**Supplemental Materials**

In addition to the models presented in the body of the paper, several alternative approaches to empirically modeling Latino interstate migrant voting behavior are presented below. Each address specific concerns regarding measurement, sample bias, and the use of fixed-effects to capture within-state variation. In general, the results of these alternative approaches support the substantive findings presented in the main body of the paper, with only minor, and intermittent, differences in the p-values associated with the substantively important variables. In addition to these model re-specifications, the final portion of these supplemental materials provides the CMPS 2016 methodology statement in full (Document S1).

The first set of results, reported in Table S1, substitutes the 2016 vote for Hilary Clinton for the previous state’s Obama vote for models of both Clinton and Democratic Congressional candidate vote choice. Given the potentially unique nature of the 2016 election given its heightened populist tone from the Republican’s campaign, as well as a focus on undocumented immigration, the 2016 context may capture political dimensions that differ from those captured by the 2012 Obama vote. As the results reveal, if anything, the relationships presented in the body of the article are strengthened with this substitution.

[Table S1 Here]

The second set of results, reported in Table S2, employs an alternative modeling approach to the effect of the political context in a migrant’s previous state of residence. Rather than relying on state fixed-effects to capture the state mean, models reported in Table S1 utilized the simple state-level Clinton vote or Mean State Ideology in respondents’ current states to capture the baseline state effects. In each of the models in Table S3, the direction of the key independent variables remains the same as reported in Tables 3 and 4 of the main paper. Given the interactive nature of these variables, the substantive effects remain the same. Moreover, the models are limited to some degree as they are unable to compare movers to non-movers within any single state context.

[Table S3 Here]

A second concern arises from the potential of large Latino population states to influence the estimates given the national sample employed in the analyses. California, for instance, has been shown to affect comparative state analyses (Wolak et al. 2001), and it, along with other large Latino population states, may similarly affect the results presented in Table 1 of the main paper. To evaluate the potential for a single large Latino population state to affect the results, Tables S3a and S3b replicate the models for the Clinton Vote and Tables S3c and S3d do so for Democratic Congressional Vote choices. Each model eliminates one of the four states with the largest samples in the data set--California, Texas, Florida and New York. While a few models display increased p-values (up to p<.10 in a two-tailed test, which may reasonably be halved to reflect the anticipated direction of the effect of the previous state political context variables), the direction and significance of the coefficients remain fairly stable and consistent. The only model that deviates from those reported in the main body is for verified voters’ Congressional vote choice when excluding Texas, where the coefficient for previous state ideology is insignificant (p < .154 in a two-tailed test). In other instances of insignificant coefficients on key independent variables, such as a vote for Obama in the Congressional vote choice models, the results reflect those presented in the main findings. Given the consistent finding for the effect of the broader state ideology effect on the less visible congressional vote choice, this anomaly does not seem to be a cause for concern.

[Table S3a through S3d Here]

A final concern is that the use of state-level fixed-effects in Tables 3 and 4 potentially affects the estimated coefficients. While the modeling presented in Tables 3 and 4 is the most straightforward approach, the models were also estimated with random effects multi-level models, with results presented in Table S4. The results, once again, are consistent with those reported in the main paper.

[Table S4 Here]

Overall, the various alternative specifications and modeling approaches presented here are consistent with those reported in Tables 3 and 4. The main results remain substantially robust to these variations and thus provide additional support to the conclusions presented in the main paper.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table S1. Interstate Migration Effects and Vote for Clinton and Democratic House Candidate Using Clinton Vote in 2016 in Previous State as the Measure of Previous State Political Context. | | | | |
|  | **Clinton Vote** | | **Democratic House Vote** | |
|  | **Self-Reported** | **Verified** | **Self-Reported** | **Verified** |
| Interstate Migrant | **-1.165\*\*\*** | **-1.531\*\*\*** | **-1.068\*\*** | **-0.909\*** |
|  | **(0.306)** | **(0.394)** | **(0.377)** | **(0.464)** |
| Previous State 2012 Clinton Vote | **2.421\*\*\*** | **3.175\*\*\*** | **1.624\*** | **1.821+** |
| **(0.667)** | **(0.650)** | **(0.792)** | **(1.003)** |
| Democrat | 1.402\*\*\* | 1.478\*\*\* | 1.692\*\*\* | 1.835\*\*\* |
|  | (0.133) | (0.146) | (0.168) | (0.208) |
| Republican | -0.609\*\*\* | -0.704\*\*\* | -1.174\*\*\* | -1.273\*\*\* |
|  | (0.109) | (0.146) | (0.129) | (0.103) |
| Conservativism | -0.274\*\*\* | -0.297\*\*\* | -0.250\*\*\* | -0.261\*\* |
|  | (0.050) | (0.060) | (0.049) | (0.095) |
| Female | 0.168\* | 0.099 | 0.090 | 0.095 |
|  | (0.081) | (0.158) | (0.102) | (0.167) |
| Age | 0.007 | 0.011\* | 0.002 | 0.004 |
|  | (0.004) | (0.005) | (0.003) | (0.005) |
| Catholic | 0.183 | 0.192 | 0.016 | -0.087 |
|  | (0.096) | (0.120) | (0.170) | (0.214) |
| Evangelical | -0.068 | -0.301\*\* | -0.105 | -0.210 |
|  | (0.096) | (0.102) | (0.149) | (0.199) |
| College Education | 0.060 | 0.123\* | 0.330\*\*\* | 0.323\* |
|  | (0.053) | (0.063) | (0.076) | (0.160) |
| Income $40,000 to $79,999 | -0.160 | -0.176 | -0.106 | -0.184 |
| (0.098) | (0.156) | (0.088) | (0.183) |
| Income over $80,000 | -0.057 | -0.137 | -0.142 | -0.480\* |
| (0.094) | (0.151) | (0.146) | (0.196) |
| Married | 0.036 | 0.137 | -0.290\* | -0.134 |
|  | (0.064) | (0.112) | (0.140) | (0.157) |
| Homeowner | -0.096 | -0.099 | -0.186 | -0.214 |
|  | (0.087) | (0.065) | (0.120) | (0.131) |
| Unemployed | -0.004 | -0.158 | -0.109 | -0.182 |
|  | (0.147) | (0.265) | (0.203) | (0.268) |
| Foreign-Born | 0.156 | 0.056 | 0.154 | -0.094 |
|  | (0.129) | (0.151) | (0.133) | (0.191) |
| Puerto Rican | 0.955\*\*\* | 1.403\*\*\* | 0.310 | 0.861 |
|  | (0.219) | (0.285) | (0.293) | (0.474) |
| Mexican | 0.008 | 0.001 | -0.004 | 0.134 |
|  | (0.094) | (0.124) | (0.088) | (0.145) |
| Cuban | -0.054 | -0.071 | -0.389 | -0.279 |
|  | (0.265) | (0.319) | (0.211) | (0.270) |
| *N* | 1566 | 920 | 1570 | 918 |
| Pseudo R2 | .420 | .453 | .597 | .666 |
| Note: Probit coefficients with robust standard errors clustered in parentheses. \*p<.05, \*\*p<.01 and \*\*\*p<.001 in a two-tailed test. A constant, state and DC Fixed Effects are included but not reported. CA serves as the baseline state, with 39 clusters in Self-Reported models and 27 in Verified models out of 51 (including DC) utilized due to small sample sizes and collinearity. | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table S2. Interstate Migration Effects on Latino Vote Choice in 2016 with Current State Clinton Vote or Ideology, and No State Fixed Effects. | | | | | | | | |
| DV: Vote For: | Clinton  Self-Reported | Clinton  Verified | Clinton  Self-Reported | Clinton  Verified | Congress  Self-Reported | Congress  Verified | Congress  Self-Reported | Congress  Verified |
| Interstate Migrant | **-1.003\*\*\*** | **-0.995\*\*** | -0.019 | -0.023 | **-1.021\*\*** | **-0.730+** | **-0.277\*** | **-0.090** |
|  | **(0.270)** | **(0.324)** | (0.097) | (0.161) | **(0.321)** | **(0.415)** | **(0.116)** | **(0.218)** |
| **Previous State Obama Vote** | **2.069\*\*\*** | **2.004\*\*\*** |  |  | **1.487\*** | **1.368** |  |  |
|  | **(0.575)** | **(0.543)** |  |  | **(0.615)** | **(0.883)** |  |  |
| Current State Clinton Vote | -0.454 | -1.415\* |  |  | 0.410 | 1.843\*\*\* |  |  |
|  | (0.398) | (0.680) |  |  | (0.327) | (0.546) |  |  |
| **Previous State Ideology** |  |  | **0.412\*\*\*** | **0.466\*\*** |  |  | **0.357\*** | **0.449\*\*** |
|  |  |  | **(0.116)** | **(0.150)** |  |  | **(0.145)** | **(0.170)** |
| Current State Ideology |  |  | -0.117\* | -0.184\* |  |  | 0.095\* | 0.277\*\*\* |
|  |  |  | (0.055) | (0.094) |  |  | (0.048) | (0.047) |
| Democrat | 1.333\*\*\* | 1.382\*\*\* | 1.362\*\*\* | 1.388\*\*\* | 1.676\*\*\* | 1.827\*\*\* | 1.659\*\*\* | 1.814\*\*\* |
|  | (0.118) | (0.125) | (0.124) | (0.125) | (0.157) | (0.192) | (0.159) | (0.193) |
| Republican | -0.616\*\*\* | -0.693\*\*\* | -0.606\*\*\* | -0.707\*\*\* | -1.186\*\*\* | -1.233\*\*\* | -1.196\*\*\* | -1.247\*\*\* |
|  | (0.109) | (0.149) | (0.115) | (0.153) | (0.119) | (0.082) | (0.123) | (0.084) |
| Conservativism | -0.272\*\*\* | -0.267\*\*\* | -0.273\*\*\* | -0.270\*\*\* | -0.229\*\*\* | -0.270\*\* | -0.238\*\*\* | -0.281\*\*\* |
|  | (0.047) | (0.058) | (0.047) | (0.058) | (0.048) | (0.084) | (0.048) | (0.083) |
| Female | 0.167\* | 0.119 | 0.163\* | 0.122 | 0.084 | 0.102 | 0.092 | 0.100 |
|  | (0.081) | (0.142) | (0.080) | (0.140) | (0.099) | (0.164) | (0.100) | (0.164) |
| Age | 0.005 | 0.009\* | 0.005 | 0.009\* | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.005 |
|  | (0.004) | (0.005) | (0.004) | (0.005) | (0.003) | (0.005) | (0.003) | (0.005) |
| Catholic | 0.156 | 0.123 | 0.153 | 0.117 | 0.011 | -0.115 | 0.011 | -0.118 |
|  | (0.098) | (0.120) | (0.097) | (0.123) | (0.159) | (0.195) | (0.156) | (0.197) |
| Evangelical | -0.077 | -0.315\*\*\* | -0.080 | -0.326\*\*\* | -0.128 | -0.225 | -0.132 | -0.217 |
|  | (0.090) | (0.091) | (0.090) | (0.089) | (0.140) | (0.183) | (0.142) | (0.187) |
| College Education | 0.065 | 0.178\*\* | 0.063 | 0.185\*\* | 0.311\*\*\* | 0.315\* | 0.322\*\*\* | 0.341\* |
|  | (0.056) | (0.063) | (0.057) | (0.065) | (0.077) | (0.156) | (0.074) | (0.159) |
| Income $40,000 to $79,999 | -0.142 | -0.165 | -0.150 | -0.167 | -0.117 | -0.084 | -0.097 | -0.080 |
|  | (0.093) | (0.153) | (0.092) | (0.153) | (0.082) | (0.174) | (0.087) | (0.172) |
| Income over $80,000 | -0.069 | -0.132 | -0.060 | -0.123 | -0.153 | -0.403\* | -0.156 | -0.424\* |
|  | (0.087) | (0.148) | (0.089) | (0.154) | (0.136) | (0.184) | (0.137) | (0.182) |
| Married | 0.034 | 0.125 | 0.033 | 0.135 | -0.255\* | -0.101 | -0.256 | -0.120 |
|  | (0.065) | (0.106) | (0.065) | (0.105) | (0.127) | (0.128) | (0.131) | (0.136) |
| Homeowner | -0.088 | -0.069 | -0.096 | -0.076 | -0.186 | -0.273\* | -0.193 | -0.267\* |
|  | (0.086) | (0.073) | (0.084) | (0.072) | (0.110) | (0.136) | (0.110) | (0.136) |
| Unemployed | 0.013 | -0.133 | 0.017 | -0.125 | -0.068 | -0.047 | -0.070 | -0.047 |
|  | (0.143) | (0.229) | (0.148) | (0.242) | (0.193) | (0.232) | (0.191) | (0.237) |
| Foreign-Born | 0.151 | 0.057 | 0.159 | 0.068 | 0.103 | -0.117 | 0.121 | -0.066 |
|  | (0.127) | (0.137) | (0.124) | (0.145) | (0.133) | (0.165) | (0.122) | (0.170) |
| Puerto Rican | 0.895\*\*\* | 1.168\*\*\* | 0.900\*\*\* | 1.170\*\*\* | 0.212 | 0.639 | 0.238 | 0.723 |
|  | (0.196) | (0.233) | (0.200) | (0.228) | (0.279) | (0.402) | (0.271) | (0.394) |
| Mexican | 0.094 | 0.193 | 0.083 | 0.194 | 0.036 | 0.186\* | 0.038 | 0.212\* |
|  | (0.094) | (0.105) | (0.081) | (0.101) | (0.072) | (0.094) | (0.078) | (0.098) |
| Cuban | -0.034 | -0.010 | -0.046 | -0.004 | -0.462\*\* | -0.361 | -0.450\* | -0.334 |
|  | (0.238) | (0.283) | (0.236) | (0.276) | (0.169) | (0.264) | (0.175) | (0.275) |
| N | 1585 | 939 | 1576 | 935 | 1585 | 939 | 1576 | 935 |
| Pseudo R2 | .403 | .431 | .406 | .435 | .591 | .657 | .592 | .670 |
| Note: Cell entries are Probit coefficients with robust standard errors clustered by state reported in parentheses. +p<.10, \*p<.05, \*\*p<.01 and \*\*\*p<.001 in a two-tailed test of significance. A constant is included but not reported. | | | | | | | | |
| Table S3a: Interstate Migration Effects on Presidential Vote Choice Among Self-Reported Latino Voters, Excluding Individual Large Sample States, With State Fixed Effects | | | | | | | | |
| DV: Vote for Clinton. | CA Excluded | TX  Excluded | FL Excluded | NY  Excluded | CA  Excluded | TX  Excluded | FL  Excluded | NY  Excluded |
| Interstate Migrant | **-0.909\*\*** | **-1.047\*\*\*** | **-1.190\*\*\*** | **-0.948\*\*\*** | 0.012 | -0.086 | 0.065 | -0.015 |
|  | **(0.283)** | **(0.286)** | **(0.338)** | **(0.240)** | (0.119) | (0.095) | (0.099) | (0.114) |
| **Previous State Obama Vote** | **1.879\*\*** | **1.960\*\*** | **2.547\*\*\*** | **1.895\*\*\*** |  |  |  |  |
|  | **(0.627)** | **(0.644)** | **(0.694)** | **(0.534)** |  |  |  |  |
| **Previous State Ideology** |  |  |  |  | **0.351\*\*** | **0.418\*\*** | **0.443\*\*** | **0.353\*\*** |
|  |  |  |  |  | **(0.131)** | **(0.157)** | **(0.168)** | **(0.124)** |
| Democrat | 1.442\*\*\* | 1.472\*\*\* | 1.505\*\*\* | 1.331\*\*\* | 1.451\*\*\* | 1.491\*\*\* | 1.519\*\*\* | 1.342\*\*\* |
|  | (0.192) | (0.151) | (0.131) | (0.120) | (0.196) | (0.155) | (0.136) | (0.124) |
| Republican | -0.679\*\*\* | -0.538\*\*\* | -0.575\*\*\* | -0.622\*\*\* | -0.687\*\*\* | -0.531\*\*\* | -0.572\*\*\* | -0.620\*\*\* |
|  | (0.119) | (0.107) | (0.126) | (0.113) | (0.121) | (0.113) | (0.133) | (0.119) |
| Conservativism | -0.255\*\*\* | -0.275\*\*\* | -0.245\*\*\* | -0.304\*\*\* | -0.255\*\*\* | -0.276\*\*\* | -0.246\*\*\* | -0.305\*\*\* |
|  | (0.066) | (0.063) | (0.052) | (0.044) | (0.065) | (0.063) | (0.051) | (0.044) |
| Female | 0.215\* | 0.133 | 0.127 | 0.133 | 0.217\* | 0.131 | 0.128 | 0.133 |
|  | (0.088) | (0.088) | (0.087) | (0.083) | (0.087) | (0.089) | (0.087) | (0.083) |
| Age | 0.003 | 0.009\* | 0.006 | 0.008\* | 0.003 | 0.008\* | 0.006 | 0.008\* |
|  | (0.004) | (0.004) | (0.004) | (0.004) | (0.004) | (0.004) | (0.004) | (0.004) |
| Catholic | 0.090 | 0.203 | 0.155 | 0.206\* | 0.090 | 0.201 | 0.154 | 0.202\* |
|  | (0.111) | (0.110) | (0.115) | (0.097) | (0.112) | (0.110) | (0.115) | (0.098) |
| Evangelical | -0.035 | -0.038 | -0.149\* | -0.054 | -0.038 | -0.040 | -0.146\* | -0.051 |
|  | (0.123) | (0.119) | (0.067) | (0.102) | (0.121) | (0.119) | (0.067) | (0.101) |
| College Education | 0.030 | 0.074 | 0.072 | 0.050 | 0.026 | 0.077 | 0.076 | 0.054 |
|  | (0.071) | (0.061) | (0.061) | (0.059) | (0.071) | (0.062) | (0.062) | (0.061) |
| Income $40,000 to $79,999 | -0.151 | -0.096 | -0.133 | -0.127 | -0.145 | -0.097 | -0.137 | -0.129 |
|  | (0.144) | (0.103) | (0.118) | (0.106) | (0.143) | (0.102) | (0.117) | (0.105) |
| Income over $80,000 | 0.009 | -0.078 | -0.059 | -0.088 | 0.022 | -0.074 | -0.059 | -0.085 |
|  | (0.131) | (0.110) | (0.107) | (0.093) | (0.128) | (0.111) | (0.109) | (0.094) |
| Married | -0.012 | 0.074 | 0.027 | 0.062 | -0.003 | 0.075 | 0.027 | 0.064 |
|  | (0.073) | (0.064) | (0.077) | (0.063) | (0.076) | (0.064) | (0.076) | (0.062) |
| Homeowner | -0.007 | -0.127 | -0.139 | -0.091 | -0.010 | -0.128 | -0.142 | -0.091 |
|  | (0.086) | (0.093) | (0.090) | (0.100) | (0.088) | (0.094) | (0.091) | (0.100) |
| Unemployed | 0.084 | -0.095 | 0.049 | 0.060 | 0.094 | -0.091 | 0.056 | 0.067 |
|  | (0.182) | (0.120) | (0.169) | (0.174) | (0.184) | (0.122) | (0.173) | (0.177) |
| Foreign-Born | 0.122 | 0.051 | 0.226 | 0.154 | 0.138 | 0.076 | 0.240 | 0.166 |
|  | (0.173) | (0.117) | (0.135) | (0.150) | (0.165) | (0.116) | (0.127) | (0.144) |
| Puerto Rican | 1.028\*\*\* | 0.815\*\*\* | 1.066\*\*\* | 0.828\*\*\* | 1.047\*\*\* | 0.838\*\*\* | 1.102\*\*\* | 0.848\*\*\* |
|  | (0.232) | (0.187) | (0.303) | (0.188) | (0.233) | (0.187) | (0.303) | (0.186) |
| Mexican | 0.116 | -0.006 | 0.006 | -0.001 | 0.097 | -0.014 | -0.007 | -0.006 |
|  | (0.108) | (0.112) | (0.114) | (0.093) | (0.104) | (0.107) | (0.109) | (0.089) |
| Cuban | 0.196\* | -0.079 | -0.367 | -0.039 | 0.200\*\* | -0.080 | -0.365 | -0.038 |
|  | (0.078) | (0.270) | (0.356) | (0.281) | (0.076) | (0.274) | (0.357) | (0.280) |
| N | 1130 | 1333 | 1364 | 1424 | 1126 | 1328 | 1359 | 1419 |
| Pseudo R2 | .443 | .427 | .431 | .412 | .445 | .430 | .432 | .413 |
| Note: Cell entries are Probit coefficients with robust standard errors clustered by state reported in parentheses. +p<.10, \*p<.05, \*\*p<.01 and \*\*\*p<.001 in a two-tailed test of significance. A constant, state and DC Fixed Effects are included but not reported. | | | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table S3b: Interstate Migration Effects on Presidential Vote Choice Among Verified Voters, Excluding Individual Large Sample States, With State Fixed Effects | | | | | | | | |
| DV: Vote for Clinton. | CA Excluded | TX  Excluded | FL Excluded | NY  Excluded | CA  Excluded | TX  Excluded | FL  Excluded | NY  Excluded |
| Interstate Migrant | **-1.055\*\*** | **-1.520\*\*\*** | **-1.400\*\*** | **-1.264\*\*\*** | 0.070 | -0.157 | 0.047 | -0.038 |
|  | **(0.379)** | **(0.414)** | **(0.517)** | **(0.359)** | (0.210) | (0.150) | (0.208) | (0.182) |
| **Previous State Obama Vote** | **2.296\*\*\*** | **2.730\*\*\*** | **2.914\*\*\*** | **2.477\*\*\*** |  |  |  |  |
|  | **(0.626)** | **(0.780)** | **(0.801)** | **(0.548)** |  |  |  |  |
| **Previous State Ideology** |  |  |  |  | **0.482\*\*** | **0.612\*\*** | **0.605\*\*** | **0.555\*\*\*** |
|  |  |  |  |  | **(0.165)** | **(0.205)** | **(0.200)** | **(0.158)** |
| Democrat | 1.513\*\*\* | 1.538\*\*\* | 1.574\*\*\* | 1.431\*\*\* | 1.521\*\*\* | 1.553\*\*\* | 1.585\*\*\* | 1.442\*\*\* |
|  | (0.212) | (0.173) | (0.166) | (0.144) | (0.215) | (0.177) | (0.169) | (0.149) |
| Republican | -0.773\*\*\* | -0.664\*\*\* | -0.715\*\*\* | -0.681\*\*\* | -0.793\*\*\* | -0.671\*\*\* | -0.722\*\*\* | -0.691\*\*\* |
|  | (0.209) | (0.191) | (0.174) | (0.151) | (0.212) | (0.193) | (0.177) | (0.154) |
| Conservativism | -0.284\*\* | -0.324\*\*\* | -0.257\*\*\* | -0.332\*\*\* | -0.284\*\* | -0.326\*\*\* | -0.260\*\*\* | -0.334\*\*\* |
|  | (0.091) | (0.072) | (0.057) | (0.061) | (0.088) | (0.071) | (0.057) | (0.060) |
| Female | 0.181 | 0.082 | -0.035 | 0.020 | 0.187 | 0.086 | -0.023 | 0.026 |
|  | (0.199) | (0.194) | (0.124) | (0.148) | (0.196) | (0.191) | (0.124) | (0.145) |
| Age | 0.008 | 0.015\*\*\* | 0.012\* | 0.012\* | 0.007 | 0.015\*\*\* | 0.011\* | 0.011\* |
|  | (0.006) | (0.004) | (0.005) | (0.005) | (0.006) | (0.004) | (0.005) | (0.005) |
| Catholic | 0.089 | 0.264\* | 0.167 | 0.198 | 0.079 | 0.261\* | 0.160 | 0.193 |
|  | (0.157) | (0.114) | (0.146) | (0.126) | (0.160) | (0.117) | (0.149) | (0.129) |
| Evangelical | -0.284\* | -0.322\* | -0.386\*\*\* | -0.294\*\* | -0.292\* | -0.327\* | -0.388\*\*\* | -0.300\*\* |
|  | (0.136) | (0.143) | (0.092) | (0.105) | (0.133) | (0.140) | (0.091) | (0.102) |
| College Education | 0.088 | 0.131 | 0.130 | 0.118 | 0.097 | 0.141 | 0.139 | 0.133 |
|  | (0.099) | (0.075) | (0.074) | (0.068) | (0.103) | (0.079) | (0.077) | (0.072) |
| Income $40,000 to $79,999 | -0.115 | -0.069 | -0.068 | -0.219 | -0.099 | -0.067 | -0.066 | -0.217 |
|  | (0.236) | (0.173) | (0.175) | (0.160) | (0.236) | (0.176) | (0.177) | (0.159) |
| Income over $80,000 | -0.039 | -0.128 | -0.088 | -0.204 | -0.011 | -0.122 | -0.080 | -0.197 |
|  | (0.240) | (0.182) | (0.170) | (0.143) | (0.239) | (0.187) | (0.176) | (0.145) |
| Married | 0.062 | 0.169 | 0.102 | 0.208\* | 0.076 | 0.186 | 0.109 | 0.215\* |
|  | (0.145) | (0.135) | (0.137) | (0.092) | (0.147) | (0.132) | (0.138) | (0.095) |
| Homeowner | -0.059 | -0.120 | -0.108 | -0.096 | -0.070 | -0.136 | -0.120 | -0.105 |
|  | (0.079) | (0.072) | (0.076) | (0.072) | (0.080) | (0.072) | (0.079) | (0.073) |
| Unemployed | -0.082 | -0.335 | -0.212 | 0.048 | -0.054 | -0.340 | -0.200 | 0.067 |
|  | (0.346) | (0.252) | (0.282) | (0.240) | (0.358) | (0.262) | (0.297) | (0.254) |
| Foreign-Born | -0.066 | -0.045 | 0.119 | 0.113 | -0.030 | -0.003 | 0.153 | 0.152 |
|  | (0.169) | (0.170) | (0.174) | (0.149) | (0.161) | (0.168) | (0.169) | (0.144) |
| Puerto Rican | 1.487\*\*\* | 1.298\*\*\* | 1.767\*\*\* | 1.410\*\*\* | 1.503\*\*\* | 1.327\*\*\* | 1.804\*\*\* | 1.435\*\*\* |
|  | (0.327) | (0.306) | (0.373) | (0.293) | (0.328) | (0.297) | (0.351) | (0.283) |
| Mexican | 0.124 | -0.043 | -0.022 | 0.010 | 0.104 | -0.055 | -0.027 | 0.005 |
|  | (0.161) | (0.154) | (0.157) | (0.121) | (0.159) | (0.147) | (0.154) | (0.116) |
| Cuban | 0.247\*\* | -0.112 | -0.403 | -0.044 | 0.267\*\* | -0.097 | -0.389 | -0.036 |
|  | (0.094) | (0.345) | (0.443) | (0.313) | (0.088) | (0.346) | (0.443) | (0.314) |
| N | 642 | 777 | 794 | 845 | 639 | 774 | 791 | 842 |
| Pseudo R2 | .476 | .467 | .457 | .441 | .479 | .471 | .460 | .445 |
| Note: Cell entries are Probit coefficients with robust standard errors clustered by state reported in parentheses. +p<.10, \*p<.05, \*\*p<.01 and \*\*\*p<.001 in a two-tailed test of significance. A constant, state and DC Fixed Effects are included but not reported. | | | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table S3c: Interstate Migration Effects on Congressional Vote Choice Among Self-Reported Voters, Excluding Individual Large Sample States, With State Fixed Effects | | | | | | | | |
| DV: Vote for Democrat | CA Excluded | TX  Excluded | FL Excluded | NY  Excluded | CA  Excluded | TX  Excluded | FL  Excluded | NY  Excluded |
| Interstate Migrant | -0.836 | **-0.975\*\*** | **-1.155\*\*** | **-1.169\*\*\*** | -0.209 | **-0.380\*\*** | -0.218 | **-0.332\*** |
|  | (0.433) | **(0.335)** | **(0.389)** | **(0.298)** | (0.143) | **(0.123)** | (0.143) | **(0.131)** |
| **Previous State Obama Vote** | 1.290 | **1.215\*** | **1.892\*\*** | **1.702\*\*** |  |  |  |  |
|  | (0.835) | **(0.570)** | **(0.707)** | **(0.610)** |  |  |  |  |
| **Previous State Ideology** |  |  |  |  | **0.285+** | **0.382\*** | **0.418\*** | **0.428\*\*** |
|  |  |  |  |  | **(0.160)** | **(0.183)** | **(0.209)** | **(0.160)** |
| Democrat | 1.879\*\*\* | 1.739\*\*\* | 1.717\*\*\* | 1.604\*\*\* | 1.888\*\*\* | 1.738\*\*\* | 1.716\*\*\* | 1.603\*\*\* |
|  | (0.176) | (0.213) | (0.199) | (0.134) | (0.174) | (0.217) | (0.203) | (0.140) |
| Republican | -1.094\*\*\* | -1.148\*\*\* | -1.212\*\*\* | -1.270\*\*\* | -1.082\*\*\* | -1.140\*\*\* | -1.211\*\*\* | -1.270\*\*\* |
|  | (0.151) | (0.163) | (0.138) | (0.092) | (0.152) | (0.168) | (0.144) | (0.097) |
| Conservativism | -0.224\*\*\* | -0.276\*\*\* | -0.249\*\*\* | -0.272\*\*\* | -0.228\*\*\* | -0.281\*\*\* | -0.255\*\*\* | -0.279\*\*\* |
|  | (0.056) | (0.056) | (0.060) | (0.051) | (0.056) | (0.056) | (0.062) | (0.052) |
| Female | 0.041 | 0.169 | 0.033 | 0.103 | 0.036 | 0.170 | 0.034 | 0.104 |
|  | (0.132) | (0.091) | (0.108) | (0.110) | (0.133) | (0.093) | (0.110) | (0.112) |
| Age | -0.001 | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.002 | -0.000 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.002 |
|  | (0.003) | (0.003) | (0.003) | (0.003) | (0.003) | (0.003) | (0.003) | (0.003) |
| Catholic | 0.165 | 0.142 | -0.053 | 0.007 | 0.165 | 0.147 | -0.045 | 0.012 |
|  | (0.219) | (0.196) | (0.168) | (0.186) | (0.218) | (0.192) | (0.166) | (0.184) |
| Evangelical | -0.033 | -0.048 | -0.230\* | -0.079 | -0.033 | -0.052 | -0.233\* | -0.079 |
|  | (0.206) | (0.176) | (0.099) | (0.156) | (0.205) | (0.179) | (0.101) | (0.158) |
| College Education | 0.300\*\* | 0.358\*\*\* | 0.373\*\*\* | 0.300\*\*\* | 0.306\*\* | 0.357\*\*\* | 0.373\*\*\* | 0.305\*\*\* |
|  | (0.101) | (0.091) | (0.093) | (0.077) | (0.101) | (0.088) | (0.090) | (0.075) |
| Income $40,000 to $79,999 | -0.176 | -0.068 | -0.107 | -0.138 | -0.170 | -0.049 | -0.091 | -0.121 |
|  | (0.107) | (0.106) | (0.101) | (0.100) | (0.108) | (0.112) | (0.110) | (0.108) |
| Income over $80,000 | -0.258 | -0.085 | -0.189 | -0.171 | -0.258 | -0.083 | -0.188 | -0.167 |
|  | (0.179) | (0.172) | (0.173) | (0.166) | (0.178) | (0.173) | (0.174) | (0.167) |
| Married | -0.374\* | -0.220 | -0.157 | -0.288 | -0.387\* | -0.222 | -0.154 | -0.291 |
|  | (0.153) | (0.160) | (0.121) | (0.151) | (0.152) | (0.166) | (0.124) | (0.157) |
| Homeowner | -0.165 | -0.299\*\* | -0.210 | -0.127 | -0.168 | -0.307\*\* | -0.227 | -0.139 |
|  | (0.174) | (0.099) | (0.146) | (0.115) | (0.173) | (0.101) | (0.149) | (0.117) |
| Unemployed | 0.034 | -0.207 | -0.074 | -0.262 | 0.038 | -0.203 | -0.069 | -0.258 |
|  | (0.234) | (0.212) | (0.229) | (0.164) | (0.235) | (0.213) | (0.232) | (0.167) |
| Foreign-Born | 0.179 | 0.102 | 0.181 | 0.071 | 0.194 | 0.124 | 0.186 | 0.083 |
|  | (0.185) | (0.163) | (0.161) | (0.119) | (0.174) | (0.153) | (0.156) | (0.107) |
| Puerto Rican | 0.304 | 0.169 | 0.648 | 0.101 | 0.319 | 0.186 | 0.671 | 0.118 |
|  | (0.301) | (0.295) | (0.357) | (0.218) | (0.299) | (0.293) | (0.353) | (0.219) |
| Mexican | 0.029 | 0.002 | 0.022 | -0.024 | 0.033 | -0.011 | 0.003 | -0.033 |
|  | (0.137) | (0.116) | (0.098) | (0.090) | (0.134) | (0.119) | (0.104) | (0.091) |
| Cuban | -0.265 | -0.412 | -0.723\*\*\* | -0.394 | -0.269 | -0.415 | -0.727\*\*\* | -0.395 |
|  | (0.197) | (0.243) | (0.147) | (0.235) | (0.199) | (0.248) | (0.161) | (0.236) |
| N | 1134 | 1337 | 1368 | 1428 | 1130 | 1332 | 1363 | 1423 |
| Pseudo R2 | .612 | .612 | .605 | .592 | .619 | .613 | .606 | .593 |
| Note: Cell entries are Probit coefficients with robust standard errors clustered by state reported in parentheses. +p<.10, \*p<.05, \*\*p<.01 and \*\*\*p<.001 in a two-tailed test of significance. A constant, state and DC Fixed Effects are included but not reported. | | | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table S3d: Interstate Migration Effects on Congressional Vote Choice Among Verified Voters, Excluding Large Sample States, With State Fixed Effects. | | | | | | | | |
| DV: Vote for Democrat | CA Excluded | TX  Excluded | FL Excluded | NY  Excluded | CA  Excluded | TX  Excluded | FL  Excluded | NY  Excluded |
| Interstate Migrant | -0.316 | -0.705 | -0.837 | -0.658 | 0.010 | **-0.370\*** | 0.167 | -0.059 |
|  | (0.456) | (0.531) | (0.688) | (0.466) | (0.330) | **(0.162)** | (0.257) | (0.262) |
| **Previous State Obama Vote** | 0.812 | 0.737 | **2.107+** | 1.286 |  |  |  |  |
|  | (1.049) | (1.112) | **(1.237)** | (1.022) |  |  |  |  |
| **Previous State Ideology** |  |  |  |  | **0.423\*** | 0.291 | **0.560\*\*** | **0.405\*** |
|  |  |  |  |  | **(0.215)** | (0.204) | **(0.206)** | **(0.199)** |
| Democrat | 2.095\*\*\* | 1.827\*\*\* | 1.930\*\*\* | 1.713\*\*\* | 2.091\*\*\* | 1.825\*\*\* | 1.941\*\*\* | 1.712\*\*\* |
|  | (0.204) | (0.267) | (0.275) | (0.167) | (0.204) | (0.262) | (0.276) | (0.165) |
| Republican | -1.308\*\*\* | -1.320\*\*\* | -1.288\*\*\* | -1.356\*\*\* | -1.317\*\*\* | -1.313\*\*\* | -1.282\*\*\* | -1.356\*\*\* |
|  | (0.177) | (0.139) | (0.125) | (0.089) | (0.170) | (0.136) | (0.122) | (0.084) |
| Conservativism | -0.181 | -0.304\*\* | -0.267\* | -0.243\* | -0.191 | -0.310\*\* | -0.276\*\* | -0.250\* |
|  | (0.103) | (0.115) | (0.105) | (0.100) | (0.102) | (0.112) | (0.103) | (0.099) |
| Female | 0.105 | 0.169 | -0.086 | 0.080 | 0.101 | 0.168 | -0.087 | 0.079 |
|  | (0.240) | (0.190) | (0.116) | (0.168) | (0.243) | (0.190) | (0.120) | (0.167) |
| Age | 0.000 | 0.009\*\* | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.009\*\* | 0.005 | 0.003 |
|  | (0.006) | (0.003) | (0.005) | (0.005) | (0.006) | (0.003) | (0.005) | (0.005) |
| Catholic | 0.140 | 0.120 | -0.131 | -0.072 | 0.133 | 0.118 | -0.139 | -0.077 |
|  | (0.276) | (0.269) | (0.228) | (0.223) | (0.281) | (0.269) | (0.232) | (0.226) |
| Evangelical | -0.095 | -0.167 | -0.365\*\* | -0.246 | -0.088 | -0.162 | -0.364\*\* | -0.246 |
|  | (0.263) | (0.252) | (0.134) | (0.197) | (0.264) | (0.251) | (0.139) | (0.199) |
| College Education | 0.416 | 0.212 | 0.422\* | 0.272 | 0.443\* | 0.224 | 0.443\* | 0.292 |
|  | (0.217) | (0.166) | (0.171) | (0.154) | (0.221) | (0.167) | (0.174) | (0.158) |
| Income $40,000 to $79,999 | -0.311 | -0.086 | -0.164 | -0.243 | -0.305 | -0.082 | -0.152 | -0.233 |
|  | (0.261) | (0.239) | (0.198) | (0.185) | (0.262) | (0.237) | (0.197) | (0.183) |
| Income over $80,000 | -0.626\* | -0.410 | -0.542\* | -0.516\* | -0.631\* | -0.419 | -0.536\* | -0.516\* |
|  | (0.294) | (0.248) | (0.223) | (0.208) | (0.298) | (0.253) | (0.221) | (0.207) |
| Married | -0.264 | -0.119 | 0.007 | -0.127 | -0.289\* | -0.130 | -0.017 | -0.145 |
|  | (0.136) | (0.202) | (0.147) | (0.159) | (0.138) | (0.204) | (0.162) | (0.164) |
| Homeowner | -0.340 | -0.316 | -0.237 | -0.167 | -0.339 | -0.307 | -0.237 | -0.163 |
|  | (0.198) | (0.163) | (0.162) | (0.120) | (0.200) | (0.164) | (0.164) | (0.119) |
| Unemployed | -0.125 | -0.351 | -0.261 | -0.255 | -0.107 | -0.354 | -0.246 | -0.242 |
|  | (0.404) | (0.290) | (0.288) | (0.269) | (0.417) | (0.293) | (0.301) | (0.277) |
| Foreign-Born | -0.058 | -0.251 | -0.166 | -0.153 | -0.001 | -0.228 | -0.146 | -0.125 |
|  | (0.253) | (0.194) | (0.222) | (0.189) | (0.252) | (0.188) | (0.224) | (0.192) |
| Puerto Rican | 0.975 | 0.363 | 0.790 | 0.816 | 1.023\* | 0.398 | 0.826 | 0.848 |
|  | (0.505) | (0.284) | (0.593) | (0.484) | (0.493) | (0.281) | (0.580) | (0.476) |
| Mexican | 0.206 | 0.087 | 0.048 | 0.126 | 0.234 | 0.106 | 0.065 | 0.143 |
|  | (0.227) | (0.216) | (0.157) | (0.141) | (0.229) | (0.222) | (0.159) | (0.144) |
| Cuban | -0.266 | -0.333 | -0.721\*\* | -0.206 | -0.264 | -0.332 | -0.744\*\* | -0.209 |
|  | (0.331) | (0.292) | (0.258) | (0.246) | (0.344) | (0.300) | (0.269) | (0.257) |
| N | 640 | 775 | 792 | 843 | 637 | 772 | 789 | 840 |
| Pseudo R2 | .697 | .691 | .663 | .650 | .699 | .690 | .665 | .650 |
| Note: Cell entries are Probit coefficients with robust standard errors clustered by state reported in parentheses. +p<.10, \*p<.05, \*\*p<.01 and \*\*\*p<.001 in a two-tailed test of significance. A constant, state and DC Fixed Effects are included but not reported. | | | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table S4. Estimates of Multi-level (Mixed-Effects) Models of Vote Choice by Immigrant Migrant Status and Previous State Context. | | | | | | | | | |
|  | Clinton  Self-Reported | Clinton  Verified | Clinton  Self-Reported | Clinton  Verified | Congress  Self-Reported | Congress  Verified | Congress  Self-Reported | | Congress  Verified |
| Interstate Migrant | **-1.771\*\*\*** | **-1.612\*\*** | 0.049 | 0.086 | **-1.826\*\*** | **-1.484+** | | **-0.608\*** | -0.281 |
|  | **(0.480)** | **(0.551)** | (0.172) | (0.267) | **(0.602)** | **(0.825)** | | **(0.252)** | (0.470) |
| **Previous State Obama Vote** | **3.750\*\*\*** | **3.521\*\*\*** |  |  | **2.503\*** | 2.570 | |  |  |
|  | **(1.044)** | **(1.011)** |  |  | **(1.110)** | (1.660) | |  |  |
| **Previous State Ideology** |  |  | **0.739\*\*\*** | **0.829\*\*** |  |  | | **0.634\*** | **0.826\*** |
|  |  |  | **(0.223)** | **(0.283)** |  |  | | **(0.282)** | **(0.329)** |
| Democrat | 2.271\*\*\* | 2.337\*\*\* | 2.288\*\*\* | 2.336\*\*\* | 3.004\*\*\* | 3.316\*\*\* | | 3.002\*\*\* | 3.320\*\*\* |
|  | (0.203) | (0.213) | (0.207) | (0.216) | (0.279) | (0.339) | | (0.281) | (0.340) |
| Republican | -1.010\*\*\* | -1.137\*\*\* | -1.010\*\*\* | -1.159\*\*\* | -2.088\*\*\* | -2.182\*\*\* | | -2.084\*\*\* | -2.171\*\*\* |
|  | (0.191) | (0.256) | (0.201) | (0.265) | (0.192) | (0.168) | | (0.195) | (0.165) |
| Conservativism | -0.465\*\*\* | -0.449\*\*\* | -0.471\*\*\* | -0.457\*\*\* | -0.427\*\*\* | -0.524\*\* | | -0.435\*\*\* | -0.539\*\*\* |
|  | (0.081) | (0.107) | (0.080) | (0.108) | (0.092) | (0.165) | | (0.093) | (0.161) |
| Female | 0.279 | 0.213 | 0.279 | 0.217 | 0.063 | 0.088 | | 0.072 | 0.084 |
|  | (0.163) | (0.288) | (0.164) | (0.285) | (0.201) | (0.330) | | (0.206) | (0.328) |
| Age | 0.009 | 0.014 | 0.008 | 0.014 | 0.004 | 0.010 | | 0.004 | 0.011 |
|  | (0.007) | (0.009) | (0.007) | (0.009) | (0.007) | (0.010) | | (0.007) | (0.010) |
| Catholic | 0.262 | 0.225 | 0.259 | 0.214 | 0.046 | -0.209 | | 0.057 | -0.224 |
|  | (0.177) | (0.235) | (0.177) | (0.241) | (0.303) | (0.369) | | (0.298) | (0.373) |
| Evangelical | -0.170 | -0.569\*\*\* | -0.163 | -0.572\*\*\* | -0.240 | -0.495 | | -0.238 | -0.486 |
|  | (0.162) | (0.157) | (0.159) | (0.154) | (0.283) | (0.359) | | (0.285) | (0.363) |
| College Education | 0.092 | 0.334\*\* | 0.099 | 0.347\*\* | 0.571\*\*\* | 0.495 | | 0.578\*\*\* | 0.543 |
|  | (0.102) | (0.113) | (0.104) | (0.114) | (0.151) | (0.319) | | (0.144) | (0.327) |
| Income $40,000 to $79,999 | -0.261 | -0.292 | -0.266 | -0.298 | -0.189 | -0.132 | | -0.169 | -0.122 |
|  | (0.168) | (0.283) | (0.165) | (0.280) | (0.167) | (0.319) | | (0.178) | (0.321) |
| Income over $80,000 | -0.128 | -0.270 | -0.126 | -0.261 | -0.237 | -0.662\* | | -0.243 | -0.684\* |
|  | (0.166) | (0.267) | (0.168) | (0.271) | (0.263) | (0.327) | | (0.266) | (0.331) |
| Married | 0.063 | 0.247 | 0.066 | 0.258 | -0.496\* | -0.226 | | -0.496\* | -0.274 |
|  | (0.117) | (0.200) | (0.113) | (0.201) | (0.239) | (0.246) | | (0.246) | (0.253) |
| Homeowner | -0.135 | -0.108 | -0.137 | -0.119 | -0.376 | -0.609\* | | -0.391 | -0.596\* |
|  | (0.163) | (0.148) | (0.163) | (0.147) | (0.214) | (0.266) | | (0.215) | (0.265) |
| Unemployed | 0.078 | -0.185 | 0.091 | -0.159 | -0.132 | -0.154 | | -0.135 | -0.132 |
|  | (0.265) | (0.415) | (0.272) | (0.438) | (0.393) | (0.467) | | (0.399) | (0.481) |
| Foreign-Born | 0.277 | 0.113 | 0.305 | 0.163 | 0.212 | -0.196 | | 0.225 | -0.143 |
|  | (0.230) | (0.267) | (0.222) | (0.269) | (0.250) | (0.342) | | (0.238) | (0.342) |
| Puerto Rican | 1.480\*\*\* | 2.070\*\*\* | 1.528\*\*\* | 2.105\*\*\* | 0.360 | 1.087 | | 0.379 | 1.150 |
|  | (0.365) | (0.409) | (0.367) | (0.396) | (0.548) | (0.838) | | (0.547) | (0.794) |
| Mexican | 0.168 | 0.347 | 0.151 | 0.345 | 0.081 | 0.333 | | 0.076 | 0.382 |
|  | (0.199) | (0.248) | (0.191) | (0.241) | (0.125) | (0.211) | | (0.129) | (0.211) |
| Cuban | -0.061 | 0.007 | -0.046 | 0.039 | -0.875\*\* | -0.697 | | -0.870\*\* | -0.673 |
|  | (0.441) | (0.519) | (0.439) | (0.519) | (0.306) | (0.527) | | (0.307) | (0.528) |
| Random Effects | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.040 | | 0.000 | 0.041 |
| State-Level Variance | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.040) | | (0.000) | (0.039) |
| N | 1585 | 939 | 1580 | 936 | 1585 | 939 | | 1580 | 936 |
| Groups | 46 | 37 | 46 | 37 | 46 | 37 | | 46 | 37 |
| Note: Coefficients and robust standard errors reported from a mixed-effects logistic regression with random effects at the state level utilizing Stata 13’s melogit command. Robust standard errors are clustered by state. Constant included, but not reported. State fixed effects are NOT included in the model. LR tests for all models indicated that the null hypotheses that between-state variance is zero could not be rejected. \* p <.05, \*\* p< .01 and \*\*\* p < .001 in a two-tailed test of significance. | | | | | | | | | |

Document S1. Full Methodology Statement of the Collaborative Multiracial Post-Election Survey (CMPS) 2016 is reproduced below.

Source Document Available at: http://www.latinodecisions.com/recent-polls/cmps-2016/

**Principal Investigators**: Matt A. Barreto, Lorrie Frasure-Yokley, Edward D. Vargas, Janelle Wong

**Methodology:** A total of 10,145 completed interviews were collected online in a respondent self-administered format from December 3, 2016 to February 15, 2017. The survey (and invitation) was available to respondents in English, Spanish, Chinese (simplified), Chinese (traditional), Korean, and Vietnamese. Because of the primary interest in the 2016 election, the project started with large sample of registered voters, to provide large sample size for analyses. The data also include an adult sample of non-registered voters as well, including non-citizens. Overall sample sizes were:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Total | Latino | Black | Asian | White, not-Hispanic |
| Registered to vote | 6,024 | 1,816 | 2,002 | 1,503 | 703 |
| Not registered | 4,121 | 1,187 | 1,100 | 1,503 | 331 |
| Total | 10,145 | 3,003 | 3,102 | 3,006 | 1,034 |

The full data are weighted within each racial group to match the adult population in the 2015 Census ACS 1-year data file for age, gender, education, nativity, ancestry, and voter registration status. A post-stratification raking algorithm was used to balance each category within +/- 1 percent of the ACS estimates. Data are not weighted to their national combined racial average. That is, Whites account for 10 percent of all cases, and each racial group roughly 30 percent. If users want to create nationally representative racial composition from the data they can consult the latest ACS data file.

In spring 2016, scholars were invited to collaborate on the 2016 Collaborative Multi-Racial Post-Election Survey (CMPS). The goal of the project was to create the first cooperative, 100% user content driven, multi-racial, multiethnic, multi-lingual, post-election online survey in race, ethnicity and politics (REP) in the United States. The survey’s main focus is on attitudes about the 2016 Election and candidates, debates over immigration, policing, and racial equality, and experiences with racial discrimination across many facets of American life.

Questions were user-generated from a team of 86 social scientists across 55 different universities who placed questions on the survey. Users could submit questions for just one single racial group, or common questions across all four racial groups, depending on their interest. In cases where two different users submitted very similar questions the PIs worked to create a single common question. Overall, the survey contains 394 questions and median completion time of 43.2 minutes.

Data for registered voters comes from the national voter registration database email sample, and respondents were randomly selected to participate in the study, and confirmed they were registered to vote before starting the survey. For the non-registered sample, emails addresses were randomly selected from various online panel vendors. In total, 298,159 email addresses were selected and sent invitations to participate in the survey and 29,489 people accepted the invitation and started the survey, for an effective response rate of 9.9%. Among the 29,489 people who started the survey, 11,868 potential respondents were terminated due to quotas being full, which resulted in 17,621 who were eligible to take the survey of which 10,145 completed the full questionnaire for a cooperation rate of 57.6%. Respondents were given a $10 or $20 gift card as compensation for their participation. Non-registered voters were randomly selected from one of six online panels of respondents from Federated, Poder, Research Now, Netquest, SSI, and Prodege, and confirmed that they were not registered to vote before starting the survey. Programming and data collection for the full project were overseen by Pacific Market Research in Renton, WA.

In keeping with best practices and data transparency ethics in the social sciences, the original survey data shall be posted to Inter-University Consortium for Political and Social Research (ICPSR) after 4 years, which is expected to be early 2021 (“ICPSR Posting”).
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