ (26), \ \$100,000-\$124,999 \ (27), \ \$120,000-\$149,999 \ (28), \\ \$125,000-\$149,999 \ (29), \ \$150,000 \ or \ more \ (30), \ don't \ know/refused \ (31). \end{array}$
- $\begin{array}{l} \ [\mathrm{Ipsos}] \ 2015 \ Canadian \ federal \ election. \ \mathrm{Please \ indicate \ your \ annual \ household \ income \ before \ taxes. \\ \mathrm{Less \ than \ \$5,000 \ (1), \ \$5,000-\$9,999 \ (2), \ \$10,000-\$14,999 \ (3), \ \$15,000-\$19,999 \ (4), \ \$20,000-\$24,999 \ (5), \\ \$25,000-\$29,999 \ (6), \ \$30,000-\$34,999 \ (7), \ \$35,000-\$39,999 \ (8), \ \$40,000-\$44,999, \ (9) \ \$45,000-\$49,999 \ (10), \ \$50,000-\$54,999 \ (11), \ \$55,000-\$59,999 \ (12), \ \$60,000-\$64,999 \ (13), \ \$65,000-\$69,999 \ (14), \ \$70,000-\$74,999 \ (15), \ \$75,000-\$79,999 \ (16), \ \$80,000-\$89,999 \ (17), \ \$90,000-\$99,999 \ (18), \ \$100,000-\$124,999 \ (19), \\ \$125,000-\$149,999 \ (20), \ \$150,000-\$199,999 \ (21), \ \$200,000-\$249,999 \ (22), \ \$250,000 \ or \ more \ (23), \ prefer \ not \ to \ answer \ (24). \end{array}$
- [Ipsos] 2011 Ontario general election. Please indicate your annual household income before taxes. Under \$10,000 (1), \$10,000-\$14,999 (2), \$15,000-\$19,999 (3), \$20,000-\$24,999 (4), \$25,000-\$29,999 (5), \$30,000-\$34,999 (6), \$35,000-\$39,999 (7), \$40,000-\$44,999 (8), \$45,000-\$49,999 (9), \$50,000-\$54,999 (10), \$45,000-\$54,999 (11), \$55,000-\$59,999 (12), \$60,000-\$64,999 (13), \$65,000-\$69,999 (14), \$60,000-\$69,999 (15), \$70,000-\$74,999 (16), \$75,000-\$79,999 (17), \$70,000-\$79,999 (18), \$80,000-\$84,999 (19), \$85,000-\$89,999 (20), \$80,000-\$99,999 (21), \$90,000-\$94,999 (22), \$95,000-\$99,999 (23), \$100,000-\$119,999 (24), \$100,000-\$124,999 (25), \$120,000-\$149,999 (26) \$125,000-\$149,999 (27), \$150,000 or more (28), refused (29).

- [Ipsos] 2014 Ontario general election. Less than \$5,000 (1), \$5,000-\$9,999 (2), under \$10,000 (3), \$10,000-\$14,999 (4), \$15,000-\$19,999 (5), \$20,000-\$24,999 (6), \$25,000-\$29,999 (7), \$30,000-\$34,999 (8), \$35,000-\$39,999 (9), \$40,000-\$44,999 (10), \$45,000-\$49,999 (11), \$50,000-\$54,999 (12), \$45,000-\$54,999 (13), \$55,000-\$59,999 (14), \$60,000-\$64,999 (15), \$65,000-\$69,999 (16), \$60,000-\$69,999 (17), \$70,000-\$74,999 (18), \$75,000-\$79,999 (19), \$70,000-\$79,999 (20), \$80,000-\$84,999 (21), \$85,000-\$89,999 (22), \$80,000-\$99,999 (23), \$90,000-\$94,999 (24), \$95,000-\$99,999 (25), \$100,000-\$119,999 (26), \$100,000-\$124,999 (27), \$120,000-\$149,999 (28), \$125,000-\$149,999 (29), \$150,000 or more (30), don't know/refused (31).
- [LPP] 2015 Canadian federal election. What was your total household income last year? Less than \$20,000 (1), \$20,000 to \$39,999 (2), \$40,000 to \$59,999 (3), \$60,000 to \$79,999 (4), \$80,000 to \$99,999 (5), \$100,000 to \$119,999 (6), \$120,000 to \$149,999 (7), \$150,000 to \$199,999 (9), \$200,000 or more (10), don't know (8).

B.2.4 Party Identification

Party identification is used to construct an indicator of wishful thinking that ranges from 1 to 5 depending on which party the respondents identify with (i.e., no party, a losing party, or a winning party) and how strongly (i.e., not very strong, fairly strong, or very strong) they identify to that party. The party identification scale is coded as follows: strong loser party identification (1), moderate loser party identification (2), no party identification (3), moderate winner party identification (4), and strong winner party identification (5).

- [CES] 2019 Canadian federal election. (Phone Survey) In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Conservative, NDP, Bloc Québécois, Green Party, or none of these? Liberal (Grits) (1), Conservatives (Tory, PCs, Conservative Party of Canada) (2), NDP (New Democratic Party, New Democrats, NDPers) (3), Bloc Québécois (BQ, PQ, Bloc, Parti Québécois) [Quebec only] (4), Green Party (Greens) (5), People's Party (6), Other (7), None of these (8), Refused (-8), Don't know (-9). [If identify with party] How strongly [party] do you feel? Very strongly (1), Fairly strongly (2), Not very strongly (3), Refused (-8), Don't know (-9). (Internet Survey) In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a: Liberal (1), Conservative (2), NDP (3), Bloc Québécois [Quebec only] (4), Green (5), People's Party (6), Another party (7), None of these (8), Don't know/Prefer not to answer (9)? [If identify with party] How strongly [party] do you feel? Very strongly (1), Fairly strongly (2), Not very strongly (3), Don't know/Prefer not to answer (4).
- [LPP] 2015 Canadian federal election. In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a: Liberal (1), Conservative (2), New Democrat (3), Bloc Québécois (4), Green (5), None of these (6), Don't know (7)? [If identify with party] How strongly [party] do you feel? Very strongly (1), Fairly strongly (2), Not very strongly (3), Don't know (4).

B.2.5 Party Preference

The party preference of respondents was determined using their reported vote or vote intention. Respondents who voted for the winning candidate in their district were coded 1 and 0 otherwise. Respondents' reported vote/vote intention was recorded by the following questions:

[CES] 2019 Canadian federal election. (Phone Survey) [If certain or likely to vote] Which party do you think you will vote for? [If already voted] Which party did you vote for? [If unlikely to vote] If you decide to vote, which party do you think you will vote for? Liberal (Grits) (1), Conservatives (Tory, PCs, Conservative Party of Canada) (2), NDP (New Democratic Party, New Democrats, NDPers) (3), Bloc Québécois (BQ, PQ, Bloc, Parti Québécois) [Quebec only] (4), Green Party (Greens) (5), People's Party (6), Other (7), Will not vote (8), None of these (9), Will spoil ballot (10), Refused (-8), Don't know/undecided (-9). [If don't know] Is there a party you are leaning towards? Liberal (Grits) (1), Conservatives (Tory, PCs, Conservative Party of Canada) (2), NDP (New Democratic Party, New Democrats, NDPers) (3), Bloc Québécois (BQ, PQ, Bloc, Parti Québécois) [Quebec only] (4), Green Party (Greens) (5), People's Party (6), Other (7), Will not vote (8), None of these (9), NDP (New Democratic Party, New Democrats, NDPers) (3), Bloc Québécois (BQ, PQ, Bloc, Parti Québécois) [Quebec only] (4), Green Party (Greens) (5), People's Party (6), Other (7), Will not vote (8), None of these (9), Will spoil ballot (10), Green Party (Greens) (5), People's Party (6), Other (7), Will not vote (8), None of these (9), Will spoil ballot (10), Don't know (-9). (Internet Survey) [If certain or likely to vote] Which party do you think

you will vote for? [If unlikely to vote] If you decide to vote, which party do you think you will vote for? [If already voted] For which party did you vote? Liberal Party (1), Conservative Party (2), NDP (3), Bloc Québécois [Quebec only] (4), Green Party (5), People's Party (6), Another party (7), Don't know/Prefer not to answer (9). [If don't know/Prefer not to answer] Is there a party you are leaning towards? Liberal Party (1), Conservative Party (2), NDP (3), Bloc Québécois [Quebec only] (4), Green Party (5), People's Party (6), Another party (7), I do not intend to vote (8), Don't know/Prefer not to answer (9).

- [Datagotchi] 2022 Quebec general election. According to our analysis,² the party you intend to vote for is: [party]. Is it accurate? Yes! (1), Not really... (2). [If "not really"] You are one of a kind! Help us improve this tool by selecting the party you intend to vote for. Coalition Avenir Québec (1), Parti Québécois (2), Parti Libéral du Québec (3), Québec Solidaire (4), the Parti Conservateur du Québec (5), Other (6), I will not vote (7).³
- [Ipsos-Invitation] 2011 Canadian federal election. [Vote intention] Thinking of how you feel right now, if the upcoming federal election were held tomorrow, which of the following parties' candidates would you, yourself, be most likely to support? The Conservative Party (1), the Liberal Party (2), the New Democratic Party (NDP) (3), the Bloc Québécois (BQ) [Quebec only] (4), the Green Party (5), or some other party (6)? Would not vote/None/Would spoil ballot (7), Don't know/Not sure (8). [If "Don't know/Not sure"] Well, which party would you say you are leaning towards? The Conservative Party (1), the Liberal Party (2), the New Democratic Party (NDP) (3), the Bloc Québécois (BQ) [Quebec only] (4), the Green Party (5), or some other party (6)? Would not vote/None/Would spoil ballot (7), Don't know/Not sure (8).
- [Ipsos-Exit] 2011 Canadian federal election. Which candidate did you vote for today? Was it your local... Conservative Party candidate (1), Liberal Party candidate (2), New Democratic Party (NDP) candidate (3), Bloc Québécois candidate [Quebec only] (4), Green Party candidate (5), a candidate from another party/Independent (6)? Spoiled ballot (7), Don't know/Refused (8).
- [Ipsos-Exit] 2015 Canadian federal election. Which candidate did you vote for today? Was it your local... Conservative Party candidate (1), Liberal Party candidate (2), New Democratic Party (NDP) candidate (3), Bloc Québécois candidate [Quebec only] (4), Green Party candidate (5), a candidate from another party/Independent (6)? Spoiled ballot (7), Don't know/Refused (8), Not stated (9).
- [Ipsos-Invitation] 2011 Ontario general election. [If already voted] Which candidate did you vote for today? Was it your local... Progressive Conservative Party candidate (1), Liberal Party candidate (2), New Democratic Party (NDP) candidate (3), Green Party candidate (4), a candidate from another party/Independent (5)? Spoiled ballot (6), Don't know/Refused (7). [Vote intention] Thinking of how you feel right now, if a provincial election were held tomorrow, which of the following parties' candidates would you, yourself, be most likely to support? The Ontario Progressive Conservative Party (PC), led by Tim Hudak (1), the Ontario Liberal Party, led by Dalton McGuinty (2), the Ontario New Democratic Party (NDP), led by Andrea Horwath (3), the Green Party (4), other (5)? Would not vote" or "Don't know/Not sure"] Well, which party would you say you would lean towards? The Ontario Progressive Conservative Party (PC), led by Tim Hudak (1), the Ontario Liberal Party, led by Dalton McGuinty (2), the Ontario Progressive Conservative Party (PC), led by Tim Hudak (1), the Ontario Liberal Party, led by Dalton McGuinty (2), the Ontario Yote" or "Don't know/Not sure"] Well, which party would you say you would lean towards? The Ontario Progressive Conservative Party (PC), led by Tim Hudak (1), the Ontario Liberal Party, led by Dalton McGuinty (2), the Ontario New Democratic Party (NDP), led by Andrea Horwath (3), the Green Party (4), other (5)? Would not vote/None/Would spoil ballot (6), Don't know/Not sure (7), Refused (8).
- [Ipsos-Exit] 2011 Ontario general election. Which candidate did you vote for? Was it your local...
 Progressive Conservative Party candidate (1), Liberal Party candidate (2), New Democratic Party (NDP) candidate (3), Green Party candidate (4), a candidate from another party/Independent (5)? Spoiled ballot (6), Don't know/Refused (7), Not specified (8).

 $^{^{2}}$ Respondents' vote intention was first predicted by the app based on their answers to various lifestyle questions.

³Respondents who answered "not really" could then choose the party they intended to vote for, but did not have the possibility to choose the party that was incorrectly predicted by the app. For example, if the app predicted that the respondent would vote for the Coalition Avenir Québec and the respondent flagged this answer as wrong, they could not indicate the Coalition Avenir Québec as the party they intended to vote for afterwards.

- [Ipsos-Exit] 2014 Ontario general election. Which candidate did you vote for? Was it your local... Progressive Conservative Party candidate (1), Liberal Party candidate (2), New Democratic Party (NDP) candidate (3), a candidate from another party/Independent (4)? Spoiled ballot (5), Don't know/Refused (6).
- [LPP] 2015 Canadian federal election. [If already voted] For which party did you vote? The Liberal Party (1), the Conservative Party, (2) the New Democratic Party (3), the Bloc Québécois [Quebec only] (4), the Green Party (5), Prefer not to say (6). [Vote intention] The Liberal Party (1), the Conservative Party (2), the New Democratic Party (3) the Bloc Québécois [Quebec only] (4), the Green Party (5), Undecided (6), Don't know (7).

B.2.6 Political Interest

Respondents' political interest was measured on a 0–10 scale. Both general political interest and election interest were measured in the 2019 CES, while only general political interest was measured in the 2015 LPP. For CES data, the election interest item was favoured over the general interest item.

- [CES] (Phone Survey) Using a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means no interest at all and 10 means a great deal of interest, how interested are you in this federal election? (Internet Survey) How interested are you in this federal election? Set the slider to a number from 0 to 10, where 0 means no interest at all, and 10 means a great deal of interest.
- [LPP] Some people are very interested in politics and pay a lot of attention to it. How about you? On a scale of 0-10, where 0 means you are not interested at all in politics and 10 means you are very interested, how interested are you?

B.2.7 Response Date

Number of days between interview date and election day.

B.2.8 Sex

Sex is a binary variable coded 0 for female respondents and 1 for male respondents.

B.2.9 University Degree

University degree is a binary variable coded 0 for respondents without a university degree and 1 for respondents with a university degree (bachelor or higher). Respondents' level of education was recorded by the following questions:

- [CES] 2019 Canadian federal election. What is the highest level of education that you have completed? No schooling (1), Some elementary school (2), Completed elementary school (3), Some secondary/High school (4), Completed secondary/High school (5), Some technical, community college, CEGEP, College Classique (6), Completed technical, community college, CEGEP, College Classique (7), Some university (8), Bachelor's degree (9), Master's degree (10), Professional degree or doctorate (11), Refused (-8), Don't know/Prefer not to answer (-9/12).
- [Datagotchi] 2022 Quebec general election. What is the highest level of education that you have completed? No schooling/Primary school/High school (1), Collège, CEGEP or Collège classique (2), Bachelor/Master's/Doctorate (3)?
- [Ipsos] 2011 Canadian federal election. What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed? Primary school or less (1), Some high school (2), High school (3), Some Community College/CEGEP/Trade School (4), Community College/CEGEP/Trade School (5), Some university (6), University undergraduate degree (7), University graduate degree (8)? Not specified (9).

- [Ipsos] 2015 Canadian federal election. What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed? Primary school or less (1), Some high school (2), High school (3), Some Community College/CEGEP/Trade School (4), Community College/CEGEP/Trade School (5), Some university (6), University undergraduate degree (7), University graduate degree (8)?
- [Ipsos] 2011 Ontario general election. What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed? Primary school or less (1), Some high school (2), High school (3), Some Community College/CEGEP/Trade School (4), Community College/CEGEP/Trade School (5), Some university (6), University undergraduate degree (7), University graduate degree (8)? Don't know/Refused (9).
- [Ipsos] 2014 Ontario general election.⁴ What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed? Primary school or less (1), Some high school (2), High school (3), Some Community College/CEGEP/Trade School (4), Community College/CEGEP/Trade School (5), Some university (6), University undergraduate degree (7), University graduate degree (8)?
- [LPP] 2015 Canadian federal election. What is your highest level of education? Some high school (1), High school diploma (2), College or technical degree (3) Some university (4), Bachelor's degree (5), Master's degree (6), Professional degree (7), Doctorate (8)?

⁴The education variable in the 2014 Ontario General Election Exit Survey dataset is condensed into four categories: less than high school (1), high school (2), some postsecondary education (3), university graduate (4).



Figure C1. Voting Intention, 2011 Canadian Federal Election

Note. Data from Mongrain and Pickup (2020). The dotted lines show the survey interview period.



Figure C2. Voting Intention, 2015 Canadian Federal Election

Note. Data from Mongrain and Pickup (2020). The dotted lines show the survey interview period.



Figure C3. Voting Intention, 2019 Canadian Federal Election

Note. Data from Mongrain and Pickup (2020). The dotted lines show the survey interview period.



Figure C4. Voting Intention, 2011 Ontario General Election

Note. Data from the 2011 Ontario general election Wikipedia web page. The dotted lines show the survey interview period.



Figure C5. Voting Intention, 2014 Ontario General Election

Note. Data from the 2014 Ontario general election Wikipedia web page. The dotted line shows the survey interview period (exit poll only).



Figure C6. Voting Intention, 2022 Quebec General Election

Note. Data from the 2022 Quebec general election Wikipedia web page. The dotted lines show the survey interview period.

D. Forecasts Among Losers and Winners

As mentioned in the paper, there is a clear association between preferences and expectations. Therefore, individuals on the winning side tend to provide more accurate forecasts than those on the losing side as many of them are favourably biased toward the winning candidate or party. This can be seen in Figure D1: the more people intend to vote for the winner in a district, the greater the percentage of correct forecasts within that district. Note that the correlation between the two variables is weakest in the 2022 Quebec election (and would be even weaker if outliers were removed).

Figure D1. Scatterplot of Percentage of Correct Forecasts Within District vs Percentage of Winners



Figure D2 shows the distribution of respondents according to their loser/winner status within districts for each election. The large difference in the shape of the distribution for the 2022 Quebec election compared to the other elections is due to the considerable over-representation of Québec Solidaire supporters in the Datagotchi sample (i.e., they represent almost 50 percent of the sample, but only 15.4 of voters in the 2022 election). Although the over-representation of QS voters could be seen as a problem, for the purpose of our study, it is in fact an interesting feature. The patterns observed in other elections (in terms of sample sizes, aggregation, and regression results) are also present in the case of the 2022 Quebec election despite the greater percentage of "losers" in that election across and within districts. This might translate the fact that the outcome of the last general election in Quebec was seen by many as highly predictable (with the CAQ largely dominating vote intention polls throughout the campaign). Therefore, even those who supported a losing candidate could hardly ignore the CAQ's significant lead, which also explains the relatively low correlation observed in Figure D1.

Although winners do not represent a majority of respondents in most districts, they tend to be a plurality. In almost 72 percent of the district elections covered by our study (i.e., 944 out of 1,318), a plurality of respondents intended to vote for the winning party (see Table D1). Since the accuracy of group forecasts is assessed using a plurality rule, the high number of correctly predicted districts in the aggregate casts a new light on our results. It might be that group forecasts outperform individual ones since those favourably biased toward the winner usually form the largest subgroup within districts or that the increase in accuracy produced by random draws of successively larger sample sizes is mainly driven by the partian biases of winners.

If we look at respondents on the losing side separately, we generally find much lower rates of success at the individual level, but also at the aggregate level, although there is a positive and substantial gap between individual- and district-level forecasts. This is shown in Figure D3. In only two elections (i.e., Ontario



Figure D2. Distribution of the Percentage of Winners Within Districts According to Vote Intention

Table D1. Percentage of Districts With a Plurality of Winners

Election	Plurality of Winners (%)
Canada 2011	84.74
Canada 2015	72.54
Canada 2019	78.11
Ontario 2011	73.58
Ontario 2014	80.19
Quebec 2022	10.40
All	71.62

Note. Excluding districts in which there is a tie in the percentage of respondents supporting the winning candidate and the percentage of respondents supporting a losing candidate.

2014 and Quebec 2022) do a majority of respondents (who intended to vote for one of the losing candidates) correctly predicted the outcome in their district. Once expectations are aggregated, more than half of district races are correctly predicted in all cases, with the exception of the 2015 federal election (where only 47.2 percent of district-level outcomes were correctly predicted). Figure D4 shows the accuracy of forecasts made by respondents on the winning side only. The success rate is much higher at the individual level (sometimes nearing or even reaching 100 percent), but also at the aggregate level, than it is for losers. One could say that the partisan biases of winners are needed to partially "compensate" for the partisan biases of losers.

We also provide results from random draws of successively larger sample sizes within districts among all respondents, losers only, and winners only in Figure D5. Since we draw respondents' electoral expectations from districts with at least 60 respondents, we pooled all elections together as the threshold of 60 respondents led to a considerable reduction in the number of available district races in some elections when only losers or winners were selected. Although there is a clear difference between the aggregated forecasts of losers and winners, we nonetheless observe clear improvements in collective accuracy as within-district samples increase in size in both groups. As for the entire sample, the rate of improvement considerably weakens passed approximately 10–15 respondents in both groups.



Figure D3. Accuracy of Individual- and District-Level Forecasts, Losers Only

Note. Semi-transparent bars display the percentage of correct forecasts for the entire sample (i.e., respondents on the winning and losing sides), while solid bars show the results for respondents on the losing side only (i.e., for district-level forecasts, only the expectations of losers were used).



Figure D4. Accuracy of Individual- and District-Level Forecasts, Winners Only

Note. Semi-transparent bars display the percentage of correct forecasts for the entire sample (i.e., respondents on the winning and losing sides), while solid bars show the results for respondents on the winning side only (i.e., for district-level forecasts, only the expectations of winners were used).



Figure D5. Percentage of Correctly Predicted Districts at Varying Sample Sizes

Notes. The aggregation of respondents' expectations is based on data from 1,177 districts for all respondents, 646 districts for losers, and 488 districts for winners. Loser/winner status is based on respondents' vote intention.

E. Error Measures: sMAPE and MALE

The symmetric mean absolute percentage error (sMAPE) can be defined as follows⁵:

$$sMAPE = \frac{100}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|y_i - \hat{y}_i|}{|y_i| + |\hat{y}_i|} \tag{1}$$

where y_i is the observed value, \hat{y}_i is the forecasted value, and n is the number of cases.

This measure has the advantage of being able to deal with forecasted are actual values equal to 0 (which can lead other measures to break down). However, the sMAPE slightly overestimates negative percentage errors, while it slightly underestimates positive percentage errors. Furthermore, when the forecasted or actual value is 0, the sMAPE will always be equal to the upper-limit of error (i.e., 100), which means that a one-seat forecast when the actual number of seats is 0 will produce a larger error than a one-seat error if the actual number of seats is greater than 0.

The log error or natural logarithm of the accuracy ratio (i.e., the ratio between the forecasted and observed values) has been described as a superior alternative to the sMAPE and other metrics since it weighs underestimates and overestimates equally (Tofallis, 2015), although it cannot deal with 0 values as ln(0) is undefined. The mean absolute log error (MALE) can be defined as follows:

$$MALE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |ln(\frac{\hat{y}_i}{y_i})|$$
(2)

 $^{^{5}}$ To ease interpretation, we use a slightly modified version of the original equation that ensures that the sMAPE value will always be between 0% and 100% (rather than between 0% and 200%).

F. District Diversity

The decision to measure diversity among survey respondents rather than the entire electorate or population of a district was motivated by the fact that diversity is conceptualized as a property of the group of forecasters rather than that of their immediate environment (furthermore, some diversity characteristics—such as diversity in response dates or in political interest—could only be measured using data from the survey samples). However, diversity can also be measured as a property of the district rather than as a property of the respondents. Therefore, we collected the appropriate data from the censuses closest to each election in order to measure sociological diversity within each constituency (for the 2022 Quebec general election, we used the semi-custom profiles of electoral districts distributed by Elections Quebec). Using census data gave us the opportunity to add additional indicators of sociological diversity, namely the unemployment rate and the percentage of immigrants and visible minorities within a district. These measures were added to the multilevel random effects logistic regression models as level-2 variables. The results are presented in Table F1 below. As can be seen, there are no clear pattern regarding the influence of diversity (when measured as a property of respondents' social environment).

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			I.	Vote & E	Education			II. PID &	z Interest
Partisan preference Vote for winner 1.89*** 2.17*** 2.09*** 1.72*** No Filo 0.64 0.64 0.64 0.64 0.64 0.64 0.29*** 0.10* Sophistication 0.37** 0.68*** 0.29*** 0.10** Sociodemographies Male 0.25*** 0.14*** 0.05 0.29*** 0.10** No PID Male 0.29*** 0.10** Note University 0.16*** 0.05 0.24*** 0.34 0.09*** Note Vinterest -0.05 0.44** 0.43** 0.44** 0.44** 0.42** 0.09**** No FID< Interest		CA 11	CA 15	CA 19	ON 11	ON 14	QC 22	CA 15	CA 19
	Partisan preference								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Voted for winner	1.89^{***}	2.17^{***}	2.09^{***}	1.76^{***}	2.02^{***}	1.72^{***}		
	Party ID								
No PTD Winner PTD 0.64 0.08 Sophistication University degree 0.33*** 0.34** 0.44*** 0.39*** 0.37** 0.66*** 0.24*** 0.29*** Male 0.25*** 0.14*** 0.10*** 0.15*** 0.08 0.13*** 0.24*** 0.24*** 0.24*** 0.24*** 0.24*** 0.24*** 0.24*** 0.39*** Sociodemographics 0.01*** 0.11*** 0.11*** 0.40*** 0.22*** 0.03 0.31*** 0.39*** High interest 0.03*** 0.11*** 0.11*** 0.11*** 0.16*** 0.24*** 0.03 0.31*** 0.39*** Interaction 0.03*** -0.16* -0.47** -0.72** -0.57*** 0.49**** No PDD × Interest - - 0.36** -0.55 0.64 0.00 - Education 0.33** 0.28* -0.57 0.69 -0.14 0.69 Sociological diversity - - 0.20 0.24 0.89	Loser PID (R)								
Winner PID 2.59^{hrst} 1.19^{hrst} Sophistication 0.33^{**} 0.34^{**} 0.44^{***} 0.37^{**} 0.68^{***} 0.24^{**} 0.29^{***} Male 0.25^{***} 0.14^{***} 0.07^{**} 0.08^{***} 0.13^{***} 0.08^{***} 0.13^{***} 0.02^{***} 0.10^{**} Sociodemographics 0.09^{***} 0.17^{***} 0.05^{***} 0.05^{***} 0.05^{***} 0.05^{***} 0.03^{***} 0.15^{***} Interaction -0.09^{***} 0.17^{***} 0.16^{***} -0.72^{**} -0.77^{***} -0.09^{**} 0.15^{***} Informational diversity -0.33^{***} -0.16^{*} -0.47^{**} -0.14^{*} 0.4^{**} 0.89^{**} Vote choice -0.86^{**} -0.75^{*} 0.82^{*} -0.55^{*} 0.64^{*} 0.00^{**} Education 0.33 -0.28^{*} 0.55^{*} 0.64^{*} 0.44^{*} 0.64^{*} Sex -0.20^{*} -0.21^{*} 0.38^{*} 0.60^{*}	No PID							0.64	0.08
Sophistication University degree0.33***0.34***0.44***0.39***0.37**0.68***0.24***0.29***0.29***High interestMale0.25***0.11***0.11***0.10***0.15***0.080.13***0.24***0.29***0.10**Sociodemographics0.11***0.11***0.11***0.10***0.15***0.080.13***0.24***0.24***0.23***0.33***0.33***0.10***Sociodemographics0.09***0.11***0.11***0.16***0.28***0.030.24***0.24***0.330.15***0.11***Informatione0.09***0.16***0.47***0.72***0.02***0.030.31***0.15***0.24***0.030.15***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.12***0.28***0.02***0.020.24***0.24***0.330.15***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.11***0.12***0.02***0.02***0.02***0.02***0.03***0.33***0.33***0.33***0.33***0.330.120.11***0.12***0.11***0.11***0.12***0.11***0.11***0.12***0.11***0.12***0.11***0.12***0.12***0.12***0.11***	Winner PID							2.59^{***}	1.19^{***}
	Sophistication								
High interest 0.23 0.84 0.23 Sociodemographics 0.13^{***} 0.13^{***} 0.01^{***} 0.15^{***} 0.08 0.13^{***} -0.29^{***} 0.10^{**} Sociodemographics 0.11^{****} 0.17^{***} 0.05^{***} 0.22^{***} 0.03 0.31^{***} 0.24^{***} 0.39^{***} High income 0.09^{***} 0.15^{***} 0.28^{***} 0.03 0.31^{***} 0.15^{***} Interaction $Vot \in V$ inversity -0.33^{***} -0.16 -0.47^{**} -0.72^{**} -0.57^{***} -0.09 0.77^{***} Informational diversity -0.33^{**} -0.75 0.82^{**} -0.55 0.64 0.00 0.20 0.24^{**} 0.89 0.14^{***} 0.47^{**} 0.20 0.20 0.24^{**} 0.20 0.24^{**} 0.20 0.24^{**} 0.23 0.24^{**} 0.24^{**} 0.24^{**} 0.44 0.44 0.44 0.44 0.44 0.44 0.44 0.44 0.44 0.44 0.44 0.44 0.44 0.44	University degree	0.33^{***}	0.34^{**}	0.44^{***}	0.39^{***}	0.37^{*}	0.68^{***}	0.24^{**}	0.29^{***}
Sociodemographics Male 0.25*** 0.14*** 0.10*** 0.08 0.13*** 0.29*** 0.13*** 0.24*** 0.39*** High income 0.09*** 0.11*** 0.15*** 0.16*** 0.28*** 0.24*** 0.24*** 0.39*** Interaction	High interest							0.84	0.23
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sociodemographics								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Male	0.25^{***}	0.14^{***}	0.10^{**}	0.15^{***}	0.08	0.13^{***}	-0.29^{***}	0.10^{**}
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	55 years and over	0.11^{***}	0.11^{***}	0.40^{***}	0.22^{***}	-0.05	0.24^{***}	0.24^{**}	0.39^{***}
	High income	0.09^{***}	0.17^{***}	0.15^{***}	0.16^{***}	0.28^{***}	0.03	0.31^{***}	0.15^{***}
Vote \times University -0.33^{***} -0.16 -0.47^{***} -0.72^{**} -0.57^{***} No PID \times Interest	Interaction								
No PID × Interest Winner PID × Interest 0.34 0.98*** Winner PID × Interest Informational diversity -0.09 0.77*** Vote choice -0.86^{**} -0.75 0.82^* -0.55 0.64 0.00 Education 0.33 -0.28 0.65 -0.37 0.89 -0.14 0.47 0.89 Political interest 0.20 0.24 0.20 0.24 0.20 0.24 Response date -1.29^{***} 1.48 0.48 -0.44 0.64 Sociological diversity $Scionological diversity$ 0.50^{***} 0.29 0.30^{**} 0.60 0.77^{**} 0.48 -0.44 0.64 Labour 0.06 0.74 0.29 -0.33 0.20 2.02 0.40 Income -0.95^{***} 0.29 0.50^{***} 1.38 -0.13 0.15 -0.54^{**} Inmigrants 0.44 2.83^{***} 0.81^{**} 0.59^{***} 0.66^{***} 0.57^{***} 0.66^{***} 0.57^{***} 0.66^{****} 0.57^{***} $0.66^{$	Vote \times University	-0.33^{***}	-0.16	-0.46^{***}	-0.47^{**}	-0.72^{**}	-0.57^{***}		
Winner PID × Interest -0.09 0.77^{***} Informational diversity 0.33 -0.75 0.82^* -0.55 0.64 0.00 Education 0.33 -0.28 0.65 -0.37 0.89 -0.14 0.47 0.89 Political interest 0.20 0.24 0.64 0.64 0.64 0.64 0.64 Sex -0.20 -0.21 0.38 -0.57 1.17^* -0.01 -0.78 0.49 Age 0.16 0.74 0.29 -1.30^* -0.33 0.20 2.02 0.40 Income -0.95^{***} -0.29 0.05 0.97^* 0.60 -0.76^* -1.46 0.15 Labour 0.06 -0.16 -0.31 -1.47^{***} 1.38 0.13 -1.6^* 0.77^{***} 0.74^* Visible minorities -0.32 -1.99^{***} 0.68^* -1.08 0.29 2.85^* -0.74^* Margin of victory (z) 0.30^{***} 0.53^{****} 0.66^{***} 0.50^{***	No PID \times Interest							0.34	0.98^{***}
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Winner PID \times Interest							-0.09	0.77^{***}
Vote choice -0.86^{**} -0.75 0.82^{*} -0.55 0.64 0.00 Education 0.33 -0.28 0.65 -0.37 0.89 -0.14 0.47 0.89 Political interest 0.20 0.24 Response date 0.20 0.24 Sociological diversity 0.66 0.74 0.29 -1.30^{*} -0.01 -0.78 0.49 Age 0.16 0.74 0.29 -1.30^{*} -0.33 0.20 2.02 0.40 Income -0.95^{***} -0.29 0.05 0.97^{*} 0.60 -0.70^{*} -1.46 0.15 Labour 0.06 -0.11^{***} 1.38 -0.13 0.15 -0.54^{***} Immigrants 0.44 2.83^{***} -0.81^{***} 0.36^{****} 0.29^{***} 0.66^{****} 0.57^{****} 0.66^{****} 0.57^{****} 0.66^{****} 0.57^{****} 0.66^{****} 0.56^{****} 0.66^{****} 0.56^{****} </td <td>Informational diversity</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Informational diversity								
Education 0.33 -0.28 0.65 -0.37 0.89 -0.14 0.47 0.89 Political interest 0.20 0.24 Response date -1.29^{***} 1.48 0.48 -0.44 0.64 Sex -0.20 -0.21 0.38 -0.57 1.17^* -0.01 -0.78 0.49 Age 0.16 0.74 0.29 -1.30^* -0.33 0.20 2.02 0.40 Income -0.95^{***} -0.29 0.05 0.97^* 0.60 -0.76^* -1.46 0.15 Labour 0.06 -0.16 -0.31 -1.47^{**} 1.38 -0.13 0.15 -0.54^{**} Immigrants 0.44 2.83^{***} 0.68 -1.08 0.29 2.85^* -0.74^* Margin of victory (z) 0.30^{***} 0.56^{****} 0.36^{***} 0.52^{***} 0.60^{****} 0.56^{****} Boundary changes -0.10 -0.20 -0.20 -0.20 -0.20^* -0.20^* -0.21^*	Vote choice	-0.86^{**}	-0.75	0.82^{*}	-0.55	0.64	0.00		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Education	0.33	-0.28	0.65	-0.37	0.89	-0.14	0.47	0.89
Response date -1.29^{***} 1.48 0.48 -0.44 0.64 Sociological diversity Sex -0.20 -0.21 0.38 -0.57 1.17^* -0.01 -0.78 0.49 Age 0.16 0.74 0.29 -1.30^* -0.33 0.20 2.02 0.40 Income -0.95^{***} -0.29 0.05 0.97^* 0.60 -0.70^* -1.46 0.15 Labour 0.06 -0.16 -0.31 -1.47^{***} 1.38 -0.13 0.15 -0.54^{**} Immigrants 0.44 2.83^{***} -0.81^* 0.68 -1.08 0.29 2.85^* -0.74^* Visible minorities -0.32 -1.90^{***} 0.37 -0.58 0.23 0.18^* 0.60^{***} 0.53^{***} Margin of victory (z) 0.30^{***} 0.53^{***} 0.66^{***} 0.50^{***} 0.60^{***} 0.77^{***} 0.36^{***} 0.52^{***} 0.60^{***} 0.76^{***} Boundary changes -0.10^{**} -0.03^{**}	Political interest							0.20	0.24
Sociological diversity Sex -0.20 -0.21 0.38 -0.57 1.17^* -0.01 -0.78 0.49 Age 0.16 0.74 0.29 -1.30^* -0.33 0.20 2.02 0.40 Income -0.95^{***} -0.29 0.05 0.97^* 0.60 -0.70^* -1.46 0.15 Labour 0.06 -0.16 -0.31 -1.47^{***} 1.38 -0.13 0.15 -0.54^{**} Immigrants 0.44 2.83^{***} 0.68 -1.08 0.29 2.85^* -0.74^* Visible minorities -0.32 -1.90^{***} 0.37 -0.58 0.23 0.18 -2.10 0.53 Task difficulty Margin of victory (z) 0.30^{***} 0.50^{***} 0.36^{***} 0.52^{***} 0.60^{***} 0.60^{***} Boundary changes -0.10 -0.20^{**} 0.61^{***} 1.23^{***} 0.61^{***} -0.20^{**} Intercept 0.36 1.34 0.42	Response date		-1.29^{***}	1.48			0.48	-0.44	0.64
Sex -0.20 -0.21 0.38 -0.77 1.17^* -0.01 -0.78 0.49 Age 0.16 0.74 0.29 -1.30^* -0.33 0.20 2.02 0.40 Income -0.95^{***} -0.29 0.55 0.97^* 0.60 -0.70^* -1.46 0.15 Labour 0.06 -0.16 -0.31 -1.47^{**} 1.38 -0.13 0.15 -0.54^{**} Immigrants 0.44 2.83^{***} -0.81^* 0.66^* -1.08 0.29 2.85^* -0.74^* Visible minorities -0.32 -1.90^{***} 0.37^* -0.58 0.23 0.18^* -2.10 0.53^* Task difficulty 0.30^{***} 0.53^{****} 0.66^{****} 0.50^{****} 0.50^{****} 0.50^{****} 0.50^{****} 0.50^{****} 0.50^{****} 0.60^{****} 0.50^{****} 0.7^{****} 0.7^{****} 0.7^{****} 0.7^{****} 0.7^{****} 0.7^{****} 0.7^{****} 0.7^{****} 0.60^{*****} 0.60^{****} <	Sociological diversity								
Age0.160.740.29 -1.30^{*} -0.33 0.202.020.40Income -0.95^{***} -0.29 0.050.07*0.60 -0.70^{*} -1.46 0.15Labour0.06 -0.16 -0.31 -1.47^{**} 1.38 -0.13 0.15 -0.54^{**} Immigrants 0.44 2.83^{***} -0.81^{*} 0.68 -1.08 0.29 2.85^{*} -0.74^{*} Visible minorities -0.32 -1.90^{***} 0.37 -0.58 0.23 0.18 -2.10 0.53 Task difficulty -0.32 -1.90^{***} 0.37 -0.58 0.23 0.18 -2.10 0.53 Task difficulty -0.32 -1.90^{***} 0.66^{****} 0.50^{****} 0.52^{***} 0.60^{****} 0.56^{****} Margin of victory (z) 0.30^{***} 0.53^{***} 0.66^{****} 0.50^{****} 0.52^{***} 0.60^{****} 0.56^{****} Boundary changes -0.10 -0.77^{***} 0.74^{***} 1.23^{***} 0.57^{***} -0.06^{****} Intercept -0.62 0.46 -3.24^{***} 1.22 -4.02^{*} 0.04 -1.74 -1.90^{***} Intercept 0.36 1.34 0.42 0.27 0.85 0.17 15.01 1.10 Vote choice 0.43 2.15 0.56 0.71 2.61 0.66 0.52 1.63 No PID -1.74 -1.90^{**} -3.24^{**} 1.90^{**} 3.2	Sex	-0.20	-0.21	0.38	-0.57	1.17*	-0.01	-0.78	0.49
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Age	0.16	0.74	0.29	-1.30^{*}	-0.33	0.20	2.02	0.40
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Income	-0.95^{***}	-0.29	0.05	0.97*	0.60	-0.70^{*}	-1.46	0.15
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Labour	0.06	-0.16	-0.31	-1.47^{**}	1.38	-0.13	0.15	-0.54^{**}
Visible minorities -0.32 -1.90^{***} 0.37 -0.58 0.23 0.18 -2.10 0.53 Task difficulty Margin of victory (z) 0.30^{***} 0.53^{***} 0.50^{***} 0.36^{***} 0.52^{***} 0.60^{***} 0.50^{***} 0.60^{***} 0.60^{***} 0.56^{***} 0.70^{***} Boundary changes -0.10 -0.20 -0.20 -0.03^{**} -0.15^{***} -0.06^{***} Response date (z) -0.20^{***} -0.08^{***} -0.03^{**} -0.15^{***} -0.06^{***} Intercept -0.62 0.46 -3.24^{***} 1.22 -4.02^{*} 0.04 -1.74 -1.90^{***} Random effects Intercept 0.36 1.34 0.42 0.27 0.85 0.17 15.01 1.10 Vote choice 0.43 2.15 0.56 0.71 2.61 0.66 39.23 1.63 University degree 0.40 3.37 0.10 0.33 1.19 0.42 31.40 1.86 30.65 30.85 31.40	Immigrants	0.44	2.83***	-0.81^{*}	0.68	-1.08	0.29	2.85^{*}	-0.74^{*}
Task difficultyMargin of victory (z) 0.30^{***} 0.53^{***} 0.66^{***} 0.50^{***} 0.36^{***} 0.52^{***} 0.60^{***} 0.56^{***} Reelected 1.99^{***} 0.77^{***} 0.74^{***} 1.23^{***} 0.57^{***} 0.60^{***} 0.70^{***} Boundary changes -0.10 -0.20^{***} 0.74^{***} 1.23^{***} 0.57^{***} 0.60^{***} 0.70^{***} Response date (z) -0.20^{***} -0.08^{***} -0.03^{**} -0.15^{***} -0.06^{***} Intercept -0.62 0.46 -3.24^{***} 1.22 -4.02^{*} 0.04 -1.74 -1.90^{***} Random effectsIntercept 0.36 1.34 0.42 0.27 0.85 0.17 15.01 1.10 Vote choice 0.43 2.15 0.56 0.71 2.61 0.66 0.66 No PID 39.23 1.63 1.40 3.37 0.10 0.33 1.19 0.42 High interest 31.40 3.86 0.64 6.56 0.59 1.73 3.65 0.85 No PID \times Interest 114.07 1.03 78.88 2.60 Sample size 308 $334^{(a)}$ 338 $105^{(b)}$ $106^{(c)}$ 123 $334^{(a)}$ 338	Visible minorities	-0.32	-1.90^{***}	0.37	-0.58	0.23	0.18	-2.10	0.53
Margin of victory (z) 0.30^{+++} 0.53^{+++} 0.50^{+++} 0.50^{+++} 0.50^{+++} 0.50^{+++} 0.50^{+++} 0.50^{+++} 0.50^{+++} 0.70^{+++} Boundary changes -0.10 -0.20^{+++} 0.77^{+++} 0.74^{+++} 1.23^{+++} 0.57^{+++} 0.70^{+++} Response date (z) -0.20^{+++} -0.08^{+++} -0.03^{++} -0.15^{+++} -0.06^{+++} Intercept -0.62 0.46 -3.24^{+++} 1.22 -4.02^{+} 0.04 -1.74 -1.90^{+++} Random effectsIntercept 0.36 1.34 0.42 0.27 0.85 0.17 15.01 1.10 Vote choice 0.43 2.15 0.56 0.71 2.61 0.66 No PID 39.23 1.63 39.23 1.63 University degree 0.40 3.37 0.10 0.33 1.19 0.42 High interest 31.40 1.86 31.40 1.86 Vote \times University 0.64 6.56 0.59 1.73 3.65 0.85 No PID \times Interest 114.07 1.03 78.88 2.60 Sample sizeObservations $86,264$ $27,073$ $21,711$ $23,993$ $6,867$ $47,919$ $22,434$ $23,241$ Districts 308 $334^{(a)}$ 338 $105^{(b)}$ $106^{(c)}$ 123 $334^{(a)}$ 338	Task difficulty	0.00***	0 50***	0 00***	0 = 0***	0.04***	0 50***	0 20***	0 = 0***
Reelected1.9900 0.7400 0.7400 1.2300 0.57000 0.70000 Boundary changes -0.10 -0.20^{***} -0.08^{***} -0.03^{**} -0.15^{***} -0.06^{***} Intercept -0.62 0.46 -3.24^{***} 1.22 -4.02^{*} 0.04 -1.74 -1.90^{***} Random effectsIntercept 0.36 1.34 0.42 0.27 0.85 0.17 15.01 1.10 Vote choice 0.43 2.15 0.56 0.71 2.61 0.66 0.66 No PID 39.23 1.63 1.10 39.23 1.63 University degree 0.40 3.37 0.10 0.33 1.19 0.42 High interest 31.40 1.86 31.40 1.86 Vote \times University 0.64 6.56 0.59 1.73 3.65 0.85 No PID \times Interest 78.88 2.60 Sample size 308 $334^{(a)}$ 338 $105^{(b)}$ $106^{(c)}$ 123 $334^{(a)}$ 338	Margin of victory (z)	0.30***	0.53^{****}	0.66****	0.50***	0.36***	0.52***	0.60****	0.56****
Boundary changes -0.10 -0.20 Response date (z) -0.20^{***} -0.08^{***} -0.03^{**} -0.15^{***} -0.06^{***} Intercept -0.62 0.46 -3.24^{***} 1.22 -4.02^{*} 0.04 -1.74 -1.90^{***} Random effectsIntercept 0.36 1.34 0.42 0.27 0.85 0.17 15.01 1.10 Vote choice 0.43 2.15 0.56 0.71 2.61 0.66 0.66 No PID 39.23 1.63 1.40 3.923 1.63 University degree 0.40 3.37 0.10 0.33 1.19 0.42 High interest 31.40 1.86 3.65 0.85 114.07 1.03 Vote \times University 0.64 6.56 0.59 1.73 3.65 0.85 114.07 1.03 Winner PID \times Interest 78.88 2.60 2.60 2.60 2.60 2.61 2.61 2.61 2.61 Sample size 308 $334^{(a)}$ 338 $105^{(b)}$ $106^{(c)}$ 123 $334^{(a)}$ 338	Reelected	1.99***	0.10	0.77***	0.74^{***}	1.23***	0.57***	0.00	0.70***
Response date (z) -0.20^{++} -0.08^{+++} -0.03^{++} -0.15^{+++} -0.06^{+++} Intercept -0.62 0.46 -3.24^{+++} 1.22 -4.02^{+} 0.04 -1.74 -1.90^{+++} Random effectsIntercept 0.36 1.34 0.42 0.27 0.85 0.17 15.01 1.10 Vote choice 0.43 2.15 0.56 0.71 2.61 0.66 0.66 No PID 39.23 1.63 1.10 39.23 1.63 University degree 0.40 3.37 0.10 0.33 1.19 0.42 High interest 31.40 1.86 31.40 1.86 Vote \times University 0.64 6.56 0.59 1.73 3.65 0.85 114.07 1.03 Winner PID \times Interest 114.07 1.03 78.88 2.60 2.60 2.61 2.61 2.61 2.61 2.61 2.61 Sample size 308 $334^{(a)}$ 338 $105^{(b)}$ $106^{(c)}$ 123 $334^{(a)}$ 338	Boundary changes		-0.10	0.00***			0.00**	-0.20	0.04***
Intercept -0.62 0.46 -3.24^{+W} 1.22 -4.02^{+} 0.04 -1.74 -1.90^{+W} Random effectsIntercept 0.36 1.34 0.42 0.27 0.85 0.17 15.01 1.10 Vote choice 0.43 2.15 0.56 0.71 2.61 0.66 0.66 No PID 39.23 1.63 0.40 3.37 0.10 0.33 1.19 0.42 Winner PID 39.23 1.63 0.40 3.37 0.10 0.33 1.19 0.42 High interest 0.64 6.56 0.59 1.73 3.65 0.85 0.85 No PID \times Interest 114.07 1.03 114.07 1.03 Winner PID \times Interest 78.88 2.60 2.60 Sample sizeObservations $86,264$ $27,073$ $21,711$ $23,993$ $6,867$ $47,919$ $22,434$ $23,241$ Districts 308 $334^{(a)}$ 338 $105^{(b)}$ $106^{(c)}$ 123 $334^{(a)}$ 338	Response date (z)	0.60	-0.20****	-0.08***	1.00	4.00*	-0.03**	-0.15^{+++}	-0.06***
	Intercept	-0.62	0.40	-3.24	1.22	-4.02	0.04	-1.74	-1.90
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Random effects								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Intercept	0.36	1.34	0.42	0.27	0.85	0.17	15.01	1.10
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Vote choice	0.43	2.15	0.56	0.71	2.61	0.66		
	No PID							53.62	1.00
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Winner PID							39.23	1.63
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	University degree	0.40	3.37	0.10	0.33	1.19	0.42		
	High interest							31.40	1.86
No PID × Interest 114.07 1.03 Winner PID × Interest 78.88 2.60 Sample size 0 21,711 23,993 6,867 47,919 22,434 23,241 Districts 308 334 ^(a) 338 105 ^(b) 106 ^(c) 123 334 ^(a) 338	Vote \times University	0.64	6.56	0.59	1.73	3.65	0.85		
Winner PID × Interest 78.88 2.60 Sample size 0 21,711 23,993 6,867 47,919 22,434 23,241 Districts 308 334 ^(a) 338 105 ^(b) 106 ^(c) 123 334 ^(a) 338	No PID \times Interest							114.07	1.03
Sample size Observations $86,264$ $27,073$ $21,711$ $23,993$ $6,867$ $47,919$ $22,434$ $23,241$ Districts 308 $334^{(a)}$ 338 $105^{(b)}$ $106^{(c)}$ 123 $334^{(a)}$ 338	Winner PID \times Interest							78.88	2.60
Sample Size Observations $86,264$ $27,073$ $21,711$ $23,993$ $6,867$ $47,919$ $22,434$ $23,241$ Districts 308 $334^{(a)}$ 338 $105^{(b)}$ $106^{(c)}$ 123 $334^{(a)}$ 338	Sample size								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Observations	86 264	97 073	91 711	23.003	6 867	47 010	22 121	22 241
A REAL AND A	Districts	308	$_{334(a)}^{21,010}$	21,111	105 ^(b)	$106^{(c)}$	192	22,404 224(a)	338

Table F1. Predictors of Forecasting Accuracy in District-Level Elections at the Individual Level

Notes. DV: Individual-level forecasting accuracy (0 = incorrect, 1 = correct). Multilevel random effects logistic regression models. Significance levels: * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001. (a) No observations for Churchill—Keewatinook Aski, Nunavut, Western Arctic (Northwest Territories), and Yukon. (b) No observations for Timiskaming-Cochrane and Timmins–James Bay. (c) No observations for Timiskaming-Cochrane. Regression analyses adjusted for age, sex, education, and household income. Weights were computed using the Public Use Microdata Files (PUMFs) of the 2011 National Household Survey (Statistics Canada, 2014) for the 2011 Canadian federal election and the 2011 Ontario general election; the 2016 Canadian Census (Statistics Canada, 2022) for the 2015 Canadian federal election and the 2014 Ontario general election; and the 2021 Canadian Census (Statistics Canada, 2023) for the 2019 Canadian federal election and the 2022 Quebec general election. R = reference category.

G. List of Districts

2011 Canadian Federal Election

2003 Representation Order

10001. Avalon 10002. Bonavista - Gander - Grand Falls - Windsor 10003. Humber - St. Barbe - Baie Verte 10004. Labrador 10005. Random - Burin - St. George's 10006. St. John's East 10007. St. John's South - Mount Pearl 11001. Cardigan 11002. Charlottetown 11003. Egmont 11004. Malpeque 12001. Cape Breton - Canso 12002. Central Nova 12003. Dartmouth - Cole Harbour 12004. Halifax 12005. Halifax West 12006. Kings - Hants 12007. Cumberland - Colchester - Musquodoboit Valley 12008. Sackville - Eastern Shore 12009. South Shore - St. Margaret's 12010. Sydney - Victoria 12011. West Nova 13001. Acadie - Bathurst 13002. Beausejour 13003. Fredericton 13004. Fundy Royal 13005. Madawaska - Restigouche 13006. Miramichi 13007. Moncton - Riverview - Dieppe 13008. New Brunswick Southwest 13009. Saint John 13010. Tobique - Mactaquac 24001. Abitibi - Temiscamingue 24002. Ahuntsic 24003. Alfred-Pellan 24004. Argenteuil - Papineau - Mirabel 24005. Beauce 24006. Beauharnois - Salaberry 24007. Beauport - Limoilou 24008. Berthier - Maskinonge 24009. Bourassa 24010. Brome - Missisquoi 24011. Brossard - La Prairie 24012. Chambly - Borduas 24013. Charlesbourg - Haute-Saint-Charles 24014. Montmorency - Charlevoix - Haute-Cote-Nord 24015. Chateauguay - Saint-Constant 24016. Chicoutimi - Le Fjord 24017. Compton - Stanstead 24018. Drummond 24019. Gaspesie - Iles-de-la-Madeleine 24020. Gatineau 24021. Hochelaga 24022. Honore-Mercier 24023. Hull - Aylmer 24024. Jeanne-Le Ber 24025. Joliette 24026. Jonguiere - Alma 24027. Lac-Saint-Louis 24028. La Pointe-de-l'Ile 24029. LaSalle - Emard

24030. Laurentides - Labelle 24031. Laurier - Sainte-Marie 24032. Laval 24033. Laval - Les Iles 24034. Levis - Bellechasse 24035. Longueuil - Pierre-Boucher 24036. Lotbiniere - Chutes-de-la-Chaudiere 24037. Louis-Hebert 24038. Louis-Saint-Laurent 24039. Manicouagan 24040. Marc-Aurele-Fortin 24041. Haute-Gaspesie - La Mitis - Matane - Matapedia 24042. Megantic - L'Erable 24043. Montcalm 24044. Mount Royal 24045. Notre-Dame-de-Grace - Lachine 24046. Abitibi - Baie-James - Nunavik - Eeyou 24047. Outremont 24048. Papineau 24049. Pierrefonds - Dollard 24050. Pontiac 24051. Portneuf - Jacques-Cartier 24052. Quebec 24053. Repentigny 24054. Bas-Richelieu - Nicolet - Becancour 24055. Richmond - Arthabaska 24056. Rimouski-Neigette - Temiscouata - Les Basques 24057. Riviere-des-Mille-Iles 24058. Montmagny - L'Islet - Kamouraska - Riviere-du-Loup 24059. Riviere-du-Nord 24060. Roberval - Lac-Saint-Jean 24061. Rosemont - La Petite-Patrie 24062. Saint-Bruno - Saint-Hubert 24063. Saint-Hyacinthe - Bagot 24064. Saint-Jean 24065. Saint-Lambert 24066. Saint-Laurent - Cartierville 24067. Saint-Leonard - Saint-Michel 24068. Saint-Maurice - Champlain 24069. Shefford 24070. Sherbrooke 24071. Terrebonne - Blainville 24072. Trois-Rivieres 24073. Vaudreuil-Soulanges 24074. Vercheres - Les Patriotes 24075. Westmount - Ville-Marie 35001. Ajax - Pickering 35002. Algoma - Manitoulin - Kapuskasing 35003. Ancaster - Dundas - Flamborough - Westdale 35004. Barrie 35005. Beaches - East York 35006. Bramalea - Gore - Malton 35007. Brampton - Springdale 35008. Brampton West 35009. Brant 35010. Burlington 35011. Cambridge 35012. Carleton - Mississippi Mills 35013. Chatham-Kent - Essex 35014. Durham 35015. Davenport

35016. Don Valley East

35017. Don Valley West

35018. Dufferin - Caledon 35019. Eglinton - Lawrence 35020. Elgin - Middlesex - London 35021. Essex 35022. Etobicoke Centre 35023. Etobicoke - Lakeshore 35024. Etobicoke North 35025. Glengarry - Prescott - Russell 35026. Bruce - Grey - Owen Sound 35027. Guelph 35028. Haldimand - Norfolk 35029. Haliburton - Kawartha Lakes - Brock 35030. Halton 35031. Hamilton Centre 35032. Hamilton East - Stoney Creek 35033. Hamilton Mountain 35034. Huron - Bruce 35035. Kenora 35036. Kingston and the Islands 35037. Kitchener Centre 35038. Kitchener - Conestoga 35039. Kitchener - Waterloo 35040. Lanark - Frontenac - Lennox and Addington 35041. Leeds - Grenville 35042. London - Fanshawe 35043. London North Centre 35044. London West 35045. Markham - Unionville 35046. Lambton - Kent - Middlesex 35047. Mississauga - Brampton South 35048. Mississauga East - Cooksville 35049. Mississauga - Erindale 35050. Mississauga South 35051. Mississauga - Streetsville 35052. Nepean - Carleton 35053. Newmarket - Aurora 35054. Niagara Falls 35055. Niagara West - Glanbrook 35056. Nickel Belt 35057. Nipissing - Timiskaming 35058. Northumberland - Quinte West 35059. Oak Ridges - Markham 35060. Oakville 35061. Oshawa 35062. Ottawa Centre 35063. Ottawa - Orleans 35064. Ottawa South 35065. Ottawa - Vanier 35066. Ottawa West - Nepean 35067. Oxford 35068. Parkdale - High Park 35069. Parry Sound - Muskoka 35070. Perth - Wellington 35071. Peterborough 35072. Pickering - Scarborough East 35073. Prince Edward - Hastings 35074. Renfrew - Nipissing - Pembroke 35075. Richmond Hill 35076. St. Catharines 35077. St. Paul's 35078. Sarnia - Lambton 35079. Sault Ste. Marie 35080. Scarborough - Agincourt 35081. Scarborough Centre 35082. Scarborough - Guildwood 35083. Scarborough - Rouge River 35084. Scarborough Southwest 35085. Simcoe - Grey 35086. Simcoe North

35087. Stormont - Dundas - South Glengarry 35088. Sudbury 35089. Thornhill 35090. Thunder Bay - Rainy River 35091. Thunder Bay - Superior North 35092. Timmins - James Bay 35093. Toronto Centre 35094. Toronto - Danforth 35095. Trinity - Spadina 35096. Vaughan 35097. Welland 35098. Wellington - Halton Hills 35099. Whitby - Oshawa 35100. Willowdale 35101. Windsor - Tecumseh 35102. Windsor West 35103. York Centre 35104. York - Simcoe 35105. York South - Weston 35106. York West 46001. Brandon - Souris 46002. Charleswood - St. James - Assiniboia 46003. Churchill 46004. Dauphin - Swan River - Marquette 46005. Elmwood - Transcona 46006. Kildonan - St. Paul 46007. Portage - Lisgar 46008. Provencher 46009. Saint Boniface 46010. Selkirk - Interlake 46011. Winnipeg Centre 46012. Winnipeg North 46013. Winnipeg South 46014. Winnipeg South Centre 47001. Battlefords - Lloydminster 47002. Blackstrap 47003. Desnethe - Missinippi - Churchill River 47004. Cypress Hills - Grasslands 47005. Palliser 47006. Prince Albert 47007. Regina - Lumsden - Lake Centre 47008. Regina - Qu'Appelle 47009. Saskatoon - Humboldt 47010. Saskatoon - Rosetown - Biggar 47011. Saskatoon - Wanuskewin 47012. Souris - Moose Mountain 47013. Wascana 47014. Yorkton - Melville 48001. Fort McMurray - Athabasca 48002. Calgary East 48003. Calgary Centre-North 48004. Calgary Northeast 48005. Calgary - Nose Hill 48006. Calgary Centre 48007. Calgary Southeast 48008. Calgary Southwest 48009. Calgary West 48010. Crowfoot 48011. Edmonton - Mill Woods - Beaumont 48012. Edmonton Centre 48013. Edmonton East 48014. Edmonton - Leduc 48015. Edmonton - St. Albert 48016. Edmonton - Sherwood Park 48017. Edmonton - Spruce Grove 48018. Edmonton - Strathcona 48019. Lethbridge 48020. Macleod 48021. Medicine Hat 48022. Peace River 48023. Red Deer 48024. Vegreville - Wainwright

48025.	Westlock - St. Paul
48026.	Wetaskiwin
48027.	Wild Rose
48028.	Yellowhead
59001.	Abbotsford
59002.	Burnaby - Douglas
59003.	Burnaby - New Westminster
59004.	Cariboo - Prince George
59005.	Chilliwack - Fraser Canyon
59006.	Delta - Richmond East
59007.	Pitt Meadows - Maple Ridge - Mission
59008.	Esquimalt - Juan de Fuca
59009.	Fleetwood - Port Kells
59010.	Kamloops - Thompson - Cariboo
59011.	Kelowna - Lake Country
59012.	Kootenay - Columbia
59013.	Langley
59014.	Nanaimo - Alberni
59015.	Nanaimo - Cowichan
59016.	Newton - North Delta
59017.	New Westminster - Coquitlam
59018.	Okanagan - Shuswap

- 59019. North Vancouver 59020. Okanagan - Coquihalla 59021. Port Moody - Westwood - Port Coquitlam 59022. Prince George - Peace River 59023. Richmond 59024. Saanich - Gulf Islands 59025. Skeena - Bulkley Valley 59026. British Columbia Southern Interior 59027. South Surrey - White Rock - Cloverdale 59028. Surrey North 59029. Vancouver Centre 59030. Vancouver East 59031. Vancouver Island North 59032. Vancouver Kingsway 59033. Vancouver Quadra 59034. Vancouver South 59035. Victoria 59036. West Vancouver - Sunshine Coast - Sea to Sky Coun- trv
- 60001. Yukon
- 61001. Western Arctic
- 62001. Nunavut

2015–2019 Canadian Federal Elections

2013 Representation Order

*Unchanged federal electoral districts from the 2003 Representation Order to the 2013 Representation Order

- 10001. Avalon 10002. Bonavista - Burin - Trinity 10003. Coast of Bays - Central - Notre Dame 10004. Labrador* 10005. Long Range Mountains 10006. St. John's East 10007. St. John's South - Mount Pearl 11001. Cardigan* 11002. Charlottetown* 11003. Egmont* 11004. Malpeque* 12001. Cape Breton - Canso 12002. Central Nova 12003. Cumberland - Colchester 12004. Dartmouth - Cole Harbour 12005. Halifax 12006. Halifax West 12007. Kings - Hants* 12008. Sackville - Preston - Chezzetcook 12009. South Shore - St. Margarets 12010. Sydney - Victoria* 12011. West Nova* 13001. Acadie - Bathurst 13002. Beauséjour 13003. Fredericton 13004. Fundy Royal 13005. Madawaska - Restigouche 13006. Miramichi - Grand Lake 13007. Moncton - Riverview - Dieppe 13008. New Brunswick Southwest 13009. Saint John - Rothesay 13010. Tobique - Mactaquac 24001. Abitibi - Baie-James - Nunavik - Eeyou 24002. Abitibi - Témiscamingue 24003. Ahuntsic-Cartierville 24004. Alfred-Pellan 24005. Argenteuil - La Petite-Nation 24006. Avignon - La Mitis - Matane - Matapédia
- 24007. Beauce 24008. Beauport - Limoilou 24009. Bécancour - Nicolet - Saurel* 24010. Bellechasse - Les Etchemins - Lévis 24011. Beloeil - Chambly 24012. Berthier - Maskinongé 24013. Thérèse-De Blainville 24014. Pierre-Boucher - Les Patriotes - Verchères 24015. Bourassa 24016. Brome - Missisquoi 24017. Brossard - Saint-Lambert 24018. Rimouski-Neigette - Témiscouata - Les Basques* 24019. Charlesbourg - Haute-Saint-Charles 24020. Beauport - Côte-de-Beaupré - Île d'Orléans -Charlev 24021. Châteauguay - Lacolle 24022. Chicoutimi - Le Fjord 24023. Compton - Stanstead 24024. Dorval - Lachine - LaSalle 24025. Drummond* 24026. Gaspésie - Les Îles-de-la-Madeleine 24027. Gatineau 24028. Hochelaga 24029. Honoré-Mercier 24030. Hull - Aylmer 24031. Joliette 24032. Jonquière 24033. La Pointe-de-l'Île 24034. La Prairie 24035. Lac-Saint-Jean 24036. Lac-Saint-Louis 24037. LaSalle - Émard - Verdun 24038. Laurentides - Labelle 24039. Laurier - Sainte-Marie 24040. Laval - Les Îles 24041. Longueuil - Charles-LeMoyne 24042. Lévis - Lotbinière 24043. Longueuil - Saint-Hubert 24044. Louis-Hébert 24045. Louis-Saint-Laurent
 - 24046. Manicouagan

24047. Mégantic - L'Érable 24048. Mirabel 24049. Montarville 24050. Montcalm 24051. Montmagny - L'Islet - Kamouraska - Rivière-du- Loup^* 24052. Mont-Royal 24053. Notre-Dame-de-Grâce - Westmount 24054. Outremont 24055. Papineau 24056. Pierrefonds - Dollard* 24057. Pontiac 24058. Portneuf - Jacques-Cartier* 24059. Québec 24060. Repentigny 24061. Richmond - Arthabaska 24062. Rivière-des-Mille-Îles 24063. Rivière-du-Nord 24064. Rosemont - La Petite-Patrie 24065. Marc-Aurèle-Fortin 24066. Saint-Hyacinthe - Bagot* 24067. Saint-Jean* 24068. Saint-Laurent 24069. Saint-Léonard - Saint-Michel 24070. Saint-Maurice - Champlain 24071. Salaberry - Suroît 24072. Shefford 24073. Sherbrooke 24074. Vaudreuil - Soulanges 24075. Terrebonne 24076. Trois-Rivières 24077. Ville-Marie - Le Sud-Ouest - Île-des-Soeurs 24078. Vimy 35001. Ajax 35002. Algoma - Manitoulin - Kapuskasing 35003. Aurora - Oak Ridges - Richmond Hill 35004. Barrie - Innisfil 35005. Barrie - Springwater - Oro-Medonte 35006. Bay of Quinte 35007. Beaches - East York* 35008. Brampton Centre 35009. Brampton East 35010. Brampton North 35011. Brampton South 35012. Brampton West 35013. Brantford - Brant 35014. Bruce - Grey - Owen Sound* 35015. Burlington 35016. Cambridge 35017. Chatham-Kent - Learnington 35018. Davenport* 35019. Don Valley East 35020. Don Valley North 35021. Don Valley West 35022. Dufferin - Caledon* 35023. Durham 35024. Eglinton - Lawrence* 35025. Elgin - Middlesex - London 35026. Essex 35027. Etobicoke Centre 35028. Etobicoke - Lakeshore 35029. Etobicoke North 35030. Flamborough - Glanbrook 35031. Glengarry - Prescott - Russell 35032. Guelph* 35033. Haldimand - Norfolk 35034. Haliburton - Kawartha Lakes - Brock 35035. Hamilton Centre 35036. Hamilton East - Stoney Creek

35037. Hamilton Mountain 35038. Hamilton West - Ancaster - Dundas 35039. Hastings - Lennox and Addington 35040. Huron - Bruce* 35041. Kanata - Carleton 35042. Kenora 35043. King - Vaughan 35044. Kingston and the Islands 35045. Kitchener Centre 35046. Kitchener - Conestoga 35047. Kitchener South - Hespeler 35048. Lambton - Kent - Middlesex 35049. Lanark - Frontenac - Kingston 35050. Leeds - Grenville - Thousand Islands and Rideau $Lake^*$ 35051. London - Fanshawe 35052. London North Centre 35053. London West 35054. Markham - Stouffville 35055. Markham - Thornhill 35056. Markham - Unionville 35057. Milton 35058. Mississauga Centre 35059. Mississauga East - Cooksville 35060. Mississauga - Erin Mills 35061. Mississauga - Lakeshore 35062. Mississauga - Malton 35063. Mississauga - Streetsville 35064. Nepean 35065. Newmarket - Aurora 35066. Niagara Centre 35067. Niagara Falls* 35068. Niagara West 35069. Nickel Belt 35070. Nipissing - Timiskaming 35071. Northumberland - Peterborough South 35072. Oakville* 35073. Oakville North - Burlington 35074. Oshawa 35075. Ottawa Centre 35076. Orléans 35077. Ottawa South 35078. Ottawa - Vanier 35079. Ottawa West - Nepean 35080. Oxford 35081. Parkdale - High Park* 35082. Parry Sound - Muskoka* 35083. Perth - Wellington* 35084. Peterborough - Kawartha 35085. Pickering - Uxbridge 35086. Renfrew - Nipissing - Pembroke* 35087. Richmond Hill 35088. Carleton 35089. St. Catharines 35090. Toronto - St. Paul's 35091. Sarnia - Lambton* 35092. Sault Ste. Marie 35093. Scarborough - Agincourt 35094. Scarborough Centre 35095. Scarborough - Guildwood 35096. Scarborough North 35097. Scarborough - Rouge Park 35098. Scarborough Southwest 35099. Simcoe - Grey 35100. Simcoe North 35101. Spadina - Fort York 35102. Stormont - Dundas - South Glengarry* 35103. Sudbury 35104. Thornhill 35105. Thunder Bay - Rainy River

- 35106. Thunder Bay Superior North
- 35107. Timmins James Bay

35108. Toronto Centre 35109. Toronto - Danforth* 35110. University - Rosedale 35111. Vaughan - Woodbridge 35112. Waterloo 35113. Wellington - Halton Hills* 35114. Whitby 35115. Willowdale 35116. Windsor - Tecumseh 35117. Windsor West 35118. York Centre 35119. York - Simcoe 35120. York South - Weston* 35121. Humber River - Black Creek* 46001. Brandon - Souris 46002. Charleswood - St. James - Assiniboia - Headingley* 46003. Churchill - Keewatinook Aski 46004. Dauphin - Swan River - Neepawa 46005. Elmwood - Transcona 46006. Kildonan - St. Paul 46007. Portage - Lisgar 46008. Provencher 46009. Saint Boniface - Saint Vital 46010. Selkirk - Interlake - Eastman 46011. Winnipeg Centre^{*} 46012. Winnipeg North 46013. Winnipeg South 46014. Winnipeg South Centre 47001. Battlefords - Lloydminster 47002. Cypress Hills - Grasslands 47003. Desnethé - Missinippi - Churchill River 47004. Carlton Trail - Eagle Creek 47005. Moose Jaw - Lake Centre - Lanigan 47006. Prince Albert 47007. Regina - Lewvan 47008. Regina - Qu'Appelle 47009. Regina - Wascana 47010. Saskatoon - Grasswood 47011. Saskatoon - University 47012. Saskatoon West 47013. Souris - Moose Mountain 47014. Yorkton - Melville 48001. Banff - Airdrie 48002. Btxtle River - Crowfoot 48003. Bow River 48004. Calgary Centre 48005. Calgary Confederation 48006. Calgary Forest Lawn 48007. Calgary Heritage 48008. Calgary Midnapore 48009. Calgary Nose Hill 48010. Calgary Rocky Ridge 48011. Calgary Shepard 48012. Calgary Signal Hill 48013. Calgary Skyview 48014. Edmonton Centre 48015. Edmonton Griesbach 48016. Edmonton Manning 48017. Edmonton Mill Woods 48018. Edmonton Riverbend 48019. Edmonton Strathcona

48020. Edmonton West

48021. Edmonton - Wetaskiwin 48022. Foothills 48023. Fort McMurray - Cold Lake 48024. Grande Prairie - Mackenzie 48025. Lakeland 48026. Lethbridge 48027. Medicine Hat - Cardston - Warner 48028. Peace River - Westlock 48029. Red Deer - Mountain View 48030. Red Deer - Lacombe 48031. St. Albert - Edmonton 48032. Sherwood Park - Fort Saskatchewan 48033. Sturgeon River - Parkland 48034. Yellowhead 59001. Abbotsford 59002. Burnaby North - Seymour 59003. Burnaby South 59004. Cariboo - Prince George 59005. Central Okanagan - Similkameen - Nicola 59006. Chilliwack - Hope 59007. Cloverdale - Langley City 59008. Coquitlam - Port Coquitlam 59009. Courtenay - Alberni 59010. Cowichan - Malahat - Langford 59011. Delta 59012. Fleetwood - Port Kells 59013. Kamloops - Thompson - Cariboo 59014. Kelowna - Lake Country 59015. Kootenay - Columbia 59016. Langley - Aldergrove 59017. Mission - Matsqui - Fraser Canyon 59018. Nanaimo - Ladysmith 59019. New Westminster - Burnaby 59020. North Okanagan - Shuswap 59021. North Vancouver 59022. Pitt Meadows - Maple Ridge 59023. Port Moody - Coquitlam 59024. Prince George - Peace River - Northern Rockies 59025. Richmond Centre 59026. Esquimalt - Saanich - Sooke 59027. Saanich - Gulf Islands 59028. Skeena - Bulkley Valley 59029. South Okanagan - West Kootenay 59030. South Surrey - White Rock 59031. Steveston - Richmond East 59032. Surrey Centre 59033. Surrey - Newton 59034. Vancouver Centre 59035. Vancouver East* 59036. Vancouver Granville 59037. North Island - Powell River 59038. Vancouver Kingsway 59039. Vancouver Quadra 59040. Vancouver South 59041. Victoria* 59042. West Vancouver - Sunshine Coast - Sea to Sky Countrv60001. Yukon*

61001. Western Arctic*

62001. Nunavut*

2011–2014 Ontario General Elections

35001.	Ajax - Pickering
35002.	Algoma - Manitoulin - Kapuskasing
35003.	Ancaster - Dundas - Flamborough - Westdale
35004.	Barrie
35005.	Beaches - East York
35006.	Bramalea - Gore - Malton
35007	Brampton - Springdale
35008	Brampton West
35009	Brant
35010	Burlington
35011	Cambridge
35012	Carleton - Mississippi Mills
35012.	Chatham-Kent - Essey
35014	Durbam
25014.	Durinani
25016	Davenport Don Valley Fact
25017	Don Valley West
35017.	Duffering Colodon
35010.	Dullerin - Caledon
35019.	Eglinton - Lawrence
35020.	Elgin - Middlesex - London
35021.	Essex
35022.	Etobicoke Centre
35023.	Etobicoke - Lakeshore
35024.	Etobicoke North
35025.	Glengarry - Prescott - Russell
35026.	Bruce - Grey - Owen Sound
35027.	Guelph
35028.	Haldimand - Norfolk
35029.	Haliburton - Kawartha Lakes - Brock
35030.	Halton
35031.	Hamilton Centre
35032.	Hamilton East - Stoney Creek
35033.	Hamilton Mountain
35034.	Huron - Bruce
35035.	Kenora
35036.	Kingston and the Islands
35037.	Kitchener Centre
35038.	Kitchener - Conestoga
35039.	Kitchener - Waterloo
35040.	Lanark - Frontenac - Lennox and Addington
35041.	Leeds - Grenville
35042.	London - Fanshawe
35043.	London North Centre
35044.	London West
35045.	Markham - Unionville
35046.	Lambton - Kent - Middlesex
35047.	Mississauga - Brampton South
35048.	Mississauga East - Cooksville
35049.	Mississauga - Erindale
35050.	Mississauga South
35051.	Mississauga - Streetsville
35052.	Nepean - Carleton
35053.	Newmarket - Aurora

35054. Niagara Falls

2022 Quebec General Election

104.	Megantic
110.	Saint-Francois

- 116. Sherbrooke
- 120. Orford
- 126. Johnson
- 132. Richmond
- 138. Drummond-Bois-Francs
- 144. Arthabaska

35055. Niagara West - Glanbrook 35056. Nickel Belt 35057. Nipissing - Timiskaming 35058. Northumberland - Quinte West 35059. Oak Ridges - Markham 35060. Oakville 35061. Oshawa 35062. Ottawa Centre 35063. Ottawa - Orleans 35064. Ottawa South 35065. Ottawa - Vanier 35066. Ottawa West - Nepean 35067. Oxford 35068. Parkdale - High Park 35069. Parry Sound - Muskoka 35070. Perth - Wellington 35071. Peterborough 35072. Pickering - Scarborough East 35073. Prince Edward - Hastings 35074. Renfrew - Nipissing - Pembroke 35075. Richmond Hill 35076. St. Catharines 35077. St. Paul's 35078. Sarnia - Lambton 35079. Sault Ste. Marie 35080. Scarborough - Agincourt 35081. Scarborough Centre 35082. Scarborough - Guildwood 35083. Scarborough - Rouge River 35084. Scarborough Southwest 35085. Simcoe - Grey 35086. Simcoe North 35087. Stormont - Dundas - South Glengarry 35088. Sudbury 35089. Thornhill 35090. Thunder Bay - Rainy River 35091. Thunder Bay - Superior North 35092. Timmins - James Bay 35093. Toronto Centre 35094. Toronto - Danforth 35095. Trinity - Spadina 35096. Vaughan 35097. Welland 35098. Wellington - Halton Hills 35099. Whitby - Oshawa 35100. Willowdale 35101. Windsor - Tecumseh 35102. Windsor West 35103. York Centre 35104. York - Simcoe 35105. York South - Weston

- 35106. York West
 - 150. Nicolet-Becancour
 - 204. Brome-Missisquoi
 - 206. Granby
 - 210. Iberville
 - 212. Saint-Jean
 - 216. Huntingdon
 - 218. Beauharnois
 - 220. Soulanges

224. Vaudreuil 226. Chateauguay 230. Sanguinet 232. La Prairie 236. La Piniere 238. Chambly 240. Vachon 244. Laporte 246. Marie-Victorin 250. Taillon 252. Montarville 256. Vercheres 258. Borduas 260. Saint-Hyacinthe 264. Richelieu 300. Verdun 304. Marguerite-Bourgeoys 306. Marquette 310. Jacques-Cartier 312. Nelligan 316. Robert-Baldwin 318. Saint-Laurent 320. DArcy-McGee 324. Notre-Dame-de-Grace 326. Saint-Henri-Sainte-Anne 330. Sainte-Marie-Saint-Jacques 332. Westmount-Saint-Louis 336. Mont-Royal-Outremont 338. Acadie 340. Maurice-Richard 344. Laurier-Dorion 346. Gouin 350. Mercier 352. Hochelaga-Maisonneuve 356. Rosemont 358. Viau 360. Bourassa-Sauve 364. Jeanne-Mance-Viger 366. Anjou-Louis-Riel 370. Bourget 380. Pointe-aux-Trembles 390. LaFontaine 454. Laval-des-Rapides 460. Chomedey 466. Fabre 470. Sainte-Rose 476. Vimont 482. Mille-Iles 502. Groulx 508. Deux-Montagnes 514. Mirabel 520. Argenteuil

- 526. Saint-Jerome
- 530. Les Plaines
- 536. Blainville

542. Terrebonne 544. LAssomption 548. Masson 560. Repentigny 566. Berthier 570. Joliette 576. Rousseau 582. Prevost 588. Bertrand 594. Labelle 602. Hull 608. Pontiac 614. Gatineau 620. Chapleau 626. Papineau 636. Rouyn-Noranda-Temiscamingue 642. Abitibi-Ouest 648. Abitibi-Est 660. Trois-Rivieres 666. Maskinonge 670. Laviolette-Saint-Maurice 676. Champlain 702. Jean-Talon 708. Louis-Hebert 714. Portneuf 720. La Peltrie 726. Vanier-Les Rivieres 730. Taschereau 736. Jean-Lesage 742. Montmorency 748. Charlesbourg 754. Chauveau 760. Charlevoix-Cote-de-Beaupre 802. Beauce-Sud 806. Beauce-Nord 810. Lotbiniere-Frontenac 814. Chutes-de-la-Chaudiere 818. Levis 822. Bellechasse 826. Cote-du-Sud 834. Riviere-du-Loup-Temiscouata 838. Rimouski 842. Matane-Matapedia 850. Bonaventure 854. Gaspe 858. Iles-de-la-Madeleine 902. Duplessis 906. Rene-Levesque 914. Dubuc 918. Chicoutimi 922. Jonquiere

- 926. Lac-Saint-Jean
- 930. Roberval
- 938. Ungava

References

- Mongrain, Philippe and Mark Pickup. 2020. "Canadian Federal Election Vote Intention Polls: 1945–2019." Harvard Dataverse. Datafile Version 1. https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/DMEA5V.
- Tofallis, Chris. 2015. "A Better Measure of Relative Prediction Accuracy for Model Selection and Model Estimation." Journal of the Operational Research Society 66:1352–1362.