ARTICLE TYPE

Supplemental Information for Social Pressure in the International Human Rights Regime: Why States Withdraw Treaty Reservations

Christina Boyes,[†] Cody D. Eldredge,[‡] Megan Shannon,^{*¶} and Kelebogile Zvobgo§

†Division de Estudios Internacionales, Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas, A.C., Mexico City, 01210, Mexico ‡Department of Political Science, Oakland University, Rochester, 48309, MI, United States of America

"Department of Political Science, University of Colorado, Boulder, 80309, CO, United States of America

§Government Department and Global Research Institute, William & Mary, Williamsburg, 23185, VA, United States of America

*Corresponding author. Email: megan.l.shannon@colorado.edu

1. Supplemental Information

This document presents a series of robustness checks and tests. Table 1 gives the average values and standard deviation of the covariates for countries that did and did not make treaty reservations. Many of the average values of the covariates across the two groups are similar, indicating that countries that make reservations do not systematically differ on a number of dimensions from countries that do not make reservations. We note that countries that make reservations have higher average values of democracy than those who do not make reservations, which is consistent with previous research. Also, countries that do not make reservations have higher numbers of national human rights institutions (NHRIs) than countries that do make reservations, indicating that NHRIs may discourage countries from making reservations. We include the variables of democracy and NHRIs in the analyses so as to account for their differing effects on countries who make and do not make reservations, allowing us to isolate the effect of social pressure variables. From the analysis illustrated by Table 1, we infer that there is nothing systematic that we have not controlled for that leads to the large difference between the total number of observations and the number of observations with reservations.

	Countries without reservations	Countries with reservations
Civil Legal System	0.57 (0.49)	0.33 (0.47)
Islamic Legal System	0.14 (0.34)	0.23 (0.42)
Mixed Legal System	0.09 (0.29)	0.08 (0.27)
Democracy	1.67 (7.04)	3.55 (7.04)
Strong NHRI	2.98 (4.97)	1.34 (3.4)
Judicial Independence	0.24 (1.37)	0.7 (1.47)
Treaties Equal or Superior	0.28 (0.45)	0.24 (0.43)
Basic Rights Respected	0.18 (1.16)	0.37 (1.32)
GDP/cap (ln)	7.36 (1.49)	8.08 (1.49)
Population (ln)	16.07 (1.49)	16.9 (1.63)

Table 1. Average Value (Standard Deviation) of Covariates for Countries With and Without Reservations

2 Christina Boyes et al.

Table 2 reports a series of two-stage censored probit models that adopt alternate strategies for estimating the uncertainty surrounding coefficient estimates. Each model includes all covariates from the fully specified selection model presented in the primary manuscript. Model 1 reports estimates for the full model specification using robust standard errors. Model 2 reports estimates for the full specification with robust standard errors clustered on country. Model 3 reports estimates for the full specification with robust standard errors clustered on treaty.

Outcome Model	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	
State Objections	0.024**	0.024**	0.024	
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.024)	
Ongoing Periodic Review	2.000**	2.000**	2.000**	
	(0.261)	(0.341)	(0.439)	
Democracy	0.021**	0.021	0.021	
	(0.008)	(0.014)	(0.029)	
Strong NHRI	0.052**	0.052**	0.052**	
	(0.010)	(0.023)	(0.008)	
Demanding Treaty Provision	-0.122	-0.122	-0.122	
	(0.077)	(0.085)	(0.175)	
Non-derogable Treaty Provision	0.540**	0.540**	0.540**	
	(0.171)	(0.212)	(0.228)	
Judicial Independence	0.024	0.024	0.024	
	(0.039)	(0.083)	(0.055)	
Treaties Equal or Superior	-0.234**	-0.234	-0.234**	
	(0.100)	(0.175)	(0.110)	
Basic Rights Respected	-0.259**	-0.259**	-0.259**	
	(0.051)	(0.114)	(0.102)	
GDP/cap (ln)	-0.064*	-0.064	-0.064	
	(0.033)	(0.075)	(0.076)	
Population (ln)	-0.181**	-0.181**	-0.181**	
	(0.031)	(0.055)	(0.044)	
Constant	5.021**	5.021**	5.021**	
	(0.723)	(1.139)	(0.856)	
** = p<.05; * = p<.10. Robust standard errors in parentheses.				

Table 2. Two-stage Analyses of Reservation Withdrawals with Robust Error Clustering

Continuation of Table2					
Selection Model	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3		
Civil Legal System	-0.470**	-0.470**	-0.470**		
	(0.046)	(0.127)	(0.194)		
Islamic Legal System	0.142**	0.142	0.142		
	(0.051)	(0.154)	(0.184)		
Mixed Legal System	-0.361**	-0.361	-0.361**		
	(0.069)	(0.215)	(0.111)		
Democracy	0.012**	0.012	0.012		
	(0.004)	(0.011)	(0.014)		
Strong NHRI	-0.054**	-0.054**	-0.054**		
	(0.004)	(0.010)	(0.006)		
Demanding Treaty Provision	0.207**	0.207**	0.207*		
	(0.033)	(0.058)	(0.110)		
Non-derogable Treaty Provision	-0.024	-0.024	-0.024		
	(0.084)	(0.177)	(0.103)		
Judicial Independence	0.010	0.010	0.010		
	(0.022)	(0.061)	(0.044)		
Treaties Equal or Superior	0.111**	0.111	0.111		
	(0.041)	(0.093)	(0.117)		
Basic Rights Respected	0.052**	0.052	0.052		
	(0.022)	(0.054)	(0.059)		
GDP/cap (ln)	0.120**	0.120**	0.120**		
	(0.015)	(0.033)	(0.044)		
Population (ln)	0.146**	0.146**	0.146**		
	(0.012)	(0.031)	(0.028)		
Constant	-5.373**	-5.373**	-5.373**		
	(0.190)	(0.518)	(0.532)		
Rho Parameter	-1.986**	-1.986**	-1.986**		
	0.339	0.436	0.591		
Observations	48,415	48,415	48,415		
Selected Observations	629	629	629		
Non-selected Observations	47,786	47,786	47,786		
Error Cluster		Country	Treaty		
** = p<.05; * = p<.10. Robust standard errors in parentheses.					

4 Christina Boyes et al.

Table 3 reports a series of logit models estimated using a subset of observations that include only provisions that have received reservations. Each model includes all covariates from the fully specified outcome model presented in the primary manuscript. Model 1 reports estimates for the outcome model with robust standard errors and treaty-level fixed effects. Estimates for the fixed effects (9x) are not reported due to space constraints. Model 2 reports estimates for the outcome model with robust standard errors clustered on country. Model 3 reports estimates for the outcome model with robust standard errors clustered on treaty.

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3		
State Objections	0.120** 0.159** 0.159*		0.159**		
	(0.051)	(0.031)	(0.069)		
Ongoing Periodic Review	39.544**	8.285**	8.285**		
	(1.423)	(1.759)	(1.566)		
Democracy	0.102**	0.082*	0.082		
	(0.041)	(0.048)	(0.082)		
Strong NHRI	0.117	-0.005	-0.005		
	(0.080)	(0.117)	(0.079)		
Demanding Treaty Provision	0.545	0.557	0.557*		
	(0.589)	(0.374)	(0.289)		
Non-derogable Treaty Provision	2.608**	2.754**	2.754**		
	(0.585)	(0.501)	(0.470)		
Judicial Independence	0.446*	0.222	0.222		
	(0.229)	(0.348)	(0.140)		
Treaties Equal or Superior	-0.944	-1.778*	-1.778		
	(0.583)	(1.032)	(1.176)		
Basic Rights Respected	-1.612**	-1.193**	-1.193*		
	(0.306)	(0.483)	(0.645)		
GDP/cap (ln)	0.059	0.122	0.122		
	(0.190)	(0.410)	(0.501)		
Population (ln)	-0.193	-0.109	-0.109		
	(0.164)	(0.312)	(0.294)		
Constant	-1.096	-3.187	-3.187		
	(3.896)	(7.476)	(8.471)		
Observations	627	629	629		
Pseudo R2	0.785	0.746	0.746		
Error Cluster		. Country Treaty			
Fixed Effects Treaty					
** = p<0.05; *= p<0.10. Robust standard errors reported in parentheses					
Fixed effects omitted due to space constraints.					

Tahla 3	l ogit Analys	ses of Reservation	n Withdrawal o	n Sample of	Provisions that	Received R	acarvations
Table 5.	LUgit Analys	ses of Reservation	i withurawat 0	n Sample Of	FIOVISIONS LITAL	Neceiveu N	eservations

Table 4 reports a series of linear probability models estimated using a subset of observations that include only provisions that have received reservations. Each model includes covariates from the fully specified outcome model presented in the primary manuscript and includes robust standard errors due to innate heteroskedasticity. Model 1 reports estimates for the outcome model with treaty-level fixed effects. Estimates for the fixed effects (9x) are not reported due to space constraints. Model 2 reports estimates for the outcome model with robust standard errors clustered on country. Model 3 reports estimates for the outcome model with robust standard errors clustered on treaty.

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3			
State Objections	0.010**	0.010** 0.017* 0.017				
	(0.003)	(0.009)	(0.012)			
Ongoing Periodic Review	0.918**	0.922**	0.922**			
	(0.021)	(0.041)	(0.018)			
Democracy	0.006**).006** 0.007* 0.007				
	(0.002)	(0.004)	(0.004)			
Strong NHRI	0.028	-0.001	-0.001			
	(0.161)	(0.004)	(0.003)			
Demanding Treaty Provision	0.018	0.035	0.035**			
	(0.021)	(0.028)	(0.015)			
Non-derogable Treaty Provision	0.299**	0.331*	0.331**			
	(0.084)	(0.181)	(0.017)			
Judicial Independence	0.008	0.012	0.012			
	(0.007)	(0.016)	(0.008)			
Treaties Equal or Superior	-0.076**	-0.073	-0.073			
	(0.019)	(0.056)	(0.049)			
Basic Rights Respected	-0.064**	-0.056	-0.056			
	(0.011)	(0.037)	(0.031)			
GDP/cap (ln)	0.032	0.001	0.001			
	(0.599)	(0.014)	(0.016)			
Population (ln)	-0.004	0.002	0.002			
	(0.006)	(0.013)	(0.011)			
Constant	0.310**	-0.021	-0.021			
	(0.144)	(0.220)	(0.251)			
Observations	629	629	629			
R2	0.777	0.766	0.766			
Error Cluster	•	Country	Treaty			
Fixed Effects Treaty						
** = p<0.05; *= p<0.10. Robust standard errors reported in parentheses						
Fixed effects omitted due to space constraints.						

Table 4. Linear Probability Analyses of Reservation Withdrawal on Sample of Provisions that Received Reservations

6 Christina Boyes et al.

Table 5 reports a series of logit models estimated using a full sample of country-provision-years while controlling for provisions that have received a reservation with a dichotomous variable that takes on the value of 1. Each model includes covariates from the fully specified outcome model presented in the primary manuscript in addition to the "reservation" variable. Model 1 reports estimates for the outcome model with robust standard errors and treaty-level fixed effects. Estimates for the fixed effects (9x) are not reported due to space constraints. Model 2 reports estimates for the outcome model with robust standard errors and country-level fixed effects. Estimates for the outcome model with robust standard errors and country-level fixed effects. Estimates for the outcome model with robust standard errors and country-level fixed effects. Estimates for the outcome model with robust standard errors clustered on treaty. Model 4 reports estimates for the outcome model with robust standard errors clustered on country.

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	
State Objections	0.136**	0.056*	0.172**	0.172**	
	(0.038)	(0.032)	(0.068)	(0.032)	
Ongoing Periodic Review	42.223**	10.789**	9.522**	9.522**	
	(1.566)	(1.767)	(2.191)	(2.579)	
Democracy	0.102**	0.476**	0.179**	0.179**	
	(0.038)	(0.136)	(0.075)	(0.050)	
Strong NHRI	-0.057	-0.093	-0.076	-0.076	
	(0.075)	(0.278)	(0.056)	(0.111)	
Demanding Treaty Provision	0.434	0.835	0.504*	0.504	
	(0.496)	(0.626)	(0.258)	(0.385)	
Non-derogable Treaty Provision	2.380**	2.470**	2.693**	2.693**	
	(0.566)	(0.914)	(0.436)	(0.368)	
Judicial Independence	0.295	-0.073	-0.157	-0.157	
	(0.184)	(0.592)	(0.228)	(0.383)	
Treaties Equal or Superior	-1.044*	-0.559	-1.922	-1.922	
	(0.575)	(1.909)	(1.340)	(1.320)	
Basic Rights Respected	-1.282**	-2.713*	-0.965**	-0.965**	
	(0.219)	(1.429)	(0.434)	(0.420)	
GDP/cap (ln)	0.152	2.078	0.047	0.047	
	(0.181)	(2.750)	(0.483)	(0.410)	
Population (ln)	0.005	-6.690	-0.053	-0.053	
	(1.679)	(9.003)	(0.314)	(0.303)	
Reservation	6.604**	7.486**	6.166**	6.166**	
	(0.867)	(0.612)	(0.486)	(0.794)	
Constant	-11.681**	65.276	-9.834	-9.834	
	(3.655)	(103.529)	(8.242)	(6.921)	
Observations	46,579	10,704	48,415	48,415	
Pseudo R2	0.91	0.93	0.89	0.89	
Error Cluster	•	•	Treaty	Country	
Fixed Effects	Treaty	Country		•	
** = p<0.05; *= p<0.10. Robust standard errors reported in parentheses					
Fixed effects omitted due to space constraints.					

Table 5. Logit Analyses of Reservation Withdrawal on Full Sample, Controlling for Reservation