| Baseline input   | Source and application to model  | Expected Value and 95% UI   | Distribution |
|--|--|---|--------------|
| Parameter  | Statistics NZ (SNZ) nonvestion estimates for 2011  | Nil un contointu  |              |
| population count   | Statistics INZ (SINZ) population estimates for 2011.   | Nii uncertainty.  |              |
| All cause mortality  | SNZ mortality rates for 2011   | Nil uncertainty   |              |
| rates  | SIVE mortanty rates for 2011.  | Nil uncertainty.  |              |
| Disease-specific<br>incidence,<br>prevalence, and<br>case-fatality rates<br>(and remission<br>rates) | For each disease, coherent sets of incidence rates, prevalence, case-fatality rates (CFR), and remission rates (zero for non-cancers, the complement of the CFR for cancers to give the expected 5-y relative survival) were estimated using DISMOD II using data from NZBDS, HealthTracker and the Ministry of Health.  | Uncertainty: rates all +/- 5% standard deviation (SD).  | Log-normal   |
| Disease trends   | Trends are applied to incidence, case-fatality and remission. These are<br>switched on until 2026 and then kept constant for the remainder of the<br>lifetime.   | Uncertainty: +/- 0.5% absolute<br>change. Diabetes: Uncertainty +/-<br>1.5% absolute change.  | Normal       |
| Total morbidity per capita in 2011   | The per capita rate of years of life lived with disability (YLD) from the NZBDS.   | Uncertainty: +/- 10% SD.  | Log-normal   |
| Disease morbidity<br>rate per capita   | Each disease was assigned a disability rate (DR; by sex and age) equal to<br>YLDs for that disease (scaled down to adjust for comorbidities) from the 2006<br>NZBDS projected forward to 2011, divided by the disease prevalence. This<br>DR was assigned to the proportion of the cohort in each disease state.   | Uncertainty: +/- 10% SD.  | Normal       |
| Health system costs  | Linked health data (hospitalisations, inpatient procedures, outpatients, pharmaceuticals, laboratories, and expected primary care usage) for each individual in NZ for the period 2006–2010 had unit costs assigned to each event, and then five health system costs (2011 NZ\$) were estimated.   | Estimated at SD = $\pm 10\%$ of the point estimate.   | Gamma        |
| Timelags   | It takes time for a change in BMI to impact on disease incidence. As there are<br>no data on just how long these are we have used wide windows for timelags.<br>For cancers the timelag was assumed to range between 10 and 30 years. For<br>CHD, Stroke, diabetes and osteoarthritis, the non-cancers, the timelag was<br>assumed to be shorter and ranges between 0 and 5 years. Wide uncertainty is<br>included in these estimates. | Uncertainty: +/- 20% SD about<br>each of minimum (10) and<br>maximum (30) with no correlation | Normal       |
| BMI TMREL  | The Theoretical Minimum Risk Exposure Level (TMREL) is the level of risk exposure at which the dose response ceases. For BMI, a TMREL of between 21 and 23 was used from the latest Global Burden of Disease study <sup>(1)</sup> .  |   | Uniform      |
| Adult height   | Mean and SD of height from NZ Adult Nutrition Survey 2008/09 <sup>(2)</sup>  | Uncertainty using reported SD.  | Normal       |

Supplementary Table 1. Baseline input parameter table used in modelling dietary counselling for weight loss

| Intervention  | Source and application to model   | Expected Value and 95% UI                   | Distribution |
|---|---|---|--------------|
| Input Parameters  |   |   |              |
| Effect size   | Weight loss in the intervention arm of the review had an average non-<br>significant weight loss of $-0.45$ kg $(95\%$ CI $-1.34$ to $0.43)^{(3)}$ at 12 months.<br>Due to the average contact time in the meta-analysis being more than that in<br>the modelled intervention the effect size was scaled down. Using the original<br>effect sizes in the 5 included studies an average per minute weight decrease<br>was calculated and then multiplied by 120 minutes to give an effect size of -<br>0.34kg. | -0.34kg (95% CI: -1.01 to 0.32).            | Normal       |
| Decay in BMI<br>change post-<br>intervention                | Modeled BMI reduction decays back to the pre-intervention BMI at a rate of 0.03 units per month returning to approximately baseline levels the year after the intervention. <sup>(4)</sup> .  | 24% per year<br>Uncertainty +/- 20% SD.     | Exponential  |
| Those targeted by<br>diabetes and CVD<br>check              | 90% of those eligible for a Diabetes and CVD check are captured through this programme: <sup>(5)</sup><br>Targets overweight and obese adults over 35 years (Māori men), 45 (Māori women and Non-Māori men) and 55 (Non-Māori women) in the model.  | 95% UI: 80% - 97%                           | Beta         |
| Those referred to the intervention                          | 60% of the above were assumed to be referred by GPs to practice nurses <sup>(6)</sup>   | 95% UI: 35% - 82%                           | Beta         |
| Those exposed to the intervention                           | 49.4% of the above are likely to take up the intervention <sup>(7)</sup>  | 95% UI: 40% - 59%                           | Beta         |
| Intervention costs  | Total intervention costs were 2011 NZ\$ 38 291 968 for individual dietary counselling (See Table 1 in main text for details).   | Modelled uncertainty set at 20% of the mean | Gamma        |
| Relative risks for<br>risk factors and<br>disease incidence | See Supplementary table 3 and 4 for disease specific relative risks.  |   |              |

## Supplementary Table 2. Intervention input parameter table used in modelling dietary counselling for weight loss

|        | Age-<br>group | CHD                   | Stroke                | Type 2 diabetes       | Osteoarthritis (knee & hip combined) |
|--------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Male   | 25-29         | 2.274 (1.252 - 3.686) | 2.620 (1.486 - 4.318) | 3.546 (2.300 - 5.227) | 1.677 (1.388 - 2.021)                |
|        | 30-34         | 2.018 (1.291 - 3.107) | 2.404 (1.547 - 3.599) | 3.455 (2.500 - 4.692) | 1.568 (1.305 - 1.882)                |
|        | 35-39         | 1.724 (1.531 - 1.934) | 2.134 (1.760 - 2.581) | 3.349 (2.801 - 3.918) | 1.592 (1.328 - 1.919)                |
|        | 40-44         | 1.599 (1.417 - 1.785) | 1.985 (1.675 - 2.337) | 3.160 (2.689 - 3.700) | 1.576 (1.312 - 1.876)                |
|        | 45-49         | 1.567 (1.455 - 1.681) | 1.862 (1.646 - 2.114) | 2.864 (2.450 - 3.318) | 1.510 (1.275 - 1.798)                |
|        | 50-54         | 1.520 (1.416 - 1.631) | 1.732 (1.518 - 1.964) | 2.624 (2.222 - 3.038) | 1.504 (1.265 - 1.797)                |
|        | 55-59         | 1.466 (1.372 - 1.558) | 1.599 (1.468 - 1.740) | 2.417 (2.084 - 2.781) | 1.521 (1.288 - 1.814)                |
|        | 60-64         | 1.414 (1.324 - 1.505) | 1.496 (1.363 - 1.637) | 2.215 (1.866 - 2.611) | 1.543 (1.294 - 1.830)                |
|        | 65-69         | 1.364 (1.286 - 1.448) | 1.406 (1.321 - 1.499) | 2.046 (1.724 - 2.388) | 1.558 (1.296 - 1.866)                |
|        | 70-74         | 1.319 (1.241 - 1.400) | 1.323 (1.238 - 1.411) | 1.896 (1.596 - 2.229) | 1.558 (1.301 - 1.883)                |
|        | 75-79         | 1.274 (1.187 - 1.365) | 1.239 (1.160 - 1.328) | 1.740 (1.445 - 2.087) | 1.558 (1.290 - 1.861)                |
|        | 80+           | 1.170 (1.090 - 1.252) | 1.069 (1.000 - 1.157) | 1.461 (1.207 - 1.762) | 1.588 (1.320 - 1.925)                |
| Female | 25-29         | 2.274 (1.252 - 3.686) | 2.717 (1.543 - 4.538) | 3.546 (2.300 - 5.227) | 1.496 (1.285 - 1.748)                |
|        | 30-34         | 2.018 (1.291 - 3.107) | 2.514 (1.614 - 3.772) | 3.455 (2.500 - 4.692) | 1.466 (1.251 - 1.722)                |
|        | 35-39         | 1.724 (1.531 - 1.934) | 2.234 (1.806 - 2.754) | 3.349 (2.801 - 3.918) | 1.460 (1.255 - 1.712)                |
|        | 40-44         | 1.599 (1.417 - 1.785) | 2.035 (1.699 - 2.419) | 3.160 (2.689 - 3.700) | 1.501 (1.272 - 1.761)                |
|        | 45-49         | 1.567 (1.455 - 1.681) | 1.837 (1.633 - 2.072) | 2.864 (2.450 - 3.318) | 1.496 (1.268 - 1.776)                |
|        | 50-54         | 1.520 (1.416 - 1.631) | 1.761 (1.530 - 2.015) | 2.624 (2.222 - 3.038) | 1.541 (1.284 - 1.856)                |
|        | 55-59         | 1.466 (1.372 - 1.558) | 1.621 (1.480 - 1.775) | 2.417 (2.084 - 2.781) | 1.566 (1.313 - 1.886)                |
|        | 60-64         | 1.414 (1.324 - 1.505) | 1.502 (1.366 - 1.649) | 2.215 (1.866 - 2.611) | 1.565 (1.306 - 1.865)                |
|        | 65-69         | 1.364 (1.286 - 1.448) | 1.411 (1.323 - 1.507) | 2.046 (1.724 - 2.388) | 1.575 (1.304 - 1.892)                |
|        | 70-74         | 1.319 (1.241 - 1.400) | 1.323 (1.238 - 1.413) | 1.896 (1.596 - 2.229) | 1.562 (1.303 - 1.889)                |
|        | 75-79         | 1.274 (1.187 - 1.365) | 1.237 (1.159 - 1.322) | 1.740 (1.445 - 2.087) | 1.555 (1.288 - 1.856)                |
|        | 80+           | 1.170 (1.090 - 1.252) | 1.069 (1.000 - 1.160) | 1.461 (1.207 - 1.762) | 1.562 (1.305 - 1.882)                |

Supplementary Table 3. Relative risks of BMI-related diseases (non-cancers) from the Global Burden of Disease Study (for males and females, per 5 BMI units)<sup>(1)</sup>

|         |             | Kidney<br>cancer      | Liver<br>cancer       | Oesophageal<br>cancer | Pancreatic<br>cancer  | Thyroid<br>cancer     | Colorectal cancer     | Gallbladd<br>er cancer | Endometrial<br>Cancer | Breast<br>cancer      | Ovarian<br>cancer     |
|---------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Males   | All<br>ages | 1.24 (1.17<br>- 1.31) | 1.29 (1.11<br>- 1.49) | 1.39 (1.08 -<br>1.76) | 1.07 (1.00 -<br>1.15) | 1.22 (1.07 -<br>1.38) | 1.18 (1.15<br>- 1.21) | 1.16 (1.03<br>- 1.28)  | NA                    | NA                    | NA                    |
| Females | All<br>ages | 1.32 (1.25<br>- 1.40) | 1.18 (1.03<br>- 1.34) | 1.35 (1.01 -<br>1.75) | 1.09 (1.04 -<br>1.14) | 1.14 (1.09 -<br>1.18) | 1.06 (1.03<br>- 1.08) | 1.34 (1.22<br>- 1.48)  | 1.61 (1.54 -<br>1.68) | 1.02 (1.02 -<br>1.03) | 1.04 (1.00 -<br>1.08) |

Supplementary Table 4. Relative risks of BMI-related cancers from the Global Burden of Disease Study (per 5 BMI units)<sup>(1)</sup>



Supplementary figure 1 Tornado plot showing the major drivers of uncertainty in the health gain (in QALYs) for the population as a result of individual dietary counselling (inc: incidence, CF: case fatality, rem: remission, TMREL: theoretical minimum risk exposure level)



Supplementary figure 2 Tornado plot showing the major drivers of uncertainty in the health system costs (in 2011 NZ\$ in millions) for the population as a result of individual dietary counselling (inc: incidence, CF: case fatality, rem: remission, TMREL: theoretical minimum risk exposure level)

## References

1. Forouzanfar MH, Alexander L, Anderson HR *et al.* (2015) Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks in 188 countries, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *The Lancet* **386**, 2287-2323.

2. University of Otago, Ministry of Health (2011) A Focus on Nutrition: Key findings of the 2008/09 New Zealand Adult Nutrition Survey. Wellington Ministry of Health.

3. Hartmann-Boyce J, Johns DJ, Jebb SA *et al.* (2014) Behavioural weight management programmes for adults assessed by trials conducted in everyday contexts: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev* **15**, 920-932.

4. Dansinger ML, Tatsioni A, Wong JB *et al.* (2007) Meta-analysis: the effect of dietary counseling for weight loss. *Annals of internal medicine* 147, 41-50.
5. New Zealand Ministry of Health (2016) Health targets – 2015/16 quarter 1 – results summary. <u>http://www.health.govt.nz/new-zealand-health-</u>

system/health-targets/how-my-dhb-performing/how-my-dhb-performing-2015-16/health-targets-2015-16-quarter-1-results-summary (accessed 22nd May 2017 2017)

6. Brotons C, Ciurana R, Piñeiro R *et al.* (2003) Dietary advice in clinical practice: the views of general practitioners in Europe. *The American journal of clinical nutrition* **77**, 1048S-1051S.

7. Wee CC, Davis RB, Phillips RS (2005) Stage of readiness to control weight and adopt weight control behaviors in primary care. *J Gen Intern Med* **20**, 410-415.